Field theoretic approach to flat polymerized membranes

Sofian Teber

LPTHE - Sorbonne Université

Mainly based on PRE Letter [**105** (2022) 1, L012603] with **Simon Metayer** (LPTHE - Sorbonne Université) **Dominique Mouhanna** (LPTMC - Sorbonne Université)

48th Conference of the Middle European Cooperation in Statistical Physics (MECO48) Stará Lesná, Slovakia (May 2023)





Outline



2 Field theoretic approach





Outline



2 Field theoretic approach





Statistical mechanics of membranes

Random D-surfaces embedded in d-dimensional space

Complex systems whose physical properties are dominated by the entropy of thermal fluctuations (case of interest: D = 2 in d = 3 space)

Distinct types of microscopic orders

- crystalline (or tethered or polymerized): fixed-connectivity,
- other: fluid (vanishing shear modulus) and hexatic membranes

Very rich set of universality classes

Extensive studies since the 80s: discovery of the (low-temperature) flat phase of crystalline membranes [Nelson and Peleti '87, Aronovitz and Lubensky '88, David and Guitter '88, Le Doussal and Radzihovsky '92, ...]

Interest considerably boosted by the discovery of graphene (2004) clean free-standing graphene at room T should exhibit a critical flat phase behaviour

Physical examples of "flat" D = 2 crystalline membranes

Long-range orientational order vs anomalous elasticity / rigidity (in order to evade the Mermin-Wagner theorem)

Cytoskeletons of red blood cell membranes

Early light scattering experiments (roughness exponent measurement) give evidence for a critical flat phase behaviour [Schmidt et al. '93]

Free-standing graphene (subject to weak tension)

Ripples as out-of-plane deformations that stabilize the flat phase (possibly not fully thermal in origin, effects of disorder, boundaries, electrons ...)



Evidence for anomalous effects [Nicholl et al. '15, '17; Colangelo et al. '19; Lopez-Polin et al. '15, '21]

D-dimensional manifold in d-dimensional space described by:

- D-dimensional (rest) coordinates x,
- *d*-dimensional (embedding) vector $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})$.

For an undeformed (flat) structure: $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$



D-dimensional manifold in d-dimensional space described by:

- D-dimensional (rest) coordinates x,
- *d*-dimensional (embedding) vector $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})$.

Landau action functional

Global translational invariance of S: depends only on derivatives $\partial_i \mathbf{r}$ ($i = 1, \dots, D$) \Rightarrow derivative field theory

$$\begin{split} S[\mathbf{r}] &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\partial_{i}^{2} \mathbf{r} \right)^{2} + \frac{t}{2} \left(\partial_{i} \mathbf{r} \right)^{2} + u \left(\partial_{i} \mathbf{r} \partial_{j} \mathbf{r} \right) + v \left(\partial_{i} \mathbf{r} \partial_{i} \mathbf{r} \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{b}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \int \mathrm{d}^{D} y \, \delta^{(d)} \big(\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{y}) \big) \end{split}$$

- κ: bending rigidity (extrinsic curvature)
- t, u, v: elastic constants (related to Lamé coefficients)
- b: excluded volume (no self-avoidance: b = 0, phantom membrane)

Two-field model [Nelson and Peleti '87, Aronovitz and Lubensky '88] Low-T expansion: $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}))$ • \mathbf{u} is the *D*-dimensional (in-plane) phonon field,

• **h** the d - D-dimensional (out-of-plane) flexural field.

$$S[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}] = \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\partial_{i}^{2} \mathbf{h} \right)^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} u_{ii}^{2} + \mu u_{ij}^{2} \right] + \text{ irrelevant}$$

with strain tensor $u_{ij} = \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i + \partial_i \mathbf{h} \cdot \partial_j \mathbf{h} + \text{irrelevant}$ Dimensional analysis: [u] = D - 3, [h] = (D - 4)/2, $[\lambda] = [\mu] = 4 - D$. Upper critical dimension: $D_{uc} = 4$

Massless fields: long-range elastic interactions (evade Mermin-Wagner) $S[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}]$ is quadratic in \mathbf{u} : possible to integrate \mathbf{u} exactly

Effective model [Nelson and Peleti '87, Aronovitz and Lubensky '88] Non-trivial tensor structure with non-local coupling

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\mathbf{h}] = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}} k^4 |\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k})|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k}_2) R_{ab, cd}(\mathbf{q}) k_1^a k_2^b k_3^c k_4^d \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k}_3) \cdot \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k}_4)$$

where $R_{ab,cd}(\mathbf{q}) = b \ N_{ab,cd}(\mathbf{q}) + \mu \ M_{ab,cd}(\mathbf{q})$

$$N_{ab,cd}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{D-1} P_{ab}^{T}(\mathbf{q}) P_{cd}^{T}(\mathbf{q}), \quad P_{ab}^{T}(\mathbf{q}) = \delta_{ab} - \frac{q_{a}q_{b}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}}$$
$$M_{ab,cd}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{D-1}{2} \left[N_{ac,bd}(\mathbf{q}) + N_{ad,bc}(\mathbf{q}) \right] - N_{ab,cd}(\mathbf{q})$$

"New" (*D*-dependent) coupling: $b = \mu (D\lambda + 2\mu)/(\lambda + 2\mu)$ The 2-field model and the EFT are completely equivalent.

Field theoretic approach to flat polymerized membranes

MECO48, Slovakia, May 2023 6 / 26

Anomalous elasticity in the flat phase from renormalization

Scaling behaviour of correlation functions

$$G_{uu} \sim q^{-(2+\eta_u)}, \qquad G_{hh} \sim q^{-(4-\eta)}$$

with anomalous dimensions η_u and η such that

 $\eta_u = 4 - D - 2\eta$ (from Ward identities)

 \Rightarrow a single exponent $\eta > 0$ (e.g., roughness: $\zeta = (4 - D - \eta)/2)$

Strong renormalizations [Nelson and Peleti '87, Aronovitz and Lubensky '88, David and Guitter '88]

- ullet enhanced (length-scale dependent) rigidity: $\kappa_{\mathcal{R}}(q) \sim q^{-\eta}$
- softened elastic constants: $\mu_R(q) \sim \lambda_R(q) \sim q^{\eta_u}$

Long-range orientational order (normals to the membrane) Lower critical dimension $D_{lc} < 2$ [Aronovitz, Golubović and Lubensky '89]

Note: auxetic behaviour characterized by a negative Poisson ratio

Flat phase: quick review of 3 decades of results

•
$$\epsilon$$
-expansion $(D = 4 - 2\epsilon)$:

 $\eta^{(1\text{loop})} = 0.96$ [Aronovitz and Lubensky '88; David, Guitter et al. '89] $n^{(2\text{loop})} = 0.795$ [Mauri and Katsnelson '20]

• Self Consistent Screening Approximation (SCSA):

 $\eta^{(SCSA)} = 0.821$ [Le Doussal and Radzihovsky '92] $\eta^{(improved SCSA)} = 0.789$ [Gazit '09]

- Non Perturbative Renormalization Group (NPRG): $\eta^{(NPRG)} = 0.849$ [Kownacki and Mouhanna '09]
- Monte Carlo simulations: $\eta^{(sim)} = 0.750(5)$ [Bowick et al. '96] = 0.795(10) [Tröster '13]
- Experiments: $\eta^{\text{(blood cells)}} = 0.70(20)$ [Schmidt et al. '93] $\eta^{\text{(graphene)}} \approx 0.82$ [Lopez-Polin et al. '15]

Flat phase: quick review of 3 decades of results Other methods:

• Large-d approach (d = 3):

 $\eta^{(Large-d)} = \frac{2}{d} + O(1/d^2) = 0.667$ [Aronovitz, Golubović and Lubensky '89]

[Aronovitz, Golubović and Lubensky '89]

• Large- d_c ($d_c = d - D$) approach:

$$\eta^{(\mathsf{Large-}d_c)} = \frac{2}{d_c} - \frac{68\zeta_3 - 73}{27d_c^2} + O(1/d_c^3) = 1.676 \quad \text{[Saykin et al. '20]}$$

• modern amplitude techniques (bootstrap) ?

[Mauri and Katsnelson '20]: membrane models are scale but not conformal invariant (and possibly non-unitary)

modern amplitude techniques (bootstrap) do not apply

Flat phase: quick review of 3 decades of results

This talk: higher orders of the ϵ -expansion

- More accuracy on the value of η
- Better understanding of the perturbative structure of the flat phase
- Comparison with NPRG and SCSA

More than 3 decades between the first (1-loop) result and the 2-loop one...

2-loop: [O. Coquand, D. Mouhanna and ST, PRE '20]

3-loop: [S. Metayer, D. Mouhanna and ST, PRE Letter '22]

Note: 4-loop in 2-field model [Pikelner '22]



Anomalous elasticity, fluctuations and disorder in elastic membranes



Pierre Le Doussal^a, Leo Radzihovsky^{b,c,*}

^a CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex, France

^b Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, United States

^c Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, United States

on the underlying embedding-space rotational invariance. The results of the two-loop calculation are in progress, and already indicate that the SCSA is not exact, with the deviations appearing at the two-loop order [79].

We also observe from (81) that the solution for $d_c = 0$ is $\eta(D, d_c = 0) = \frac{4-D}{2}$, i.e., $\eta_u = 0$, which is

- [78] P.M. Chaikin, T.C. Lubensky, Principles of Condensed Matter Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [79] L. Radzihovsky, B. Jacobsen, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder (2003), unpublished.
- [80] M. Warner, E.M. Terentjey, Liquid Crystal Elastomers, Oxford Science Publications, 2005.
- 1011 V VILL T DESERVICE ALLER FEBRUARY 200 (2000) 105 200

Outline



2 Field theoretic approach

3 Results

4 Conclusion

Perturbative setup in the 2-field model

$$S[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}] = \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\partial_{i}^{2} \mathbf{h} \right)^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} u_{ii}^{2} + \mu u_{ij}^{2} \right] + \text{ irrelevant}$$

with strain tensor $u_{ij} = \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i + \partial_i \mathbf{h} \cdot \partial_j \mathbf{h} + \text{irrelevant}$ Feynman rules:

• Free massless flexuron propagator ($\alpha, \beta = 1, \cdots, d_c$):

$$S^{(0)}_{lphaeta}({f k})=rac{\delta_{lpha,eta}}{k^4}~=~lpha$$
 —

• Free massless phonon propagator $(i, j = 1, \cdots, D)$:

Perturbative setup in the 2-field model

$$S[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}] = \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\partial_{i}^{2} \mathbf{h} \right)^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} u_{ii}^{2} + \mu u_{ij}^{2} \right] + \text{ irrelevant}$$

with strain tensor $u_{ij} = \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i + \partial_i \mathbf{h} \cdot \partial_j \mathbf{h} + \text{irrelevant}$ Feynman rules:

• 3-point phonon-flexuron vertex:

$$\Gamma^{j\ (0)}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}\,) = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\,\delta_{\alpha,\beta}\,\left[\mu\left(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{k}\,\,k_j'+\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{k}'\,\,k_j\right)+\lambda\,\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{k}'\,\,q_j\right]$$



Perturbative setup in the 2-field model

$$S[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}] = \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\partial_{i}^{2} \mathbf{h} \right)^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} u_{ii}^{2} + \mu u_{ij}^{2} \right] + \text{ irrelevant}$$

with strain tensor $u_{ij} = \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i + \partial_i \mathbf{h} \cdot \partial_j \mathbf{h} + \text{irrelevant}$ Feynman rules:

• 4-point (fully symmetrized) flexuron vertex:

$$\Gamma^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}, \mathbf{k_3}, \mathbf{k_4}) = \frac{\lambda}{24} \left[\delta_{\alpha,\beta} \, \delta_{\gamma,\delta} \, \mathbf{k_1} \cdot \mathbf{k_2} \, \mathbf{k_3} \cdot \mathbf{k_4} + \cdots \right] + \frac{\mu}{24} \left[\delta_{\alpha,\beta} \, \delta_{\gamma,\delta} \, \mathbf{k_1} \cdot \mathbf{k_3} \, \mathbf{k_2} \cdot \mathbf{k_4} + \cdots \right] \\ = \frac{\alpha \, \mathbf{k_1}}{\beta \, \mathbf{k_2}} \frac{\delta \, \mathbf{k_4}}{\gamma \, \mathbf{k_3}}$$

Perturbative setup in the EFT

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\mathbf{h}] = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}} k^4 |\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k})|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k}_1) \cdot \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k}_2) R_{ab,cd}(\mathbf{q}) k_1^a k_2^b k_3^c k_4^d \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k}_3) \cdot \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k}_4)$$

Feynman rules:

• Free massless flexuron propagator ($\alpha, \beta = 1, \cdots, d_c$):

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\delta_{\alpha,\beta}}{k^4} = \alpha - \mathbf{k} \beta$$

Perturbative setup in the EFT

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\mathbf{h}] = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}} k^4 |\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k})|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k}_2) R_{ab, cd}(\mathbf{q}) k_1^a k_2^b k_3^c k_4^d \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k}_3) \cdot \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{k}_4)$$

Feynman rules:

• 4-point flexuron vertex:

$$V_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}, \mathbf{k_3}, \mathbf{k_4}) = -\frac{R_{abcd}(\mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2})}{4} \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{\gamma,\delta} k_1^a k_2^b k_3^c k_4^d$$
$$= \frac{\alpha \vec{k_1}}{\beta \vec{k_2}} - \frac{\vec{q}}{\gamma \vec{k_3}}$$

Dyson equations in 2-field model and EFT In the 2-field model:

$$egin{aligned} S_{lphaeta}(\mathbf{k}) &= S^{(0)}_{lphaeta}(\mathbf{k}) + S^{(0)}_{lpha\gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \, \Sigma_{\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{k}) \, S_{\delta\beta}(\mathbf{k}) \ D_{ij}(\mathbf{q}) &= D^{(0)}_{ij}(\mathbf{q}) + D^{(0)}_{ik}(\mathbf{q}) \Pi_{kl}(\mathbf{q}) \, D_{lj}(\mathbf{q}) \end{aligned}$$

 \Rightarrow compute the self-energies Σ (flexuron) and Π (phonon)

Parametrization for the flexuron self-energy (IR safe correlator despite $1/k^4$):

$$S_{lphaeta}({f k})=rac{\delta_{lpha,eta}}{k^4}rac{1}{1- ilde{\Sigma}(k^2)}\,,\qquad ilde{\Sigma}(k^2)=k^{-4}\,\Sigma({f k})$$

Parametrization for the phonon self-energy:

$$egin{split} D_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}) &= rac{1}{(2\mu+\lambda)\,q^2}\,rac{1}{1- ilde{\mathsf{\Pi}}_{\parallel}(q^2)}\,, \qquad ilde{\mathsf{\Pi}}_{\parallel}(q^2) &= rac{1}{(2\mu+\lambda)\,q^2}\,\mathsf{\Pi}_{\parallel}(q^2)\ D_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}) &= rac{1}{\mu\,q^2}\,rac{1}{1- ilde{\mathsf{\Pi}}_{\perp}(q^2)}\,, \qquad ilde{\mathsf{\Pi}}_{\perp}(q^2) &= rac{1}{\mu\,q^2}\,\mathsf{\Pi}_{\perp}(q^2) \end{split}$$

Dyson equations in 2-field model and EFT In the EFT:

$$egin{aligned} S_{lphaeta}(\mathbf{k}) &= S^{(0)}_{lphaeta}(\mathbf{k}) + S^{(0)}_{lpha\gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \, \Sigma_{\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{k}) \, S_{\delta\beta}(\mathbf{k}) \ R_{abcd}(\mathbf{q}) &= R^{(0)}_{abcd}(\mathbf{q}) + R^{(0)}_{abef}(\mathbf{q}) \Pi_{efgh}(\mathbf{q}) \, R_{ghcd}(\mathbf{q}) \end{aligned}$$

 \Rightarrow compute the self-energies Σ (flexuron) and Π (vertex)

Parametrization for the vertex self-energy:

$$\begin{split} R_{abcd}(\mathbf{q}) &= R^{N}(\mathbf{q}) \, N_{abcd}(\mathbf{q}) + R^{M}(\mathbf{q}) \, M_{abcd}(\mathbf{q}) \,, \\ \Pi_{abcd}(\mathbf{q}) &= \Pi^{N}(\mathbf{q}) \, N_{abcd}(\mathbf{q}) + \Pi^{M}(\mathbf{q}) \, M_{abcd}(\mathbf{q}) \,, \\ R^{N}(\mathbf{q}) &= \frac{b}{1 - \tilde{\Pi}^{N}(\mathbf{q})} \,, \qquad \tilde{\Pi}^{N}(\mathbf{q}) = b \, \Pi^{N}(\mathbf{q}) \,, \\ R^{M}(\mathbf{q}) &= \frac{\mu}{1 - \tilde{\Pi}^{M}(\mathbf{q})} \,, \qquad \tilde{\Pi}^{M}(\mathbf{q}) = \mu \, \Pi^{M}(\mathbf{q}) \,. \end{split}$$

Self-energy computations

Perturbative solution of the Dyson equations in 2-field model and EFT

 \Rightarrow compute the self-energies Σ and Π

Efficient use of massless Feynman diagram technics [Kotikov and ST '19]

Fully automated computations (by Simon Metayer):

- Feynman diagrams generated using QGRAF [Nogueira '93] Total number of diagrams at 3 loop:
 - 2-field model: 61 diagrams
 - EFT: 32 diagrams
- $\bullet\,$ import to ${\rm MATHEMATICA}$ and perform numerator and tensor algebra
- reduction to master integrals using LITERED [Lee '14]
- compute renormalization group (RG) flows and functions

Our approach is exact order by order in perturbation theory

View of 1, 2 and 3-loop self-energy diagrams in the 2-field model:



Renormalization conventions

Computations in dimensional regularization $(D = 4 - 2\varepsilon)$ Renormalization constants computed in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme $\overline{M}^2 = 4\pi e^{-\gamma_E} M^2$

$$\mathbf{u} = Z \, \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{r}}, \quad \mathbf{h} = Z^{1/2} \, \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{r}}, \quad \mu = Z_{\mu} \, \mu_{r} \, M^{2\varepsilon}, \quad \lambda = Z_{\lambda} \, \lambda_{r} \, M^{2\varepsilon}, \quad b = Z_{b} \, b_{r} \, M^{2\varepsilon}$$

where they take the form of Laurent series in $\ensuremath{\varepsilon}$

Approach is algebraic (as in QCD):

• 2-field model:

finite =
$$(p^4 - \Sigma) Z$$
, finite = $(p^2 Z_\mu \mu_r - \Pi_\perp) Z^2$,
finite = $(p^2 (Z_\lambda \lambda_r + 2 Z_\mu \mu_r) - \Pi_\parallel) Z^2$

EFT:

finite =
$$(p^4 - \Sigma) Z$$
, finite = $((Z_\mu \mu_r)^{-1} - \Pi_M) Z^{-2}$,
finite = $((Z_b b_r)^{-1} - \Pi_N) Z^{-2}$

Renormalization group functions

Beta functions and field anomalous dimension extracted from the Zs

$$\beta_{x} = M \partial_{M} Z_{x} \qquad (x = \{\lambda, \mu, b\}),$$

$$\eta = M \partial_{M} \log Z$$

Perturbative solution of system of equations \Rightarrow fixed points • 2-field model:

$$eta_\lambda(\lambda^*,\mu^*)=0\,,\qquad eta_\mu(\lambda^*,\mu^*)=0$$

EFT

$$\beta_{\mu}(\mu^*, b^*) = 0, \qquad \beta_{b}(\mu^*, b^*) = 0$$

Derive scheme-independent and universal $\eta(\lambda^*, \mu^*)$ and $\eta(\mu^*, b^*)$

strong check:
$$\eta(\lambda^*, \mu^*) = \eta(\mu^*, b^*)$$

Outline







4 Conclusion

Fixed points and anomalous dims in the 2-field model We find four fixed points (λ_i^*, μ_i^*) $(i = 1, \dots, 4)$



Mechanical stability delimited by $\mu = 0$ and $2\lambda + \mu = 0$ Special line (NPRG, SCSA, 1-loop): $3\lambda + \mu = 0$

- P₁: unstable gaussian FP ($\lambda_1^* = \mu_1^* = 0$) with $\eta_1 = 0$
- P₂: unstable shearless FP ($\lambda_2^* = 32\pi^2\epsilon/d_c$, $\mu_2^* = 0$) with $\eta_2 = 0$

- P₁: unstable gaussian FP ($\lambda_1^* = \mu_1^* = 0$) with $\eta_1 = 0$
- P₂: unstable shearless FP ($\lambda_2^* = 32\pi^2\epsilon/d_c$, $\mu_2^* = 0$) with $\eta_2 = 0$
- P3: mechanically unstable at 2 and 3-loop (negative bulk-modulus $B_3^*=\lambda_3^*+2\mu_3^*/D<0)$

 $\eta_3 = 0.9524 \epsilon - 0.0711 \epsilon^2 - 0.0698 \epsilon^3 - 0.0750 \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5)$

- P₁: unstable gaussian FP ($\lambda_1^* = \mu_1^* = 0$) with $\eta_1 = 0$
- P₂: unstable shearless FP ($\lambda_2^* = 32\pi^2\epsilon/d_c$, $\mu_2^* = 0$) with $\eta_2 = 0$
- P₃: mechanically unstable at 2 and 3-loop (negative bulk-modulus $B_3^* = \lambda_3^* + 2\mu_3^*/D < 0)$

$$\eta_3 = 0.9524 \epsilon - 0.0711 \epsilon^2 - 0.0698 \epsilon^3 - 0.0750 \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5)$$

• P₄: IR-stable non-trivial fixed point (λ_4^* and μ_4^*)

$$\eta \equiv \eta_4 = \frac{24}{25} \epsilon - \frac{144}{3125} \epsilon^2 - \frac{4(1286928 \zeta_3 - 568241)}{146484375} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$$

 P_4 contols the physics of the flat phase

1-loop: [Aronovitz and Lubensky '88]
2-loop: [Coquand, Mouhanna and ST, PRE '20]
3-loop: [Metayer, Mouhanna and ST, PRE Letter '22]
4-loop: [Pikelner, EPL '22]

- P₁: unstable gaussian FP ($\lambda_1^* = \mu_1^* = 0$) with $\eta_1 = 0$
- P₂: unstable shearless FP ($\lambda_2^* = 32\pi^2\epsilon/d_c$, $\mu_2^* = 0$) with $\eta_2 = 0$
- P3: mechanically unstable at 2 and 3-loop (negative bulk-modulus $B_3^*=\lambda_3^*+2\mu_3^*/D<0)$

$$\eta_3 = 0.9524 \epsilon - 0.0711 \epsilon^2 - 0.0698 \epsilon^3 - 0.0750 \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5)$$

• P₄: IR-stable non-trivial fixed point (λ_4^* and μ_4^*)

$$\eta \equiv \eta_4 = \frac{24}{25} \epsilon - \frac{144}{3125} \epsilon^2 - \frac{4(1286928 \zeta_3 - 568241)}{146484375} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$$

 P_4 contols the physics of the flat phase

1-loop: [Aronovitz and Lubensky '88]
2-loop: [Coquand, Mouhanna and ST, PRE '20]
3-loop: [Metayer, Mouhanna and ST, PRE Letter '22]
4-loop: [Pikelner, EPL '22]

Fixed points and anomalous dims in the EFT We find four fixed points (b_i^*, μ_i^*) $(i = 1, \dots, 4)$



Mechanical stability delimited by $\mu = 0$ and b = 0

• P₁: unstable gaussian FP ($b_1^* = \mu_1^* = 0$) with $\eta_1 = 0$

• P'_2: unstable shearless FP ($\mu_2^* = 0, \ b_2^* \neq 0$) with

 $\eta_2' = 0.8000 \,\varepsilon - 0.0053 \,\varepsilon^2 + 0.0110 \,\varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4)$

Note that at P'₂: $\eta^{(2loop)} = 0.795$ [Mauri and Katsnelson '20]

- P₁: unstable gaussian FP ($b_1^* = \mu_1^* = 0$) with $\eta_1 = 0$
- P_2': unstable shearless FP ($\mu_2^*=$ 0, $b_2^*\neq$ 0) with

 $\eta'_{2} = 0.8000 \varepsilon - 0.0053 \varepsilon^{2} + 0.0110 \varepsilon^{3} + O(\varepsilon^{4})$ Note that at P'_{2}: $\eta^{(2\text{loop})} = 0.795$ [Mauri and Katsnelson '20] • P_{3}: on mechanical stability line $(b_{3}^{*} = 0, \mu_{3}^{*} \neq 0)$ $\eta_{3} = 0.9524 \varepsilon - 0.0711 \varepsilon^{2} - 0.0698 \varepsilon^{3} + O(\varepsilon^{4})$ Same result as in the 2-field model !

- P₁: unstable gaussian FP ($b_1^* = \mu_1^* = 0$) with $\eta_1 = 0$
- P_2': unstable shearless FP ($\mu_2^*=$ 0, $b_2^*\neq$ 0) with

 $\eta'_2 = 0.8000 \varepsilon - 0.0053 \varepsilon^2 + 0.0110 \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4)$ Note that at P'_2: $\eta^{(2loop)} = 0.795$ [Mauri and Katsnelson '20]

• P₃: on mechanical stability line ($b_3^* = 0$, $\mu_3^* \neq 0$)

 $\eta_3 = 0.9524 \epsilon - 0.0711 \epsilon^2 - 0.0698 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$

Same result as in the 2-field model !

• P₄: IR-stable non-trivial fixed point $(b_4^* \text{ and } \mu_4^*)$

$$\eta \equiv \eta_4 = \frac{24}{25} \epsilon - \frac{144}{3125} \epsilon^2 - \frac{4(1286928 \zeta_3 - 568241)}{146484375} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$$

Same result as in the 2-field model !

- P₁: unstable gaussian FP ($b_1^* = \mu_1^* = 0$) with $\eta_1 = 0$
- P_2': unstable shearless FP ($\mu_2^*=$ 0, $b_2^*\neq$ 0) with

 $\eta_2' = 0.8000 \varepsilon - 0.0053 \varepsilon^2 + 0.0110 \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4)$

Note that at P'₂: $\eta^{(2loop)} = 0.795$ [Mauri and Katsnelson '20]

• P₃: on mechanical stability line ($b_3^*=$ 0, $\mu_3^*\neq$ 0)

$$\eta_3 = 0.9524 \epsilon - 0.0711 \epsilon^2 - 0.0698 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$$

Same result as in the 2-field model !

• P₄: IR-stable non-trivial fixed point (b_4^* and μ_4^*)

$$\eta \equiv \eta_4 = \frac{24}{25} \epsilon - \frac{144}{3125} \epsilon^2 - \frac{4(1286928 \zeta_3 - 568241)}{146484375} \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$$

Same result as in the 2-field model !

- P₁: unstable gaussian FP ($b_1^* = \mu_1^* = 0$) with $\eta_1 = 0$
- P_2': unstable shearless FP ($\mu_2^*=$ 0, $b_2^*\neq$ 0) with

 $\eta_2' = 0.8000 \varepsilon - 0.0053 \varepsilon^2 + 0.0110 \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4)$

Note that at P'_2: $\eta^{(2loop)} = 0.795$ [Mauri and Katsnelson '20]

• P₃: on mechanical stability line ($b_3^*=$ 0, $\mu_3^*\neq$ 0)

$$\eta_3 = 0.9524 \epsilon - 0.0711 \epsilon^2 - 0.0698 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$$

Same result as in the 2-field model !

• P₄: IR-stable non-trivial fixed point (b_4^* and μ_4^*)

$$\eta \equiv \eta_4 = \frac{24}{25}\epsilon - \frac{144}{3125}\epsilon^2 - \frac{4(1286928\zeta_3 - 568241)}{146484375}\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$$

Same result as in the 2-field model !
P₄ contols the physics of the flat phase

Structure of the perturbative series and comparison From our results (+ Pikelner's 4-loop) we find ($d_c = 1$ and $D = 4 - 2\varepsilon$):

• P'₂:
$$\eta'_2 = 0.8000 \varepsilon - 0.0053 \varepsilon^2 + 0.0110 \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4)$$

• P₃: $\eta_3 = 0.9524 \epsilon - 0.0711 \epsilon^2 - 0.0698 \epsilon^3 - 0.0750 \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5)$

• P₄: IR-stable non-trivial fixed point

$$\begin{split} \eta &\equiv \eta_4 &= 0.9600 \,\epsilon - 0.0461 \,\epsilon^2 - 0.0267 \,\epsilon^3 - 0.0200 \,\epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5) \\ \eta^{\mathsf{SCSA}} &= 0.9600 \,\epsilon - 0.0476 \,\epsilon^2 - 0.0280 \,\epsilon^3 - 0.0177 \,\epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5) \\ \eta^{\mathsf{NPRG}} &= 0.9600 \,\epsilon - 0.0367 \,\epsilon^2 - 0.0266 \,\epsilon^3 - 0.0178 \,\epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5) \end{split}$$

Asymptotic series but small (and essentially decreasing) coefficients Case D = 2 ($\varepsilon = 1$) and at P₄:

$$\eta^{1\text{-loop}} = 0.96\,, \ \eta^{2\text{-loop}} = 0.9139\,, \ \eta^{3\text{-loop}} = 0.8872\,, \ \eta^{4\text{-loop}} = 0.8670\,, \ \eta^{4\text{-loop}} = 0.867$$

$$\eta^{\mathsf{SCSA}} = 0.8209\,, \ \eta^{\mathsf{NPRG}} = 0.8491\,, \ \eta^{\mathsf{sim}} = 0.795(10)\,, \ \eta^{[2/2]} = 0.806$$

Beyond the rainbow (cancellations)

Factor \mathcal{V} (our previous results correspond to $\mathcal{V} = 1$) SCSA exact in the rainbow approximation ($\mathcal{V} = 0$ no vertex corrections) • P'₂: $\sigma_{\eta_2} = 0.8 \varepsilon - 0.00533 \varepsilon^2 + (0.02478 - 0.01376 \times V) \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4)$ $\eta^{\text{SCSA}} = 0.8 \epsilon - 0.00533 \epsilon^2 + 0.02478 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$ $n^{\text{NPRG}} = 0.8 \epsilon + 0.0347 \epsilon^2 + 0.0098 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$ • P3: $\eta_3 = 0.9524 \epsilon - (0.067 + 0.0043 \times \mathcal{V}) \epsilon^2 - (0.056 + 0.014 \times \mathcal{V}) \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$ $n^{\text{SCSA}} = 0.9524 \epsilon - 0.067 \epsilon^2 - 0.056 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$ $\eta^{\text{NPRG}} = 0.9524 \epsilon - 0.054 \epsilon^2 + 0.052 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$ • P₄: $\eta_4 = 0.96 \epsilon - (0.0476 - 0.0015 \times \mathcal{V}) \epsilon^2 - (0.0280 - 0.0012 \times \mathcal{V}) \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$ $\eta^{\text{SCSA}} = 0.96 \epsilon - 0.0476 \epsilon^2 - 0.0280 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$ $\eta^{\text{NPRG}} = 0.96 \epsilon - 0.0367 \epsilon^2 - 0.0266 \epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^4)$

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Field theoretic approach

3 Results



Conclusion

Field theoretic approach to the flat phase of polymerized membranes:

- highly derivative field theories
- intricate tensor structure
- requires state of the art automation of calculations

From our exact results:

- $\eta^{3-\text{loop}} = 0.8872$ in the range of values obtained by other methods
- 2-field model and EFT have identical final results (strong check) despite the fact that intermediate steps differ
- asymptotic perturbative series have remarkably small (and mostly decreasing) coefficients (very rare in theoretical physics)
- this peculiar structure partly arises from strong cancellations, e.g., of vertex corrections ⇒ explains the success of SCSA (and NPRG)

Conclusion

Field theoretic approach to the flat phase of polymerized membranes:

- highly derivative field theories
- intricate tensor structure
- requires state of the art automation of calculations

From our exact results:

- $\eta^{\rm 3-loop}=$ 0.8872 in the range of values obtained by other methods
- 2-field model and EFT have identical final results (strong check) despite the fact that intermediate steps differ
- asymptotic perturbative series have remarkably small (and mostly decreasing) coefficients (very rare in theoretical physics)
- this peculiar structure partly arises from strong cancellations, e.g., of vertex corrections ⇒ explains the success of SCSA (and NPRG)

Another important and non-trivial effect to take into account: disorder

\Rightarrow next talk by Simon Metayer

Conclusion

Field theoretic approach to the flat phase of polymerized membranes:

- highly derivative field theories
- intricate tensor structure
- requires state of the art automation of calculations

From our exact results:

- $\eta^{\rm 3-loop}=$ 0.8872 in the range of values obtained by other methods
- 2-field model and EFT have identical final results (strong check) despite the fact that intermediate steps differ
- asymptotic perturbative series have remarkably small (and mostly decreasing) coefficients (very rare in theoretical physics)
- this peculiar structure partly arises from strong cancellations, e.g., of vertex corrections ⇒ explains the success of SCSA (and NPRG)

Another important and non-trivial effect to take into account: disorder

\Rightarrow next talk by Simon Metayer