# **Classical spin & gauge models**

(the quantum toric code accuracy threshold)

Leticia F. Cugliandolo Sorbonne Université leticia@lpthe.jussieu.fr www.lpthe.jussieu.fr/~leticia

In collaboration with Ramgopal Agrawal (post-doc) & M. Picco at LPTHE

and L. Faoro & L. loffe at Google Santa Barbara

Bangkok, 2024

## **Quantum computation**

#### Some ideas

#### **Basic units of information**

classical (bit)

 $n_i = 0, 1$ 

quantum (qubit)

 $|\psi_i
angle=a_i|0
angle+b_i|1
angle$  with  $|a_i|^2+|b_i|^2=1$  - advantage



#### but problems on the quantum side

Protection of quantum information against coupling to **environment** decoherence  $\rightarrow$  classical Action with quantum gates unitary transformations continuum values  $\rightarrow$  dephasing

## **Quantum encoding**

Kitaev's 2D toric quantum code & the stabilizer formalism

#### Kitaev's 2D toric quantum code

Quantum information is encoded in the **global topological properties** of a macroscopic state and can then be fully **recovered** using **error correcting codes** up to a certain error rate, called **accuracy threshold** 

A. Y. Kitaev 97

Quantum error correcting codes could be extensions of the classical repetition ones :

bit 0 copied  $0 \mapsto 000$ ; transferred with, possibly, one error 010; apply majority rule  $010 \mapsto 0$ ; original bit recovered

#### The stabilizer code identifies

check operators to detect errors & correction operators to rectify them

#### D. Gottesman 97

## **Encoding and error correction**

### **Stabilizer method**

A two state **qubit** |0
angle or |1
angle

Susceptible to flip, e.g.  $\hat{\sigma}_{x}|0\rangle = |1\rangle$ , and phase, e.g.  $\hat{\sigma}_{z}|1\rangle = -|1\rangle$ , errors

A code is a joint state of n qubits, e.g.  $|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle$ n $\hat{\sigma}_x \otimes \hat{\sigma}_z \otimes \hat{\sigma}_x \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\sigma}_z$  is an example of operator acting on an *n* qubit state

The **stabilizer operators**  $\{\hat{P}_{\alpha}\}$  form a **group** and leave the basis set of a code  $\{|\psi_a\rangle\}$  invariant :  $\hat{P}_{\alpha}|\psi_a\rangle = |\psi_a\rangle$  for all  $\alpha, a$ 

Quantum information can be stored in  $|\psi_a\rangle$  or superpositions  $|\psi\rangle$ 

Take an **error operator**  $\hat{E}$  such that  $\hat{E}|\psi\rangle = |\psi'\rangle \neq |\psi\rangle$ it anti-commutes with any  $\hat{P}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\hat{E}\hat{P}_{\alpha} = -\hat{E}\hat{P}_{\alpha}$ , and  $\hat{P}_{\alpha}|\psi'\rangle = -|\psi'\rangle$ 

#### **Definition**

Call  $|\psi_i\rangle = a_i |1\rangle + b_i |0\rangle$  the state of the *i*th **qu-bit** 

Place the **qu-bits** on the links of a square lattice defined on a 2d surface with non trivial topology, e.g. a **torus** 

The state of the  $n = L^2$  qu-bits is  $|\Psi\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^n \otimes |\Psi_i\rangle$ 

**Local check/stabilizer operators**  $\hat{P}_{\alpha}$ : tensor product of four Pauli operators acting on the four selected qu-bits on a plaquette or link,  $\otimes \mathbb{I}$  on all other

$$\hat{O}_p = \prod_{i \in p} \otimes \hat{\sigma}_i^z \qquad \qquad \hat{O}_v = \prod_{i \in v} \otimes \hat{\sigma}_i^x$$

They all commute can be diagonalized simultaneously



### **Storing information**

Hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = -J\sum_{p}\hat{O}_{p} - J\sum_{v}\hat{O}_{v}$$

Model defined on a torus

### ground state manifold dim 4

trivial GS  $\hat{O}_{p,v}$  |GS  $\rangle = +1$  |GS  $\rangle$  for all p, v

a loop of "reversed" links in one or the other direction

a double loop winding in both directions of this kind

Excitations created by string operators - anyons

Ground states protected against local perturbation



#### **Errors**

An error occurs (on a link) or a set of errors (on a path)

a pair of defects (on the attached vertices) nucleate

#### To correct it/them

act with operators along a "**recovery chain**" bounded by the two defects (Like bringing together the two defects and letting them annihilate)

If the closed loop of both the error chain and the recovery chain is

### homologically trivial

 $\Rightarrow$  correction is possible

#### homologically nontrivial

 $\Rightarrow$  correction is not possible



### **Errors assumed to be stochastic and independent**

They appear independently on different sites, those created by  $\hat{\sigma}_x$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_z$  are equally likely and have probability *p* 

The probability that the measured syndrome bit is faulty is q



horizontal (space) : bit-flip or bit-phase errors *p* vertical (time) : measurement errors *q* 

From the statistics of these lines and the ones used to correct the errors : mapping to canonical equilibrium of classical statistical physics disordered models

E. Dennis, A. Y. Kitaev, A. Landahl & J. Preskill 02

## **Limits to correction**

### Mapping to classical models

Qubit (flip & phase) error

Qubit (flip & phase) and measurement errors

sequential measurements  $\mapsto$  time

2D  $\pm J$  spin-glass  $\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j$  $P(J_{ij}) = p \delta_{J_{ij},-J} + (1-p) \delta_{J_{ij},J}$ 

Control parameters

 $\beta J$  & error concentration p

(2+1)D random plaquette gauge model

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{p_h} J_{p_h} \prod_{i \in p_h} \sigma_i - \sum_{p_v} J_{p_v} \prod_{i \in p_v} \sigma_i$$
$$P(J_{p_h}) = p \delta_{J_{p_h}, -J} + (1-p) \delta_{J_{p_h}, J}$$
$$P(J_{p_v}) = q \delta_{J_{p_v}, -K} + (1-q) \delta_{J_{p_v}, K}$$

 $\beta J$ ,  $\beta K \& p$  (qubit) & q (meas) error prob

one Nishimori relation

 $e^{-2\beta J} = \frac{p}{1-p}$ 

two Nishimori relations

$$e^{-2\beta J} = \frac{p}{1-p}$$
  $e^{-2\beta K} = \frac{q}{1-q}$ 

# **Limits to correction**

### **Accuracy thresholds**

Qubit (flip & phase) error 2D  $\pm J$  spin-glass

Qubit (flip & phase) and measurement errors (2+1)D random plaquette gauge model

Nishimori critical point

 $(p_N, T_N(p_N))$ 

between FM and PM phases

Disordered **Wegner 71** gauge model if  $p = q \Rightarrow (P_N, T_N(p_N))$ 

confinement transition





E. Dennis, A. Y. Kitaev, A. Landahl & J. Preskill 02

## Plan

### **Statistical physics perspective**

### — The $\pm J$ 2D Ising Model

The equilibrium phase diagram revisited

Nishimori curve & critical behaviour

Out of equilibrium critical dynamics - Universality? CFT?

Space-time correlations & winding angle, short-time dynamics

#### — The pure 3D Gauge Model

The equilibrium phase diagram revisited

Fortuin-Kasteleyn clusters & geometric loops

Out of equilibrium critical dynamics

— The  $\pm J$  and  $\pm K$  3D Gauge Model

The equilibrium phase diagram revisited

Out of equilibrium critical dynamics

# $\pm J 2d$ Ising Model

#### **Definition**

$$\mathcal{H} = -rac{1}{2}\sum_{\langle ij
angle} J_{ij}s_is_j$$

$$s_{i} = \pm 1$$
  

$$J_{ij} = \pm J \quad \text{quenched randomness}$$
  

$$P(J_{ij}) = p \underbrace{\delta_{J_{ij}, -J}}_{AF} + (1 - p) \underbrace{\delta_{J_{ij}, J}}_{FM} \qquad [J_{ij}] = (1 - p) \underbrace{\delta_{J_{ij}, J}}_{[J_{ij}]^{2}} = J^{2}$$

- *p* controls the level of **frustration** 
  - p = 0 Ferromagnetic Ising Model
  - p = 1/2 (unbiased) Ising Spin-Glass
  - p = 1 Anti-Ferromagnetic Ising Model

symmetry  $p \leftrightarrow 1 - p$ 

-2p)J

## **Effects of disorder**

#### **Results for weak disorder**

– Does disorder kill the ordered phase? no, but  $T_c(p) \searrow$  for  $p \nearrow$  expected

#### - Effect on the phase transition

Harris Criterium : the randomness is relevant (irrelevant) if the specific heat exponent  $\alpha$  of the pure (p = 0) model is positive (negative)

**A. B. Harris**, J. Phys. C7, 1671 (1974) but for the 2d Ising Model  $\alpha = 0$ 

#### – Conformal field theory in 2d?

The n = 0 Gross-Neveu model (for not too large p, see below)

Vik. S. Dotsenko & VI. S. Dotsenko, Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. 33, 37 (1981)

#### – Do critical exponents change?

No, close to  $T_{\rm Is}$  VI. S. Dotsenko, M. Picco & P. Pujol, Nucl. Phys. 455, 701 (1995)

# $\pm J 2d$ Ising Model

### The equilibrium phase diagram (J = 1)

#### Second order phase transition between FM & PM phases



L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. 65, 117 (1944)



#### Paramagnetic phase

#### Ferromagnetic phase

# $\pm J 2d$ Ising Model

### The equilibrium phase diagram

Second order phase transition between FM & PM phases



\*H. Nishimori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 66, 1169 (1981)

# **The Nishimori line**

### **Special features**

Local gauge invariance : simultaneous spin and couplings transformation which leave the functional form of  $\mathcal{H}$  invariant but change  $P(J_{ij})$ 

On the Nishimori line  $e^{-2\beta J} = \frac{p}{1-p}$ : exact expression for  $[\langle \mathcal{H} \rangle](p)$ , etc.

The Nishimori line meets the FM-PM transition line at a tri-critical point  $(p_N, T_N)$ 

Phase transition in the Kitaev's quantum toric code

A. Yu. Kitaev, Russian Math. Surveys 52, 1191 (1997)

Below  $p_N$  encoded information can be protected arbitrarily well

Above  $p_N$  it cannot

*p* is the qu-bit (independent) **error probability**, in the limit of a large code block

E. Dennis, A. Kitaev, A. Landahl & J. Preskill, J. Math. Phys. 43, 4452 (2002)

# $\pm J 2d$ Ising Model

#### The equilibrium phase diagram

 $T_{\text{Is}} \ge T > T_N$  Disorder is marginally relevant  $\Rightarrow (T_{\text{Is}}, p = 0)$  PM-FM Ising criticality

Vik. Dotsenko and VI. Dotsenko, Adv. Phys. 32, 129 (1983)





 $0 \le T < T_N$  Strong disorder  $\Rightarrow (T_0 = 0, p_0)$  criticality and then T = 0 spin-glass

F. Parisen Toldin, A Pelisetto & E. Vicari, J Stat Phys 135, 1039 (2009)

# **Critical points**

### **Exponents & equilibrium universality classes**

$p_c$	$T_c$	ν	η	К*	
0	$T_{\rm Is}=2.29$	1	0.25	3	FM-PM Ising $^1$
$p_N = 0.109$	$T_N = 0.95$	4/1.5	0.18	2.22	Bi-critical <sup>2</sup>
$p_0 = 0.103$	$T_0 = 0$	1.5	0.128	1.93	FM-SG <sup>3</sup>
$p_0$	$T_{\rm SG}=0$	∞	0.14	2.1	SG-PM <sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. 65, 117 (1944)
- \*O. Schramm, Isr. J. Math. 118, 221 (2000) J. Cardy, Ann. Phys. 318, 81 (2005)
- <sup>2</sup>W. L. Mc Millan, PRB 29, 4026 (1984) M. Hasenbusch *et al.*, PRE 77, 051115 (2008)
- <sup>3</sup>F. Parisen Toldin, A. Pelissetto & E. Vicari, J. Stat. Phys 135, 1039 (2009)
- <sup>4</sup>H. Katzgraber, L. W. Lee & I. A. Campbell, PRB 75, 014412 (2007)
- J. Poulter & J. A. Blackman, Phys. Rev. B 72, 104422 (2005).

 $2-1/\nu = (6-\kappa)/\kappa$  works at  $T>T_N$  and also on the SG if  $\kappa = 2$ 

Is there a Conformal Field Theory for the N point?

At least, what is  $\kappa$ ?

**Consider single spin flip stochastic dynamics** 

Critical dynamics of the  $\pm J$  2D Ising Model?

**Dynamic Universality?** 

# 2d FM Ising Model

### $p=0\ {\rm critical}\ {\rm dynamics}\ {\rm under}\ {\rm single}\ {\rm spin}\ {\rm MC}\ {\rm updates}$

### Instantaneous quench to the Ising FM-PM critical point from $T_i \rightarrow \infty$



Progressive growth of critical structures

Typical length scale of critical patches growing algebraically

$$\xi(t) \sim t^{1/z_c}$$

Similar phenomenology expected on the full critical FM-PM line

How to measure  $z_c$ ?

## **Space-time correlations**

of simultaneous fluctuations

 $C(\mathbf{r},t) = [\langle s_i(t)s_j(t)\rangle] - [\langle s_i(t)\rangle][\langle s_j(t)\rangle] \quad \text{for} \quad \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j = \mathbf{r}$ 

Scaling for the infinite size  $L \rightarrow \infty$  system

$$C(r,t) = r^{-\eta} f\left(\frac{r}{\xi(t)}\right)$$

Effective dynamic exponent

tends to

Dynamic critical exponent

$$\frac{1}{z_{\text{eff}}(t)} = \frac{d\ln\xi(t)}{d\ln t} \quad \Rightarrow \quad z_c = \lim_{t \to \infty} z_{\text{eff}}(t)$$

 $z_c = 2.17$  at the p = 0 FM 2d case

from Monte Carlo numerical simulations, but also RG, high temperature series expansions, damage spreading, etc

# **Short-time dynamics**

### at a critical point

$$m_2(t) = \left[ \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N s_i(t) \right)^2 \right\rangle \right]$$

for  $R_{\min} \ll \xi(t) \ll \xi_{eq}, L$ 

Increase right after the quench from  $T_i \rightarrow \infty$  with (similar to *initial slip* exponent)

$$m_2(t) \sim t^{\zeta}$$
 with  $\zeta = \frac{1}{z_c} \left( d - \frac{2\beta}{\nu} \right)$ 

H. Janssen, B. Schaub & B. Schmittmann, Z. Phys. B Cond. Matt. 73, 539 (1989)

E. V. Albano et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 74, 026501 (2011)

# Winding angle

### **Definition - critical curves**



Out of equilibrium  $\left[\langle \theta^2(r,t) \rangle\right] \sim \frac{4\kappa}{8+\kappa} \ln\left(\frac{r}{\xi^{d_f}(t)}\right)$ 

#### Blanchard, LFC, Picco & Tartaglia, 2012-2018

# Winding angle

2d FM (p=0) Ising Model quenched from  $T_i \rightarrow \infty$  to  $T_{Is}$ 



Blanchard, LFC & Picco, J. Stat. Mech. P05026 (2012)

# $\pm J 2d$ Ising Model

### More interesting simulation parameters

#### Second order phase transition between FM & PM phases



### **Dynamic scaling of the space-time correlation** $\Rightarrow \xi(t)$ ?



**Pre-asymptotic dynamic critical exponent**  $\Rightarrow z_{eff}^{-1}(t) = d \ln \xi(t) / d \ln t$ 



**FM-PM** Ising critical point  $z_c \sim 2.17$  **OK** 

Then, disorder dependent dynamic critical exponent?

Should not be... usually exponents do not vary on critical lines

### Decay from a magnetized initial condition $M(t) \sim t^{-\beta/(v_{z_c})}$

#### $T > T_N$



 $\beta/\nu = 0.125$  the Ising critical value and  $z_c = 2.96$  from the space-time correlation Crossover at an *L* independent time  $t_{cross} \sim 10^4$  presumably fixed by the disorder strength *p* very weak drift  $z_c \searrow$  after  $t_{cross}$ 

### It was still a pre-asymptotic $z_{eff}(t)$ we expect it to converge to $z_c = 2.17$ , the critical Ising value

### Quenches from $T_i \rightarrow \infty$ to T



# Conclusions 2D $\pm J$ IM

### Hard to get strong quantitative results

 $-T_N < T \leq T_{Is}$  static universality class of the Ising critical point

Most probably also the same dynamic universality class  $z_c \sim 2.17$ 

 $-T = T_N$  new dynamic & static universality classes  $z_c \sim 6$  and  $\kappa \sim 2.2$ 

 $-T_0 \leq T < T_N$  strong disorder static universality class,  $z_{eff}(t) \rightarrow \infty$  but  $\kappa$ ?

The low *T* dynamics are way too slow to conclude Agrawal, LFC, Faoro, loffe & Picco Phys. Rev. E 108, 064131 (2023)

Universality with respect to lattice geometry, disorder distribution, etc. : in preparation



## Plan

### **Statistical physics perspective**

— The  $\pm J$  2D Ising Model

The equilibrium phase diagram revisited

Nishimori curve & critical behaviour

Out of equilibrium critical dynamics - Universality? CFT?

Space-time correlations & winding angle, short-time dynamics

— The pure 3D Gauge Model

The equilibrium phase diagram revisited

Fortuin-Kasteleyn clusters & geometric loops

Out of equilibrium critical dynamics

- The disordered 3D Gauge Model

The equilibrium phase diagram revisited

Out of equilibrium critical dynamics

### **3D Ising Model** $\mapsto$ **Plaquette Model**

Extension of Kramers-Wannier D = 2 duality to D > 2 J = 1

The cubic lattice IM is dual to a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge-invariant IM on a cubic lattice :

the plaquette model with Ising spins on the links of a cubic lattice

The partition functions transform as

Wegner 71

Spins  $\sigma_i \mapsto$  elements in group : Lattice Gauge Theory Kogut 79

Classical limit of Kitaev's 03 Toric Code

#### Local gauge invariance

Two obvious T = 0 ground states  $\sigma_i = 1$  or  $\sigma_i = -1$  for all  $i \Rightarrow O_p = 1$ 

**Local gauge invariance** : reversal of the 6 spins connected to any vertex

 $\sigma_{1\in p}\sigma_{2\in p}\sigma_{3\in p}\sigma_{4\in p}\mapsto$  $(-\sigma_{1\in p})(-\sigma_{2\in p})\sigma_{3\in p}\sigma_{4\in p}$ 



 $\Rightarrow$  Macroscopic degeneracy of ground states

#### **Extensive ground state entropy**

No local order parameter  $\lim_{h\to 0} \langle \sigma_i \rangle_h = 0$  Wegner 71, Elitzur 75

Excitations  $O_p = -1$  frustrated plaquettes magnetic 'charges' created in pairs

disorder the system and eventually lead to a **continuous phase transition** 

Local gauge invariance and non-local order parameter

Two obvious T = 0 ground states  $\sigma_i = 1$  or  $\sigma_i = -1$  for all  $i \Rightarrow O_p = 1$ 

Local gauge invariance : reversal of the 6 spins connected to any vertex

 $\sigma_{1\in p}\sigma_{2\in p}\sigma_{3\in p}\sigma_{4\in p}\mapsto$ 

 $(-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1\in p})(-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2\in p})\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{3\in p}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{4\in p}$ 



 $\Rightarrow$  Macroscopic degeneracy of ground states

No local order parameter  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle = 0$  but still a **continuous phase transition** 

**Gauge invariant non-local order parameter**: average of the spin product along any **Wilson loop**  $W_{\ell} = \prod_{i \in \ell} \sigma_i$ 

$$\langle W_{\ell=\partial S} 
angle = \langle \prod_{p \text{ in } \partial S} O_p 
angle \sim egin{cases} e^{-lpha(eta)S} & ext{high } T \ e^{-\gamma(eta)\ell} & ext{low } T \end{cases}$$



#### Local gauge invariance and non-local order parameter

Two obvious T = 0 ground states  $\sigma_i = 1$  or  $\sigma_i = -1$  for all  $i \Rightarrow O_p = 1$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Macroscopic degeneracy of ground states

No local order parameter  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle = 0$  but still a continuous phase transition

**Gauge invariant non-local order parameter**: average of the spin product along a Wilson loop  $W_{\ell} = \prod_{i \in \ell} \sigma_i$ **Gauge model** 

$$\langle W_{\ell=\partial S} \rangle = \langle \prod_{p \text{ in } \partial S} O_p \rangle \sim \begin{cases} e^{-lpha(eta)S} & \text{high } T \\ e^{-\gamma(eta)\ell} & \text{low } T \end{cases} \stackrel{\text{Topological phase}}{\underset{W_{\mathcal{C}} \sim \text{ Perimeter Law}}{\text{Deconfined phase}}} \stackrel{\text{Confined phase}}{\underset{W_{\mathcal{C}} \sim \text{ Area Law}}{\text{Sing disorder}}}$$

#### **Ising model**

**3DIM universality class** same critical exponents

### **Non-local order parameter**



 $P_x \equiv \langle \prod_{i \in P_x} \sigma_i \rangle$  on a Polyakov loop that is a spanning Wilson loop,  $\ell \neq \partial S$ 

**Gauge invariant non-local order parameter** : the expectation value of a product of spins along a **Polyakov** loop  $\ell \neq \partial S$ In  $\{\sigma'_i\}$ , flip spins on the **plane** only

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{plaq}}(\{\sigma_i\}) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{plaq}}(\{\sigma'_i\})$$
$$P_x(\{\sigma_i\}) = -P_x(\{\sigma'_i\})$$

One bit of information per ground state  $\{\sigma_i\}$ Breaks a global  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry

Robustness against external perturbations



Poulin, Melko & Hastings 18

## **Phase transition**

#### **Standard measurements**



#### **3D Ising Universality class**

 $\alpha = 0.11, \ \beta = 0.33, \ \gamma = 1.24, \ \delta = 4.79, \ \eta = 0.04, \ \nu = 0.63, \ \omega = 0.83$ Checks **E. Kehl, H. Satz & B. Waltl**, Nucl. Phys. B305 [FS23] (1988)

## **Excitations**

### **Flux loops**



Frustrated plaquettes  $O_p = -1$ 

Threading fluxes - closed loops A percolating and a finite size loop

Fig. from Hastings, Watson & Melko 13

Do defect lines percolate at the thermodynamic critical temperature  $T_c$ ?

Yes, Hastings, Watson & Melko 13, Agrawal, LFC, Faoro, loffe & Picco 24

If  $T_p = T_c$ , do the geometric properties of defect loops capture the critical exponents at  $T_c$ ?

No, Agrawal, LFC, Faoro, loffe & Picco 24

cfr. in the 2D Ising model the geometric clusters (excited droplets of parallel spins) percolate at  $T_c$  but they do not have the properties of critical clusters

In the 3D Ising model the geometric clusters percolate at  $T_p < T_c$ 

The (smaller) stochastic Fortuin-Kasteleyn clusters percolate at  $T_c$  and capture the thermodynamic critical properties of Ising models in all D.

Can Fortuin-Kasteleyn clusters be built and measure critical exponents from them?

Seems so, Agrawal, LFC, Faoro, loffe & Picco, in progress

## **Phase transition**

### Flux loops



Locate  $T_c$ 

# **Phase transition**

### **Flux loops**



At  $T > T_c$  compare to these results

 $-l \ll L^2$  Gaussian statistics  $l^{-5/2}$ 

Flory 41, de Gennes 79 (polymers) Vachaspati & Vilenkin 84 (cosmology)

 $-l \gg L^2$  fully-packed loops large-scale statistics  $l^{-1}$ Nahum & Chalker 12 (statistical physics)

# U(1) field theory in 3D

Number of vortex loops in equilibrium (fixed *L*, varying *T*)

$$\int \mathcal{L} = c^{-2} |\dot{\psi}|^2 + i\mu \{\psi^* \dot{\psi} - cc\} - |\nabla \psi|^2 + g\rho |\psi|^2 - \frac{g}{2} |\psi|^4$$

Langevin dynamics

 $-\gamma\dot{\psi}$  viscosity,  $\eta$  Gaussian normal noise

time-dependent complex Ginzburg-Landau, stochastic Goldstone  $\mu \to 0$  and Gross-Pitaevskii  $c \to \infty$  model for BECs close to the Mott insulator transition and in their gaseous phase



 $N^{(S)}(l)$  Number of vortex loops with length l in a system with linear size L and pbc

Kobayashi & LFC 16

## **Phase transition**

### **Critical exponents from loop analysis**



cfr. Winter, Janke & Schakel Phys. Rev. E 77, 061108 (2008)

## **Phase transition**

#### **Critical exponents from Fortuin-Kasteleyn analysis**





Flux loops are shorten with a probabilistic prescription In progress, to be checked and improved

cfr. R. Ben-Av, D. Kandel, E. Katzneison, P. G. Lauwers, and S. Solomon, J. Stat. Phys. 58, 1/2 (1990)

Thermodynamic mapping between the 3DIM and the plaquette Gauge Model (high/low T) but no obvious relation between their stochastic dynamics.

Value of  $z_c$  in the gauge model with single spin flip dynamics?

Energy-energy & Polyakov loop time-delayed correlation functions fitted to decay as  $e^{-t/\tau}$  in equilibrium

Ben-Av, Kandel, Katzneison, Lauwers & Solomon J. Stat. Phys. 58, 125 (1989)

#### $z_c \sim 2.50 \pm 0.3$

Kibble-Zurek scaling under annealing

Xu, Castelnovo, Melko, Chamon & Sandvik, PRB 97, 024432 (2018)

 $z_c \sim 2.70$ 

Also in progress

# **Conclusions 3D Gauge Model**

- Standard measurements confirm 3D Ising criticality
- Flux loops percolate at  $T_c$  but their geometric and statistical properties are non-trivial but do not capture the critical exponents
- FK clusters yield the critical exponents (to be improved)
- Dynamic critical exponent (to be measured)
- Disordered case, especially on Nishimori line (to be studied !)



**Details** 

# **U(1)** field theory in 3d

### **Vorticity & reconnection conventions**

 $2\pi v_x = \sum_{\text{plag}} [\Delta \theta]_{2\pi} = 0, \pm 1, \dots$  ( $\neq 0$  when the field turns around on a plaquette)



One field configuration with two possible line structures Typical choices : maximal & stochastic reconnection rules

while just one choice in



Kajantie et al. 00, Bittner, Krinner & Janke 05, Kobayashi & LFC 16



**Definition** 

During the transmission of information, errors may occur

The aim is to minimize their number/strength

Idea, code the message and uncode it at the end

### **Definition**

A **qu-bit** is a two-state quantum variable,  $|\Psi_i\rangle = a_i |\uparrow\rangle + b_i |\downarrow\rangle$ 

Flip errors,  $\hat{\sigma}_x |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$  & phase errors,  $\hat{\sigma}_z |\uparrow\rangle = \pm |\uparrow\rangle$  occur independently with probability *p* 

Place **qu-bits** on the links of a square lattice defined on a 2d surface with non trivial topology, e.g. a torus  $\prod \otimes |\psi_i\rangle$ 

**Check local operators** : plaquette or link operators, tensor product of four Pauli operators acting on the four qu-bits on the links times identities on all other links

Check operators commute

Measurements of check operators yield +1 no error, or -1 error.



### **Definition**

**Stabilizer group** *G* a set of *n* check operators which applied to a basis state of the quantum error correction code have eigenvalue one,  $P_k |\psi_j\rangle = |\psi_j\rangle$  for any *k*th element in the group and any *j*th element of the basis. Abelian group

Particular case : product of  $\hat{\sigma}_x$  or product of  $\hat{\sigma}_z$  operators.

 $\prod$  of neighbouring plaquette operators : loop on the lattice.

 $\prod$  of neighbouring vertex operators : loop on the dual lattice.

Error operators  $E|\psi\rangle = |\psi'\rangle$ 

String of flip errors on the lattice : vertex operators on the ends yield -1

**Correction operators** E' such that  $E'E \in G$ 

Another string with the same end points so as to close the loop

## **Error correction**

### **Optimal toric code decoder threshold**

Call *p* the (independent) probability of a qu-bit error

What is the maximal p such that code can be corrected?

Probability of a string E' on the lattice that corrects another string of errors E

$$P(E') = (1-p)^N \prod_k \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{n'_k^E} = e^{\beta \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} s_i s_j}$$

 $J_{ij} = \pm J$  with probability 1 - p, p and  $p/(1 - p) \equiv e^{-2\beta J}$  (Nishimori)

Have to study the sum over all paths E'

$$Z = \sum_{E'/EE' \in G} e^{\beta \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} s_i s_j}$$

Mapping to the classical  $\pm J 2d$  Ising model on the Nishimori line

 $p_N$  is the optimal decoding threshold

# Local Gauge invariance

### Ising disordered spin models

Transform the Ising spins  $s_i = \pm 1$  into new Ising spins  $\sigma_i = \eta_i s_i = \pm 1$ Transform the couplings  $J_{ij} = \pm J$  into new ones  $\overline{J}_{ij} = \eta_i \eta_j J_{ij} = \pm J$ with  $\eta_i = \pm 1$  so that  $\eta_i^2 = 1$  for all i

The Hamiltonian of the system remains unchanged

$$\mathcal{H}_{\overline{J}_{ij}}[\{\sigma_i\}] = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \overline{J}_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} s_i s_j = \mathcal{H}_{J_{ij}}[\{s_i\}]$$

but the distribution of couplings may change depending on the  $\eta_i$ s

 $P(J_{ij}) \mapsto \overline{P}(\overline{J}_{ij})$ 

Valid  $\forall$  Ising models with two-body couplings on any lattice/graph

# **The Nishimori line**

#### **Special features**

The bimodal distribution of couplings can be rewritten as

 $P(J_{ij}) = (1-p) \,\delta_{J_{ij},J} + p \,\delta_{J_{ij},-J} = \frac{e^{K_p J_{ij}/J}}{2\cosh K_p}$ with  $e^{2K_p} \equiv \frac{1-p}{p}$ It transforms according to  $P(J_{ij}) \mapsto \overline{P}(\overline{J}_{ij}) = \eta_i \eta_j \,\frac{e^{K_p \overline{J}_{ij} \eta_i \eta_j/J}}{2\cosh K_p}$ The Nishimori line is defined by  $\beta J = K_p = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)$ with the limits p = 0, T = 0 and  $p = 1/2, T \to \infty$ 

Several exact results can be derived on the Nishimori line

 $(p_N, T_N)$  is a **multi-critical point**, different from critical percolation

### Gauge invariance and order parameter

Two obvious T = 0 ground states  $\sigma_i = 1$  or  $\sigma_i = -1$  for all  $i \Rightarrow A_P = 1$ 

Excitations  $A_P = -1$  akin to magnetic fluxes

Local gauge invariance : reversal of the 6 spins connected to a vertex

 $\sigma_{1\in P}\sigma_{2\in P}\sigma_{3\in P}\sigma_{4\in P}\mapsto$  $(-\sigma_{1\in P})(-\sigma_{2\in P})\sigma_{3\in P}\sigma_{4\in P}$ 



#### Macroscopic degeneracy of ground states

No local order parameter  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle = 0$  for all *i* but still a continuous phase transition Topological transition between **deconfined** (low *T*) to **confined** (high *T*) phases

Same universality class as the 3DIM

# **Random networks**

### Localization phenomena

Express the partition function as  $Z \propto \operatorname{Tr} \prod_{k} \hat{T}_{k}$  a product of transfer matrices All  $\hat{T}_{k}$  are different since disorder-dependent, expressed in terms of  $\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{x}$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{z}$ Use Jordan-Wigner transformation to introduce fermions, then transform them to Dirac fermions (by doubling the model)

Network tight-binding Hamiltonian for free fermions with random hopping

paramagnet  $\equiv$  insulator

#### **Localization problem**

ferromagnet  $\equiv$  quantum Hall conductor

**S. Cho and M. P. A. Fisher**, PRB 55, 1025 (1997)

I. Gruzberg, N. Read, and A. Ludwig, PRB 63, 024404 (2001)

F. Merz and J. T. Chalker, PRB 65, 054425 (2002)

# $\pm J 2d$ Ising Model

#### The equilibrium phase diagram

 $T_{\text{Is}} \ge T > T_N$  Disorder is marginally relevant  $\Rightarrow (T_{\text{Is}}, p = 0)$  PM-FM Ising criticality

A. B. Harris, J. Phys. C : Sol. St. Phys. 7, 1671 (1974)

M. Picco, A. Honecker, and P. Pujol, J. Stat. Mech. P09006 (2006)



T. Jörg, J. Lukic, E. Marinari, and O. C. Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 237205 (2006)

F. Parisen Toldin, A Pelisetto, and E. Vicari, Phys. Rev. E 82, 021106 (2010)

# **Ultra slow dynamics at** $p_N, T_N$

### Quench from $T_i = T_{Is}$ to $T_N$



A portion of the system

The overall structure changes very little over a long time span

Decay from a magnetized initial condition  $M(t) \sim t^{-\beta/(v_{z_c})}$ 

 $T > T_N$ 



 $\beta/\nu = 0.125$  the Ising critical value and  $z_c$  from the space-time correlation  $t_{cross} \sim 7 \times 10^3$  for p = 0.05 <  $t_{cross} \sim 2 \times 10^4$  for p = 0.07L independent  $t_{cross}$  (being checked) drift  $z_c \searrow$  after  $t_{cross}$ 

For p = 0.05,  $z_c$  has already reached 2.2 at  $t = 10^5$  (not far from  $z_c = 2.17$ )

# **Self-correcting memories**

A passive physical device that stores information robustly at finite T despite fluctuations of its external parameters like magnetic field, pressure, etc

Symmetry broken finite-temperature phase, stable against perturbations 2DIM : stores two bits but they are not stable under a magnetic field Many equivalent states to store many things

Mixing time grows with the system size

Probability that the system spontaneously transitions from one phase to another, exponentially suppressed with the system size.

The Gibbs phase rule can be avoided in cases with non-local order parameters.

Poulin, Melko & Hastings 18

### **Loop representation : lines along** • spins $\sigma_i = 1$



Fig. from Greplova, Valenti, Boschung, Schäfer, Lörch & Huber 20