

AN UPDATED LIST OF ERRATA

QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber

October 2005

## CHAPTER I

Page 16, line 1, after “force law”, add “it follows that ”

Page 16, line 3, instead of “ we learn ... ”

read “ This expression holds for a weak magnetic field when we average over the motion of the orbiting particle. It amounts to dropping total time derivatives, and shows ... ”

Page 20, eq. (1-88), instead of “  $\frac{\partial I}{\partial t_2} - \frac{\partial I}{\partial t_1} = 0$  ” read “  $\frac{\partial I}{\partial t_2} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t_1} = 0$  ”

Page 23, eq. (1-97),

instead of “  $\tilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi_i)} \partial_\nu \phi_i - g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}$  ”, read “  $\tilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi_i)} \partial^\nu \phi_i - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}$  ”

Page 27, eq. (1-131),

instead of “  $\pi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_0 \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)} = \dots$  ”, read “  $\pi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \partial_0 \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)} = \dots$  ”

Page 31, eq. (1-158)

instead of “  $J^\mu = j^\mu + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}}{\partial[\partial_\mu \alpha(x)]} = \dots$  ” read “  $J^\nu = j^\nu + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}}{\partial[\partial_\nu \alpha(x)]} = \dots$  ”

Page 33, eq. (1-169)

instead of “  $\tilde{G}_{\text{adv}}^{\text{ret}}(p) = \frac{-1}{(p_0 \pm i\varepsilon) - \mathbf{p}^2 - m^2}$  ” read “  $\tilde{G}_{\text{adv}}^{\text{ret}}(p) = \frac{-1}{(p_0 \pm i\varepsilon)^2 - \mathbf{p}^2 - m^2}$  ”

Page 34, eq. (1-172), instead of “  $e^{\pm i\omega_p x_0 + \dots}$  ” read “  $e^{\mp i\omega_p x_0 + \dots}$  ”

Page 35, last line, instead of “ Sec. 1-2 ” read “ Sec. 1-1-2 ”

Page 41, eq. (1-212), instead of “  $\dots = -j \cdot \tilde{j}^*$  ” read “  $\dots = -\tilde{j} \cdot \tilde{j}^*$  ”

## CHAPTER II

Page 47, eq. (2-3)

instead of “  $\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 - m^2\right) \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$  ” read “  $\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + m^2\right) \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$  ”

Page 59, eq. (2-50)

instead of “  $P(n_k) \Lambda_\pm(k) = \left(I \pm \frac{\Sigma \cdot \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|}\right) \Lambda_\pm(k)$  ” read “  $P(n_k) \Lambda_\pm(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left(I \pm \frac{\Sigma \cdot \mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{k}|}\right) \Lambda_\pm(k)$  ”

Page 60, eq. (2-51)

instead of “  $\frac{k+m}{2}$  ” read “  $\frac{k+m}{2m}$  ”; instead of “  $\frac{-k+m}{2}$  ” read “  $\frac{-k+m}{2m}$  ”

Page 61, line -9, instead of “  $b/d^*$  ” read “  $d^*/b$  ”

Page 66, lines 5, 7 and 12, instead of “  $\frac{e\hbar}{2mc}$  ” read “  $\frac{e\hbar}{2m}$  ”

Page 66, line 7, instead of “  $\frac{e\hbar}{mc}$  ” read “  $\frac{e\hbar}{m}$  ”

Page 82, line 13, instead of “  $\frac{mZ^4\alpha^5}{n^3}$  ” read “  $\frac{mZ^4\alpha^5}{\pi n^3}$  ”

Page 84, line 1,

instead of “  $E_{\text{tot}} = m + M - \frac{\alpha}{2n^2} \frac{mM}{m + M} + \dots$  ” read “  $E_{\text{tot}} = m + M - \frac{\alpha^2}{2n^2} \frac{mM}{m + M} + \dots$  ”

Page 93, eq. (2-118)

instead of “  $e \int d^4x_2 A(x_2) S_A(x_2, x_1)$  ” read “  $e \int d^4x_2 S_F(x_3, x_2) A(x_2) S_A(x_2, x_1)$  ”

### CHAPTER III

Page 109, eq. (3-16), last line

instead of “  $[a_k, a_{k'}] = \delta(k - k')$  ” read “  $[a_k, a_{k'}^\dagger] = \delta(k - k')$  ”

Page 117, eq. (3-56), instead of “  $\Delta(x - y) = \dots$  ” read “  $\Delta(x) = \dots$  ”

Page 119, second line

instead of “  $D(\eta_2)|\eta_1\rangle = |\eta_1 + \eta_2\rangle$  ” read “  $D(\eta_2)|\eta_1\rangle = e^{i \int d\tilde{k} \text{Im}(\eta_1^* \eta_2)} |\eta_1 + \eta_2\rangle$  ”

Page 119, eq. (3-67)

instead of “  $\tilde{f}_n(k) = \int d^3x e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} F_n(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{F}_n(\mathbf{k})$  ”, read “  $\tilde{f}_n(k) = \int d^3x e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} F_n(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{F}_n(\mathbf{k})$  ”

Page 120, eq. (3-69)

instead of “  $\varphi_c(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_n \varphi_{n,c} \int d\tilde{k} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} F_n^*(\mathbf{k})$  ”, read “  $\varphi_c(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_n \varphi_{n,c} \int d\tilde{k} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \tilde{F}_n^*(\mathbf{k})$  ”

Page 135, eq. (3-136), end of first line

instead of “  $k^0 = \sqrt{k^2 + \mu^2}$  ” read “  $k^0 = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + \mu^2}$  ”

Page 135, line -6, first part of the equation

instead of “  $\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}(k) \cdot \varepsilon^{(\lambda')}(k) = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$  ” read “  $\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}(k) \cdot \varepsilon^{(\lambda')}(k) = -\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$  ”

Page 140, line 11, instead of “  $u = a^2 k^2 / \pi$  ” read “  $u = a^2 k^2 / \pi^2$  ”

Page 149, eq. (3-169), instead of “  $\{\psi_\xi(x), \bar{\psi}_{\xi'}(x')\} = \dots$  ”, read “  $\{\psi_\xi(x), \bar{\psi}_{\xi'}(y)\} = \dots$  ”

Page 153, line 5, instead of “  $C = i\gamma^0\gamma^2$  ” read “  $C = i\gamma^2\gamma^0$  ”

Page 156, line 17, instead of “  $\gamma^5 C u^*(\hat{k}, \varepsilon) = \dots$  ” read “  $\gamma^5 C u^*(\tilde{k}, \varepsilon) = \dots$  ”

Page 159, line -6

instead of “  $\gamma^0 O^\mu(p', p)^\dagger \gamma^0 = O^\mu(p', p)$  ” read “  $\gamma^0 O^\mu(p', p)^\dagger \gamma^0 = O^\mu(p, p')$  ”

Page 160, line 6, in the bracket of Eq. (3.203), add a fourth term  $+ \gamma^5 (q^2 \gamma^\mu - \not{q} q^\mu) F_4(q^2)$

Page 160, lines 10-11

instead of “  $F_3(q^2) = -F_3(q^2)$ . Thus parity conservation alone yields  $F_3 = 0$ .”

read “  $F_3(q^2) = -F_3(q^2)$  and  $F_4(q^2) = -F_4(q^2)$ . Thus parity conservation alone yields  $F_3 = F_4 = 0$ .”

Page 160, lines 14, instead of “ $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = -\epsilon_3 = 1$ .” read “ $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = -\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4 = 1$ .”

Page 161, line 2, instead of “ $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$ ” read “ $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$ ”

#### CHAPTER IV

Page 179, line 17, instead of “ $\sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N}$ ” read “ $\sum_{1 \leq l < k \leq N}$ ”

Page 188, line 15, instead of “ $\mathcal{F}(A)$ ” read “ $\mathcal{T}(A)$ ”

Page 193, eq. (4-113), line 1, instead of “ $(P-eA)^2 - m^2 = \dots$ ” read “ $(P-eA)^2 = \dots$ ”

#### CHAPTER V

Page 207, first line of eq. (5-28)  
instead of “ $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n, \text{in} | S | q_1, \dots, q_l, \text{out} \rangle$ ” read “ $\langle p_1, \dots, p_n, \text{in} | S | q_1, \dots, q_l, \text{in} \rangle$ ”

Page 209, line 4, instead of “ $\dots = \frac{a^{\dagger m} |0\rangle \langle 0| a^n}{m!n!} \dots$ ” read “ $\dots = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{\dagger m} |0\rangle \langle 0| a^n}{m!n!} \dots$ ”

Page 215, line -5, middle line of the equation  
instead of “ $\dots - iZ^{-1/2} \int d^3x \dots$ ” read “ $\dots - iZ^{-1/2} \int d^4x \dots$ ”

Page 222, eq. (5-88), instead of “ $\varepsilon_i \cdot j(x)$ ” read “ $\varepsilon_i \cdot j(y)$ ”

Page 223, line 12,  
delete “, using the fact that  $W_M(x-y)$  depends only on  $(x-y)^2$ ”

Page 225, line -6, instead of “ $\dots b^\dagger(q_i, \alpha_i) |0\rangle$ ” read “ $\dots b^\dagger(p_i, \alpha_i) |0\rangle$ ”

Page 228, line -3, instead of “ $= 8k_i \cdot p_i k_f \cdot p_f [\dots$ ” read “ $= 8k_i \cdot p_i k_f \cdot p_i [\dots$ ”

Page 232, eq. (5-120), instead of “ $-4(\varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_2)$ ” read “ $-4(\varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_2)^2$ ”

Page 239, line -3, instead of “ $\dots (\not{p}_f + \not{k} + m) \gamma^0 (\not{p}_f + \not{k} + m) \dots$ ”  
read “ $\dots (\not{p}_f + \not{k} + m) \gamma^0 (\not{p}_i + m) \gamma^0 (\not{p}_f + \not{k} + m) \dots$ ”

Page 240, line 3, instead of “ $\dots p_i \cdot k p_j \cdot k$ ” read “ $\dots p_i \cdot k p_f \cdot k$ ”

Page 240, third line of eq. (5-150)  
instead of “ $2\omega^2 \frac{p_i^2 \sin^2 \theta_i + p_f^2 \sin^2 \theta_f}{(E_f - p_f \cos \theta_f)(E_i - p_i \sin \theta_i)}$ ”, read “ $2\omega^2 \frac{p_i^2 \sin^2 \theta_i + p_f^2 \sin^2 \theta_f}{(E_f - p_f \cos \theta_f)(E_i - p_i \cos \theta_i)}$ ”

Page 246, line 9, instead of “ $\dots$  complex field  $\varphi$  to describe  $\dots$ ”  
read “ $\dots$  complex field  $\varphi$ , creating  $A$  and annihilating  $\bar{A}$ , to describe  $\dots$ ”

## CHAPTER VI

Page 278, eq. (6-39), instead of “  $\frac{m^2 e^4}{4E^2(2\pi)^2}$  ” read “  $\frac{m^4 e^4}{4E^2(2\pi)^2}$  ”

Page 281, eq. (6-47)

instead of “  $\frac{\alpha}{2E^2} \left[ \frac{5}{4} - \frac{8E^4 - m^4}{E^2(E^2 - m^2)(1 - \cos \theta)} + \dots \right]$  ”  
read “  $\frac{\alpha^2}{2E^2} \left[ \frac{5}{4} - \frac{8E^4 - m^4}{4E^2(E^2 - m^2)(1 - \cos \theta)} + \dots \right]$  ”

Page 286, one line before eq. (6-65)

instead of “  $|\mathcal{T}|^2 = 4[\dots]$  ” read “  $|\mathcal{T}|^2 = 4[\dots]^2$  ”

Page 290, line -7, instead of “  $i \frac{\delta}{\partial \varphi_c(x)}$  ” read “  $i \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi_c(x)}$  ”

Page 294, line 10, instead of “ Sec. 4-3 ” read “ Sec. 4-2-2 ”

Page 298, line -2, instead of “  $2L - 4V + 4 < 0$  ” read “  $2I - 4V + 4 < 0$  ”

Page 303, line -4, instead of “  $z_i = z_j^0$  ” read “  $z_j = z_j^0$  ”

Page 311, four lines after eq. (6-119)

instead of “ after elimination of  $\alpha_1 = 1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3$ , yield, for  $\alpha = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$   
( $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  since  $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$ ) ”  
read “ after elimination of  $\alpha_3 = 1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ , yield, for  $\alpha = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$   
( $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  since  $0 < \alpha_3 < 1$ ) ”

## CHAPTER VII

Page 326, line 10, instead of “  $\log(\Lambda^2/m^2)$  ” read “  $\ln(\Lambda^2/m^2)$  ”

Page 328, line 17, instead of “ Sec. 6-3-2 ” read “ Sec. 7-3-2 ”

Page 333, line -10

instead of “ ... ultraviolet divergent. ” read “ ... ultraviolet convergent. ”

Page 345, line -11, instead of “ Sec. 3-3-4 ” read “ Sec. 4-3-4 ”

Page 354, line -2

instead of “  $\varphi \tanh \varphi + (1 - \varphi \tanh \varphi)$  ” read “  $\frac{1}{2} \varphi \tanh \varphi + (1 - \varphi \coth \varphi)$  ”

Page 355, line 8, instead of “ contributions ” read “ contribution ”

Page 361, line -10, instead of “  $B = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi^2} \dots$  ” read “  $B = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi^2} \dots$  ”

Page 370, line -5, instead of “ vol. 75, p. 1912, ” read “ vol. 75, p. 898, ”

## CHAPTER VIII

Page 377, line 9

instead of “  $\frac{k_\mu k_\nu \delta^{\mu\nu} - m_2^2}{[(p-k)^2 - m_1^2]^n (k^2 - m_2^2)^p}$  ” read “  $\frac{k_\mu k_\nu \delta^{\mu\nu} + m_2^2}{[(p-k)^2 + m_1^2]^n (k^2 + m_2^2)^p}$  ”

instead of “  $\frac{1}{[(p-k)^2 - m_1^2]^n (k^2 - m_2^2)^{p-1}}$  ” read “  $\frac{1}{[(p-k)^2 + m_1^2]^n (k^2 + m_2^2)^{p-1}}$  ”

Page 380, line 17, instead of “ Therefore  $\omega_\nu$  is ” read “ Therefore  $\hat{\omega}_\nu$  is ”

Page 381, line 8, instead of “ at least an ... ” read “ at least one ... ”

Page 383, eq. (8-23), instead of “  $\dots = 2L_l - 4I_l$  ” read “  $\dots = 4L_l - 2I_l$  ”

Page 408, end of eq. (8-78), instead of “  $A_\sigma(x)|0\rangle$  ” read “  $A_\sigma(0)|0\rangle$  ”

Page 409, line 6, instead of “  $-i \frac{M^2}{\mu^2(k^2 - M^2)}$  ” read “  $-i \frac{M^2 k_\sigma}{\mu^2(k^2 - M^2)}$  ”

Page 409, line 13, instead of “  $B(k^2) = \lambda \frac{k^2 - M^2}{k^2}$  ” read “  $B(k^2) = -\lambda \frac{k^2 - M^2}{k^2}$  ”

Page 410, line 5, instead of “ Contracting Eq. (8-83) with  $k_\sigma$  and ... ”  
read “ Contracting Eq. (8-83) with  $q_\sigma$  and ... ”

Page 410, last line, instead of “  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  ” read “  $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4$  ”

Page 413, line -6, instead of “  $+eZ_1 \bar{\psi} \not{A} \psi$  ” read “  $-eZ_1 \bar{\psi} \not{A} \psi$  ”

Page 421, eq. (8-124), instead of “  $C_2$  ” read “  $C_1$  ”

Page 421, line -7, instead of “  $[\dots]^{d/2-4}$  ” read “  $[\dots]^{d-4}$  ”

## CHAPTER IX

Page 430, line -3

instead of “  $M_{k,k+1} = M_{k-1,k} = \dots$  ” read “  $M_{k,k+1} = M_{k+1,k} = \dots$  ”  
1  $\leq k \leq n-1$  ”  
0  $\leq k \leq n-1$  ”

Page 437, eq. (9-46), instead of “  $A = \sum_{n,m} A_{n,m} \dots$  ” read “  $A = \sum_{n,m} \frac{A_{n,m}}{\sqrt{n!m!}} \dots$  ”

Page 438, line 2

instead of “  $\exp \left[ \bar{z}_n z_{n-1} - \sum_1^{n-1} \bar{z}_k z_k + \bar{z}_1 z_0 - i \dots \right]$  ” read “  $\exp \left[ \sum_0^{n-1} \bar{z}_{k+1} z_k - \sum_1^{n-1} \bar{z}_k z_k - i \dots \right]$  ”

Page 438, line 10, instead of “ which requires to ” read “ which requires us to ”

Page 442, eq. (9-77), instead of “  $\frac{\bar{\eta} \dot{\eta} - \dot{\bar{\eta}} \eta}{2i}$  ” read “  $\frac{\dot{\bar{\eta}} \eta - \bar{\eta} \dot{\eta}}{2i}$  ”

Page 459, after eq. (9-155), instead of “ A canonical . . . ”  
 read “ Requiring that the  $g$ 's have vanishing Poisson brackets, a canonical . . . ”

Page 471, line 8  
 instead of “ integrate the normalized version of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  in the subspace orthogonal to  $\psi$ . ”  
 read “integrate in the subspace orthogonal to  $\psi$ , the normalized version of  $\tilde{\varphi}$ . ”

## CHAPTER X

Page 480, interchange figures 10-3 and 10-4 but **not** their captions.

Page 480, eq. (10-24), instead of “  $S(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2; J)|_{J=0}$ ”, read “  $S(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2; J)|_{J=0}$  ”

Page 492, eq. (10-72), instead of “  $D^{-3}\chi(P)$  ” read “  $D^{-3}\chi(p)$  ”

Page 497, line 9, instead of “  $p_0 = \mp E/2 + \omega - i\varepsilon$  ” read “  $p_0 = \mp E/2 - \omega - i\varepsilon$  ”

Page 499, line -7, instead of “  $(E' - E) \int d^3p \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{p})\varphi(\mathbf{p})$ ”, read “  $(E' - E) \int d^3p \eta^\dagger(\mathbf{p})\varphi'(\mathbf{p})$ ”

Page 499, eq. (10-107), instead of “  $\eta^*(\mathbf{p})$  ” read “  $\eta^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$  ”

Page 504, second line of eq. (10-120), instead of “  $(k^0 + m^2) - \dots$  ” read “  $(k^0 + m)^2 - \dots$ ”

## CHAPTER XI

Page 523, lines 4-6, instead of “ the lagrangian reads . . . decoupled massless fields, ”  
 read “ the lagrangian has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\rho)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\xi)^2 \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{v}\right)^2 \left(1 + f\left(\frac{\xi}{v}\right)\right) - \frac{\mu^2}{2}(v + \rho)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}(v + \rho)^4$$

with some function  $f$ . From this we see that the  $\xi$  correspond to  $(n - 1)$  massless fields,”

Page 547, last equation before Figure 11-13  
 instead of “  $\dots = \delta^4(x - y) T_{kl}^\alpha[\phi_l(y) + v_l]$  ” read “  $\dots = -i\delta^4(x - y) T_{kl}^\alpha[\phi_l(y) + v_l]$  ”

Page 551, replace lines 1-5 by

“ At  $k_1^2 = k_2^2 = 0$ , hence  $k_1 \cdot q = k_2 \cdot q = k_1 \cdot k_2$ , only two independent tensors are consistent with these requirements :

$$\mathcal{B}_{1\mu\nu\rho} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} k_1^\sigma k_2^\tau q_\rho$$

and  $\mathcal{B}_{2\mu\nu\rho} = (\varepsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} k_{1\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\tau} k_{2\mu}) k_1^\sigma k_2^\tau - \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (k_1^\sigma - k_2^\sigma) k_1 \cdot k_2$  .

A third possible tensor  $\mathcal{B}_{3\mu\nu\rho} = (\varepsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} k_{2\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\tau} k_{1\mu}) k_1^\sigma k_2^\tau$  is actually not independent, because of the identity  $q_\sigma \varepsilon_{\tau\mu\nu\rho} + q_\rho \varepsilon_{\sigma\tau\mu\nu} + q_\nu \varepsilon_{\rho\sigma\tau\mu} + q_\mu \varepsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\tau} + q_\tau \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 0$  . This expresses that a totally antisymmetric rank 5 tensor vanishes in four dimensions. Contracted with  $k_1^\sigma k_2^\tau$ , this yields  $\mathcal{B}_{1\mu\nu\rho} = \mathcal{B}_{2\mu\nu\rho} + \mathcal{B}_{3\mu\nu\rho}$  . We therefore write the following expression when  $k_1^2 = k_2^2 = 0$

$$T_{\mu\nu\rho}(k_1, k_2) = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} k_1^\sigma k_2^\tau q_\rho T_1(q^2) + [(\varepsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} k_{1\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\tau} k_{2\mu}) k_1^\sigma k_2^\tau - \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (k_1^\sigma - k_2^\sigma) k_1 \cdot k_2] T_2(q^2) . \quad (11 - 188)$$

Consequently,

$$q^\rho T_{\mu\nu\rho} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} k_1^\sigma k_2^\tau q^2 [T_1(q^2) + T_2(q^2)] \quad (11 - 189) ”$$

Page 553, line 7, an updated value is  $\Gamma^{\text{exp}} = (7.75 \pm 0.5) \text{ eV}$ .

## CHAPTER XII

Page 564, line 6, instead of “  $s(0) = x_1, s(1) = x_2$  ” read “  $x(0) = x_1, x(1) = x_2$  ”

Page 574, line –13, instead of “ the latter ” read “  $\Gamma$  ”

Page 577, line 12, instead of “  $\mathcal{M}_0 = -\Delta\delta_{ij} \cdots$  ” read “  $\mathcal{M}_0 = -\Delta\delta_{ab} \cdots$  ”

Page 595, replace lines 1–7 in small characters by the following, also in small characters

To be precise we write  $\det \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) \equiv \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(A, \mathcal{F}(A))$  according to the definition

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(A, C) = \int \mathcal{D}(g) \delta(\mathcal{F}(gA) - C)$$

For a gauge transformation independent of  $A$  we have obviously

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(gA, C) = \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(A, C)$$

due to the group invariance of the measure  $\mathcal{D}(g)$ . In the present case however where

$$A' = {}^{g(A)}A \quad \mathcal{F}(A) = \mathcal{F}'(A') = \mathcal{F}'({}^{g(A)}A)$$

the gauge transformation depends on the potential. We shall show that the jacobians in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  conspire to cancel. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{D}(A) \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(A, \mathcal{F}(A)) &= \int \mathcal{D}(A) \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(A, \mathcal{F}(A)) \left[ \int \mathcal{D}(A') \delta(A' - {}^{g(A)}A) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[ \Delta_{\mathcal{F}'}(A, \mathcal{F}(A)) \int \mathcal{D}(g) \delta(\mathcal{F}'(gA) - \mathcal{F}(A)) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Both terms between brackets on the right hand side are equal to unity. The argument of the last  $\delta$ -function vanishes for  $g = g(A)$ , we can therefore substitute the generic  $g$  for  $g(A)$  in the first  $\delta$ -function. We then set  $A = {}^{g^{-1}}B$ , recognize that  $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(B)$  and integrate over  $B$ . Thus, using the invariance of  $\Delta$  under potential independent gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{D}(A) \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(A, \mathcal{F}(A)) &= \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}(A') \mathcal{D}(g) \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(A', \mathcal{F}({}^{g^{-1}}A')) \Delta_{\mathcal{F}'}(A', \mathcal{F}({}^{g^{-1}}A')) \delta(\mathcal{F}'(A') - \mathcal{F}({}^{g^{-1}}A')) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}(A') \mathcal{D}(g) \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(A', \mathcal{F}'(A')) \Delta_{\mathcal{F}'}(A', \mathcal{F}'(A')) \delta(\mathcal{F}'(A') - \mathcal{F}({}^{g^{-1}}A')) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}(A') \Delta_{\mathcal{F}'}(A', \mathcal{F}'(A')) \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the integration over  $g$  and translates in precise terms Eq. (12-129).

Page 596, eq. (12-137), erase the first “  $0=$  ”

Page 600, line –10, instead of “  $\tilde{I}_1 = I - \cdots$  ” read “  $\tilde{I}_1 = \tilde{I} - \cdots$  ”

Page 603, line –9, instead of “ involve no  $\lambda_5$  matrix ” read “ involve no  $\gamma_5$  matrix ”

Page 611, line –10, instead of “  $(k^2 - m^2)^{-1}$  ” read “  $(k^2 - M^2)^{-1}$  ”



Page 625, line 8, an updated value is  $\sin^2 \theta_W \simeq 0.23$ .

### CHAPTER XIII

Page 635, line 11

instead of “the derivative  $(\partial/\partial x)d^{as}(\alpha_1, x)$  ” read “the derivative  $(\partial/\partial x)d^{as}(\alpha, x)$  ”

Page 648, eq. (13-61), instead of “ $\dots = m_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial m_0} \dots$  ” read “ $\dots = \frac{1}{2} m_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial m_0} \dots$  ”

Page 649, eq.(13-65), instead of “ $\frac{\partial m_0(\Lambda/m, g)}{\partial m}$  ” read “ $\frac{\partial m_0(\Lambda/m, g_0)}{\partial m}$  ”

Page 653, line -3, instead of “... Migdal ”

read “... Migdal, as well as the three-loop one worked out by Tarasov, Vladimirov and Zharkov ”

Page 653, line -2, instead of “ $+O(g^7)$  ”

read “ $+\frac{g^7}{(4\pi)^6} \left( -\frac{2857}{54} C^3 + \frac{1415}{27} C^2 T_f - \frac{158}{27} C T_f^2 + \frac{205}{9} C C_f T_f - \frac{44}{9} C_f T_f^2 - 2 C_f^2 T_f \right) + O(g^9)$  ”

Page 660, line 14, instead of “ . A mean ” read “ . A means ”

Page 662, line -3, instead of “Experimental conditions,  $\sin^2(\theta/2) \ll 1$ , ”

read “Experimental limitations on the accessible range of  $\sin^2(\theta/2)$  ”

Page 670, eq. (13-124), instead of “ $m_\mu^2/q^2 \rightarrow \infty$  ” read “ $m_\mu^2/q^2 \rightarrow 0$  ”

Page 676, eq. (13-144), instead of “ $\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4}$  ” read “ $\int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4}$  ”

Page 683, line 4, instead of “ which play ” read “ which plays ”

Page 683, line -5

instead of “ $W(q^2, -\omega) = -W(q^2, \omega)$  ” read “ $W(q^2, -\omega) = -W(q^2, \omega)$  ”

Page 689, line 9, add

“ For the three-loop calculation, see O.V. Tarasov, A.A. Vladimirov and A.Yu. Zharkov, *Phys. Lett.*, ser. B, vol. 93, p. 429, 1980. ”

### APPENDIX

Page 696, line -2, eq. (A-40), instead of “ $(2\pi^3)$  ” read “ $(2\pi)^3$  ”

### INDEX

Page 702, left column, line -6

instead of “ paramagnetic representation ” read “ parametric representation ”

Page 702, right column, line 26

instead of “ Gell-Mann low function ” read “ Gell-Mann Low function ”