

REPLICAS FOR RFIM

We will divide the sum by two to count each spin pair only once

$$H_h = -\frac{J}{2N} \sum_{i \neq j} s_i s_j - \sum_i h_i s_i$$

we called the field variance σ^2

$$P(h_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{h_i^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

0 - RESCALE $h_i \rightarrow \sqrt{J} \cdot h_i \Rightarrow P(h_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{h_i^2}{2}}$

1 - STARTING FROM THE LATTICE VERSION WHERE

$\sum_{i,j}$ AND COUPLING STRENGTH IS $O(1)$ \Rightarrow

WE RESCALE $\rightarrow \frac{J}{N}$ & TAKE $\sum_{i,j}$ TO DO THE

MEAN-FIELD CASE.

2 - REPLICAS

$$\left[-\beta f_h \right] = \frac{1}{N} \left[\ln Z_h \right]_h = \frac{1}{N} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{Z_h^n - 1}{n} \right]_h$$

$$-\beta N \left[f_h \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{Z_h^n - 1}{nN} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} [Z_h^n] &= \left[\sum_{3S_i^{a,g}} e^{-\beta H_h [3S_i^{a,g}]} \right]_h \\ &= \sum_{3S_i^{a,g}} e^{\frac{\beta J}{2N} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{a=1}^n S_i^{a,g} S_j^{a,g}} \left[e^{-\beta \sum_{a=1}^n h_i S_i^a} \right]_h \end{aligned}$$

CALL THEM η_i

NOTE: SUM OVER REPLICAS IN EXPONENTIAL



$$\left[e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^a} \right]_h = \prod_i \frac{d\mu_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\eta_i^2}{2} - \beta \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^a}$$

$$= \prod_i e^{\frac{(\beta \eta_i)^2 (s_i^a)^2}{2}}$$

$$\left[z_h^n \right] = \sum_{3s_i^a g} e^{\frac{\beta J}{2N} \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a s_j^a + \frac{(\beta \eta_i)^2}{2} \sum_i \left(\sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a \right)^2}$$

NOTE: THE SUM IS WITHIN THE SQUARE
=> REPLICAS INTERACT.

$$\sum_{ij} s_i^a s_j^a - \sum_i (s_i^a)^2$$

$$= \sum_{3s_i^a g} e^{\frac{\beta J}{N} \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right)^2 + \left[\frac{(\beta \eta_i)^2}{2} - \frac{\beta J}{N} \right] \sum_i \left(\sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a \right)^2}$$

~~ALTHOUGH BOTH PLOT TO SAME $\sum_i (s_i^a)^2$, FACTOR, THE $1/N$ MAKES 2nd NEGIGIBLE~~

$$\xrightarrow{N \gg 1} \sum_{3s_i^a g} e^{\frac{\beta J}{N} \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right)^2 + \frac{(\beta \eta_i)^2}{2} \sum_i \left(\sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a \right)^2}$$

- Now, use Hubbard-Stratonovich or Gaussian decoupling

$$e^{\lambda b^2} = \int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z^2 + \underbrace{\sqrt{2\lambda} bz}_{-\frac{1}{2}(z - \sqrt{2\lambda} b)^2} - \lambda b^2 + \lambda b^2}$$

To decouple the 1st term in the exponential
(i.e., from a double sum \sum_{ij} to a single one.)

$$e^{\left(\frac{\beta J}{N}\right)} \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z_a^2 + \sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2N}} \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right) z_a}$$

FOR EACH
a INDEX
 \Rightarrow NEED AN
INDEX a IN Z

AND $[z^n]$ BECOMES

$$[z_h^n] = \sum_{3s_i^a g} \int \frac{dz_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z_a^2 + \sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2N}} \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right) z_a} \cdot \frac{(\beta J)_h^2}{2} \sum_{a=1}^n [s_i^a]^2$$

FOR CONVENIENCE, I
FACTORIZED THE EXPONENTIALS

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{a=1}^n \frac{dz_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^n z_a^2} \sum_{3s_i^a g} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2N}} \sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a z_a + (\beta J)_h^2 \sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a \sum_{b=1}^n s_i^b}$$

IT'S A MULTIPLE
INTEGRAL, THERE
ARE n OF THEM

SINCE THE i-SITES ARE
DECOPLED \Rightarrow IT'S A MUTE
INDEX AND ONE CAN ELIMINATE
IT, AND CONSIDER ONLY ONE
SUM \sum_{s^a} TO THE POWER N:

$$\left(\sum_{3s^a g} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2N}} \sum_{a=1}^n s^a z_a + (\beta J)_h^2 \sum_{a=1}^n s^a \sum_{b=1}^n s^b} \right)^N$$

AND ONE WRITES $[z_h^n]$ IN A MORE COMPACT FORM:

$$[z_h^n] = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{a=1}^n \prod_{a=1}^n dz_a e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_a z_a^2 + N \ln \sum_1^n (z_a s^a)}$$

WITH $\sum_1^1 (z_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\beta s^{\alpha}} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2N}} \sum_{a=1}^n s^a z_a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_{ab=1}^n s^a s^b}$

WE SEEM TO HAVE USELESSLY TRADED THE INT BTW $(ij) \rightarrow$
 INT BTW (ab) AND NOW WE HAVE ANOTHER PART SUM TO
 COMPUTE. LET'S GO AHEAD.

- REDEFINE $z_a \rightarrow \sqrt{N} z_a$

henceforth h is σ_h but I cannot write on the pages anymore.

$$[z_h^n] = \left(\frac{N}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\prod_{a=1}^n dz_a} e^{-N \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_a z_a^2 - \ln \sum_1^1 (z_{\alpha}) \right]}$$

$$\sum_{\beta s^{\alpha}} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2}} \sum_{a=1}^n s^a z_a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_{ab=1}^n s^a s^b}$$

- NOW, $\rightarrow N \rightarrow \infty$ AND ONE CAN EVALUATE THE INT BY STEEPEST DESCENT (EVEN THOUGH THERE ARE n VARIABLES OVER WHICH OPTIMISE). ASSUME THAT THE EXTREMA WE ARE LOOKING FOR ARE SUCH THAT

$$z_a^{\text{EXT}} = z^{\text{EXT}} \quad \text{INDEP. OF } a \quad \rightarrow$$

$$z_a^{\text{EXT}} = \frac{\frac{\partial \sum_1^1 (z_{\alpha})}{\partial z_a}}{\sum_1^1 (z_{\alpha})} \Big|_{z_a^{\text{EXT}}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{SOLUTION} \\ \text{INDEP. OF } a \end{array}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{\beta s^{\alpha}} (\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2}} s^a) e^{\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2}} \sum_a s^a z_a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_{ab=1}^n s^a s^b}}{\sum_1^1 (z_{\alpha})}$$

WE SEE THAT $z_a^{\text{ext}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2}} \langle s^a \rangle Z_1(z_a^{\text{ext}})$

if, moreover, $z_a^{\text{ext}} = z^{\text{ext}} \Rightarrow$

$$z^{\text{ext}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2}} \langle s^a \rangle Z_1(z^{\text{ext}}) \quad \text{mod of } a-$$

REPLACE now z_a by z^{ext} in $[z_n]$ AND, FURTHERMORE,

in $[f_n]$:

$$-\beta [f_n] = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{nN} \left\{ \left(\frac{N}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} e^{-N \left[\frac{1}{2} n (z^{\text{ext}})^2 - \ln Z_1(z^{\text{ext}}) \right]} - 1 \right\}$$

\curvearrowright GOES TO 1 FOR $n \rightarrow 0$

$$= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{nN} \left\{ e^{-N \left[\frac{1}{2} n (z^{\text{ext}})^2 - \ln Z_1(z^{\text{ext}}) \right]} - 1 \right\}$$

ONE CAN DECOUPLE NOW THE REDUCA INDEXED IN $Z_1(z^{\text{ext}})$

TO COMPUTE THE SUM \sum_{3s^a} EXPLICATIV.

$$Z_1(z^{\text{ext}}) = \sum_{3s^a} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2}} z^{\text{ext}} \sum_a s^a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_{ab=1}^n s^a s^b}$$

$$= \sum_{3s^a} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{2}} z^{\text{ext}} \sum_a s^a} \int \frac{dw}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} w^2} \frac{1}{\pi} e^{w \beta h s^a}$$

NOW WE HAVE DECOUPLED THE $\{s^a\}_s$ AND WE CAN COMPUTE THE \sum_{s^a} :

$$\sum_{s^a} e^{\frac{\sqrt{2\beta J}}{2} z^{ext} s^a + w \beta h s^a}$$

FOR EACH $a = n$
IDENTICAL PART.
SUMS, LEADING TO

$$\Rightarrow \left[2 \operatorname{ch} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\beta J}}{2} z^{ext} + w \beta h \right) \right]^n \Rightarrow$$

$$\tilde{Z}_n(z^{ext}) = \int \frac{dw}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}w^2} \underbrace{\left[2 \operatorname{ch} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\beta J}}{2} z^{ext} + w \beta h \right) \right]^n}_{e^{n \ln \left(2 \operatorname{ch} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\beta J}}{2} z^{ext} + w \beta h \right) \right)}}$$

AND, FINALLY,

$$-\beta [f_a] = \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{n N} \left\{ e^{-N \left[\frac{1}{2} n (z^{ext})^2 - \ln \int \frac{dw}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}w^2} \right]} e^{n \ln \left(2 \operatorname{ch} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\beta J}}{2} z^{ext} + w \beta h \right) \right)} \right\} - 1$$

AND WE WILL USE $\langle\langle \cdot \rangle\rangle$ TO INDICATE THE AVERAGE OVER THE GAUSSIAN WEIGHT OF THE NEW AUXILIARY VARIABLE w .

FIRST WE TAKE $n \rightarrow 0$ IN THE EXPONENTIAL:

$$A = e^{n \ln \left(2 \operatorname{ch} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\beta J}}{2} z^{ext} + w \beta h \right) \right)} \approx 1 + n \ln \left(2 \operatorname{ch} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\beta J}}{2} z^{ext} + w \beta h \right) \right)$$

→ THE 1 GOES BELOW $\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle = 1$

→ THE SECOND TERM REMAINS AND WE HAVE

$$\ln \ll \left[1 + m \ln \left(2 \operatorname{ch} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta J}} z^{\text{ext}} + \beta h w \right) \right) \right] \gg$$

\rightarrow

$$m \rightarrow 0 \quad n \ll \ln \left(2 \operatorname{ch} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta J}} z^{\text{ext}} + \beta h w \right) \right) \gg$$

HERE
WE USED
 $\ln(1+\epsilon) \approx \epsilon$

SO, WITH THE $n \rightarrow 0$ WE GOT RID ON ONE \ln FUNCTION
NOW, THE FULL EXPONENT IS PROP TO n AND WE TAKE

AGAIN $n \rightarrow 0$:

$$e^{-Nm \text{ Exponent}} \approx 1 - Nm \text{ Exponent}$$

THE 1 CANCELS THE (-1) AND THE Nm SIMPLIFY WITH

THE $\frac{1}{n}$:

$$-\beta [f_a] = \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow 0 \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} - \left\{ + \frac{1}{2} (z^{\text{ext}})^2 - \ll \ln \left(2 \operatorname{ch} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta J}} z^{\text{ext}} + \beta h w \right) \right) \gg \right\}$$

THERE'S NO MORE
DEPENDENCE ON (m, n)
⇒ IRRELEVANT

$$\beta [f_a] = \frac{1}{2} (z^{\text{ext}})^2 - \ll \ln \left(2 \operatorname{ch} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta J}} z^{\text{ext}} + \beta h w \right) \right) \gg$$

RECALLING THAT $Z^{\text{ext}} = \sqrt{2\beta J} m$, WE REPLACE AND

$$[f_J] = Jm^2 - \frac{1}{\beta} \ll \ln \left(2d \left(\frac{2\beta Jm + \beta h w}{2} \right) \right)$$

AVERAGED
FREE-ENERGY
DENSITY

of w/ ISING MODEL IN A FIELD.

$$\frac{\partial [f_J]}{\partial m} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = 2\beta m - \frac{1}{\beta} \ll \frac{\partial \ln(2\beta Jm + \beta hw)}{\partial m} \xrightarrow{2\beta J} \gg$$

Everywhere the 1/2 comes from the normalisation of the sum over neighbours in the Hamiltonian, that is more usually written with the red 1/2. Then the critical temperature is changed as well, since it's like making $J \rightarrow J/2$

$$m_{\text{ext}} = \ll \tanh \left(\frac{2\beta J m + \beta h w}{2} \right) \gg \quad \text{SADDLE-POINT EQ.}$$

- $m_{\text{ext}} = 0$ IS A SOLUTION $\forall (\beta J, \beta h)$

INDEED $0 = \ll \tanh(\beta h w) \gg$ AND THE RHS = 0 SINCE $P(w)$ IS SYMM WRT 0 WHILE $\tanh \beta h w$ IS NOT.

$$m_{\text{PM}} = 0$$

PARAM SOLUTION.

- ASSUME A 2nd ORDER PHASE TRANSITION, i.e., WITH $m_{\text{PM}} \approx 0$ CLOSE TO T_c & EXPAND THE \tanh

$$M_{\text{ext}} \approx \ll h(\beta_{\text{hw}}) + \frac{ch^2 \beta_{\text{hw}} - sh^2 \beta_{\text{hw}}}{ch^2 \beta_{\text{hw}}} 2\beta J M_{\text{ext}}$$

$$M_{\text{ext}} = \ll h(\beta_{\text{hw}}) + \frac{2\beta J M_{\text{ext}}}{ch^2 \beta_{\text{hw}}} \ll \frac{1}{ch^2 \beta_{\text{hw}}} \gg$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{1 = \frac{2\beta_c J}{ch^2 \beta_{\text{hw}}} \ll \frac{1}{ch^2 \beta_{\text{hw}}} \gg}$$

IT'S A CONDITION ON β_c

GIVEN J AND β_h THAT IS

IMPACT IN THE $p(h) \rightarrow p(h)$ WITH UNIT VARIANCE BUT THAT WE HAVE TO USE h FACTOR IS $h = \beta_h$ OUTSIDE

PARAMETERS $(\beta_c J), (\beta_c h)$

IN THIS WRITING

$$\text{OR } x_c = \beta_c J \quad y_c = \beta_c h \quad \text{OR} \quad y_c = \frac{\beta_c}{J} J h$$

$$= (\beta_c J) \left(\frac{h}{J} \right)$$

$$= x_c \left(\frac{h}{J} \right)$$

With the factors of 2 cancelled it's a nicer expression

$$\boxed{1 = \frac{2\beta_c J}{ch^2 (\beta_c J) \left(\frac{h_c}{J} \right)} \ll \frac{1}{ch^2 (\beta_c J) \left(\frac{h_c}{J} \right)} \gg}$$

