Active Matter in two dimensions

Leticia F. Cugliandolo

Sorbonne Université Institut Universitaire de France

leticia@lpthe.jussieu.fr www.lpthe.jussieu.fr/~leticia

Work in collaboration with

- C. Caporusso, G. Gonnella, P. Digregorio, G. Negro & I. Petrelli (Bari)
- L. Carenza (Bari & Istanbul)
- A. Suma (Trieste, Philadelphia & Bari)
- D. Levis & I. Pagonabarraga (Barcelona & Lausanne)

Definition

Active matter is composed of large numbers of active "agents", which consume energy and thus move or exert mechanical forces.

Due to the energy consumption, these systems are intrinsically out of thermal equilibrium.

Homogeneous energy injection (not from the borders, *cfr.* shear).

Coupling to the environment (bath) allows for dissipation

Realisations & modelling

• Wide range of scales: macroscopic to microscopic

Natural examples are birds, fish, cells, bacteria.

Artificial realisations are Janus particles, asymmetric grains, toys, etc.

- Embedding spaces in 3d, 2d and 1d.
- Modelling: very detailed to coarse-grained or schematic:
 - microscopic or *ab initio* with focus on active mechanism,
 - mesoscopic, just forces that do not derive from a potential,
 - Cellular automata like in the Vicsek model.

Natural & artificial systems



Experiments & observations **Bartolo et al.** Lyon, **Bocquet et al.** Paris, **Cavagna et al.** Roma, **di Leonardo et al.** Roma, **Dauchot et al.** Paris, just to mention some Europeans

Realisations & modelling

• Wide range of scales: macroscopic to microscopic

Natural examples are birds, fish, cells, bacteria.

Artificial realisations are Janus particles, asymmetric grains, toys, etc.

- Embedding spaces in 3d, 2d and 1d.
- Modelling: very detailed to coarse-grained or schematic:
 - microscopic or *ab initio* with focus on active mechanism,
 - mesoscopic, just forces that do not derive from a potential,
 - Cellular automata like in the Vicsek model.

Active Brownian Disks in 2d

(Overdamped) Langevin equations (the standard model)

Active force $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{act}}$ along $\mathbf{n}_i = (\cos \theta_i, \sin \theta_i)$



$$m\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_i + \gamma \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i = F_{\text{act}} \mathbf{n}_i - \nabla_i \sum_{j(\neq i)} U_{\text{Mie}}(r_{ij}) + \boldsymbol{\xi}_i , \qquad \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i = \eta_i ,$$

 \mathbf{r}_i position of *i*th particle & $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$ inter-part distance,

 $U_{
m Mie}$ short-range **repulsive** Mie potential, over-damped limit $m\ll\gamma$

 ξ and η zero-mean Gaussian noises with $\langle \xi_i^a(t) \, \xi_j^b(t') \rangle = 2\gamma k_B T \delta_{ij}^{ab} \delta(t-t')$ and $\langle \eta_i(t) \, \eta_j(t') \rangle = 2D_{\theta} \delta_{ij} \delta(t-t')$ The units of length, time and energy are given by σ , $\tau_p = D_{\theta}^{-1}$ and ε $D_{\theta} = 3k_B T/(\gamma \sigma^2)$ controls persistence, $\gamma/m = 10$ and $k_B T = 0.05$ Péclet number Pe = $F_{act} \sigma/(k_B T)$ measures activity and $\phi = \pi \sigma^2 N/(4S)$

The typical motion of particles in interaction



The active force induces a persistent random motion due to $\langle \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{act}}(t) \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{act}}(t') \rangle \propto F_{\mathrm{act}}^2 e^{-(t-t')/\tau_p}$ with $\tau_p = D_{\theta}^{-1}$

Questions – à la Statistical Physics

- Pe ϕ Phase diagram
- Mechanisms for phase transitions.
 - Topological defects.
 - Dynamics across phase transitions.
- Motility Induced Phase Separation.

• Influence of particle shape, *e.g.* disks *vs.* dumbbells.

Questions – à la Statistical Physics

- Pe ϕ Phase diagram start from solid and dilute
- Mechanisms for phase transitions.
 - Topological defects.
 - Dynamics across phase transitions.
- Motility Induced Phase Separation.

• Influence of particle shape, *e.g.* disks *vs.* dumbbells.

Freezing/Melting

Two step route in passive Pe = 0.2d systems



Image from Pal, Kamal & Raghunathan, Sc. Rep. 6, 32313 (2016)

Freezing/Melting - arrows

Hexatic (orientational) order parameter $\psi_{6j} = \frac{1}{nn_j} \sum_{k=1}^{nn_j} e^{i6\theta_{jk}}$





Phase Diagram

Solid, hexatic, liquid, co-existence and MIPS



First order liquid - hexatic transition & co-existence at low Pe from

- Pressure $P(\phi, \mathsf{Pe})$ (EoS)
- Distributions of local densities ϕ_i and hexatic order parameter $|\psi_{6i}|$

Phases characterized by

- Translational correlations $C_{q_0}(r)$ & orientational order correlations $g_6(r)$

Digregorio, Levis, Suma, LFC, Gonnella & Pagonabarraga, PRL 121, 098003 (2018)

Freezing/Melting - defects

Mechanisms in $2d\ {\rm passive\ systems}$



Phase diagram with solid, hexatic, liquid, co-existence and MIPS



Different from BKTHN picture

1st order **hexatic**-liquid close to Pe = 0

KT-HNY solid-hexatic dislocation unbinding

disclination unbinding in liquid

percolation of defect clusters in liquid

Pressure $P(\phi, \text{Pe})$ (EOS), correlations $C_{q_0}(r)$, $g_6(r)$, and distributions of ϕ_i , $|\psi_{6i}|$ defect identification & counting

Digregorio, Levis, Suma, LFC, Gonnella & Pagonabarraga, PRL 121, 098003 (2018) Digregorio, Levis, LFC, Gonnella & Pagonabarraga, Soft Matter 18, 566 (2022)

Topological defects

Summary of results

• Solid - hexatic à la BKT-HNY even quantitatively (ν value) and independently of the activity (Pe) Universality

• **Hexatic** - **liquid** very few disclinations and not even free Breakdown of the BKT-HNY picture for all Pe (even zero)

- Close to, but in the liquid, percolation of *clusters of defects* with properties of uncorrelated critical percolation ($d_{\rm f}, \tau$)
- In MIPS, network of defects on top of the interfaces between hexatically ordered regions, interrupted by the gas bubbles in cavitation

Digregorio, D Levis, LF Cugliandolo, G Gonnella, I Pagonabarraga, Soft Matter 18, 566 (2022)

Mechanisms

Unbinding of dislocations & disclinations?



Dislocations ▼ unbind at the **solid** - **hexatic** transition as in BKT-HNY theory

$$\rho_{dislocations} \sim a \, \exp\left[-b \, \left(\frac{\phi_c}{\phi_c - \phi}\right)^{\nu}\right] \qquad \nu \sim 0.37 \ \forall \, \mathrm{Pe}$$

Disclinations I unbind when the **liquid** appears in the co-existence region

Digregorio et al. Soft Matter 18, 566 (22); experiments Han, Ha, Alsayed & Yodh, PRE 77, 041406 (08)

Disclinations

At the hexatic - liquid transition ϕ_l at all Pe



dislocations disclinations

Very few disclinations, and always very close to other defects, so not free



Close to the hexatic - liquid transition



As soon as the liquid appears in co-existence, defects in clusters dominate

Clusters

Percolation of defect clusters: the critical curve



Critical percolation with

fractal properties $d_{
m f} \sim 1.9$ and

corresponding algebraic size distribution $\tau\sim 2.05$

With some coarse-graining the percolation curve moves upward towards the

hextic-liquid critical one.

Do they coincide?

Questions – à la Statistical Physics

• Pe - ϕ Phase diagram.

• Mechanisms for phase transitions.

- Topological defects.

- Dynamics across phase transitions.
- Motility Induced Phase Separation.

• Influence of particle shape, *e.g.* disks *vs.* dumbbells.

Phase diagram with solid, hexatic, liquid, co-existence and MIPS



Motility induced phase separation (MIPS) gas & dense Cates & Tailleur Ann. Rev. CM 6, 219 (2015) Farage, Krinninger & Brader PRE 91, 042310 (2015)

Pressure $P(\phi, \text{Pe})$ (EOS), correlations $G_T(r)$, $G_6(r)$, and distributions of ϕ_i , $|\psi_{6i}|$

Digregorio, Levis, Suma, LFC, Gonnella & Pagonabarraga, PRL 121, 098003 (2018)

Motility Induced Phase Separation

The basic mechanism



Particles collide heads-on and cluster even in the absence of attractive forces



 $\rightarrow \textbf{blue 0} \qquad \qquad \leftarrow \textbf{red } \pi$

The colours indicate the direction along which the particles are pushed by the active force $m{F}_{
m act}$

MIPS

Particle orientation at the borders



Zoom over left border $\rightarrow 0$

MIPS

Particle orientation at the borders



Zoom over **right border** $\leftarrow \pi$

MIPS

Local density distributions - dense & gas



The position of the peaks does not change while changing the global packing fraction ϕ but their relative height does. Transfer of mass from gas to **dense** component as ϕ increases



Is it just a conventional phase separation?



Similar to phase separation with percentage of system covered by dense and gas phases determined by a level rule?

The dense phase

Hexatic patches, defects, bubbles



Dense/dilute separation¹ For low packing fraction ϕ a single round droplet Growth² of clusters³ with a mosaic of hexatic orders³ with gas bubbles^{2,4,5} & defects⁶

¹Cates & Tailleur, Annu. Rev. Cond. Matt. Phys. 6, 219 (2015)
²Caporusso, Digregorio, Levis, LFC & Gonnella, PRL 125, 178004 (2020)
³Caporusso, Digregorio, LFC, Gonnella, Levis & Suma, PRL 131, 068201 (2023)
⁴Tjhung, Nardini & Cates, PRX 8, 031080 (2018)
⁵Shi, Fausti, Chaté, Nardini & Solon, PRL 125, 168001 (2020)
⁶Digregorio, Levis, LFC, Gonnella & Pagonabarraga, Soft Matter 18, 566 (2022)

Structure

Dynamic structure factor \Rightarrow growing length of dense component



 $k_{\rm I}(t) \propto R^{-1}(t)$

No sign of fractality here. Porod's law $S(k) \sim k^{-(d+1)}$ for compact domains with sharp interfaces

The growth law

Growing length of the dense component and regimes



In scaling regime $t^{1/3}$ like in Lifshitz-Slyozov-Wagner, scalar phase separation.

More about it & asymptotic value later

Local hexatic order

Growing length of the orientational order – regimes



 $R_H \sim t^{0.13}$ in the scaling regime and $R_H \rightarrow R_H^s \ll L$ Similar to pattern formation, e.g. Vega, Harrison, Angelescu, Trawick, Huse, Chaikin & Register, PRE 71 061803 (2005)

Bubbles in cavitation

At the internal interfaces bubbles pop up



Bubbles appear and disappear at the interfaces between hexatic patches

Algebraic distribution of bubble sizes with a Pe-dependent exponential cut-off

Growth of the dense phase

Scaling of the structure factor and growth regimes



In the scaling regime $t^{1/3}$ like in Lifshitz-Slyozov-Wagner, scalar phase separation Ostwald ripening small cluster evaporate and large ones capture gas particles

but is it just that?

Growth of the dense phase

Focus on the clusters



On the averaged scaling regime: Redner, Hagan & Baskaran, PRL 110, 055701 (2013) Stenhammar, Marenduzzo, Allen & Cates, Soft Matter 10, 1489 (2014) Caporusso, Digregorio, Levis, LFC & Gonnella, PRL 125, 178004 (2020)





1. Is it like the one undergone by a system of **passive attractive particles**?



Ostwald ripening

2. Other **mechanisms** for the growth process?



Cluster-cluster aggregation

Dense clusters

Instantaneous configurations (DBSCAN)

Passive





Active

The Mie potential is not truncated in the passive case \Rightarrow attractive

Parameters are such that R(t) is the same

Colors in the zoomed box indicate orientational order

Caporusso, LFC, Digregorio, Gonnella, Levis & Suma, PRL 131, 068201 (2023)

Dense clusters

Visual facts about the instantaneous configurations

Similarities

- Large variety of shapes and sizes (masses)

Co-existence of

small regular (dark blue) and large elongated (gray) clusters

Differences

- Rougher interfaces in active
- Homogeneous (passive) vs. heterogeneous (active) orientational order within the clusters

Cluster dynamics

Tracking of individual cluster motion - video





In red the center of mass trajectory

Active is much faster than passive

Dense clusters

Visual facts about the cluster dynamics

In both cases, **Ostwald ripening** features

- small clusters evaporate
- gas particles attach to large clusters

In the active system

- clusters displace much more & sometimes aggregate
- they also break & recombine

like in diffusion limited cluster-cluster aggregation

Dense clusters

Averaged mass $\overline{M}\equiv N_c^{-1}(t)\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_c(t)}M_\alpha(t)\sim t^{2/3}$



Same three regimes as in R from the structure factor

Clusters' dynamics origin?

Active cluster evolution

Mean Square Displacement: diffusion

Average over all clusters





 $\Delta_k^2(t, t_0) = [\mathbf{r}_{\text{c.o.m.}}^{(k)}(t) - \mathbf{r}_{\text{c.o.m.}}^{(k)}(t_0)]^2 \sim 2d D(M_k, \text{Pe}) (t - t_0)$

A sum of random forces yields $D \sim M^{-1}$ Passive tracer in a dilute active bath $D \sim R^{-1} \sim M^{-1/2}$ Solon & Horowitz (22) Passive & very heavy isolated active clusters behave as $D \sim M^{-1}$



Scatter plots: small regular - large fractal



Data sampled in the scaling regime $t=10^3-10^5$ every 10^3 time steps

 $\overline{M}(t) = rac{1}{N_c(t)} \sum_{k=1}^{N_c(t)} M_k(t)$ and $N_c(t)$ the total number of clusters at time t

Cluster-cluster aggregation

Extended Smoluchowski argument

From $\overline{R}_g \sim t^{1/z}$ and using $D(M) \sim M^{-\alpha}$ Smoluchowski eq. $\Rightarrow z = d_f(1 + \alpha) - (d - d_w)$

Regular clusters $M < \overline{M}$ Fractal clusters $M > \overline{M}$ $d_f = d = d_w = 2$ $d_f = 1.45, d = 2$ and $d_w \sim 2$ $\alpha = 0.5$ $\alpha = 0.5$ in the bulkz = 2(1+0.5) = 3z = 1.45(1+0.5) = 2.18 < 3

Reviews on the application of fractals to colloidal aggregation

R. Jullien, Croatia Chemica Acta 65, 215 (1992) P. Meakin, Physica Scripta 46, 295 (1992)

Regular vs fractal clusters

Radius of gyration and number



fractal z < 3

Less

average $z = 1/0.31 \sim 3$

All

regular $z \gtrsim 3$ More Dominate

Results I

We established the full phase diagram of ABPs solid, hexatic, liquid & MIPS





We clarified the role played by point-like (dislocations & disclinations) and clustered defects in passive & active 2d models.

In MIPS

Micro vs. macro: hexatic patches & bubbles



Results II



Difference between

Passive

Active

growth

Ostwald ripening & cluster-cluster diffusive aggregation in active case cluster-cluster aggregation almost not present in passive

Co-existence of regular and fractal clusters

Heterogeneous orientational order in large active clusters

Beyond disks

Phase diagrams & plenty of interesting facts



Disks

Dumbbells

LFC, Digregorio, Gonnella & Suma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 268002 (2017)

Dumbbell clusters

Trajectories



The radius of the c.o.m. trajectory



Non-vanishing : active torque T_{act} & force F_{act} Rotation instead of ABP diffusion Video

Caporusso, Negro, Suma, Digregorio, Carenza, Digregorio, Gonnella & LFC, Soft Matter (2024)

Caporusso, LFC, Digregorio, Gonnella & Suma, in preparation

Extras

Cluster-cluster aggregation

Extended Smoluchowski argument

From $\overline{R}_g \sim t^{1/z}$ and using $D(M) \sim M^{-\alpha}$ Smoluchowski eq. $\Rightarrow z = d_f(1 + \alpha) - (d - d_w)$

Regular clusters $M < \overline{M}$ Fractal clusters $M > \overline{M}$ $d_f = d = d_w = 2$ $d_f = 1.45, d = 2$ and $d_w \sim 2$ $\alpha = 0.5$ if, instead, $\alpha = 1$ z = 2(1 + 0.5) = 3 $z = 1.45(1 + 1) \sim 3$

Reviews on the application of fractals to colloidal aggregation

R. Jullien, Croatia Chemica Acta 65, 215 (1992) P. Meakin, Physica Scripta 46, 295 (1992)

Dislocations

At the solid-hexatic transition for all Pe $\nu = 0.37$ Universality



Four (ϕ_c , ν , a, b dotted) vs. three (ϕ_c , $\nu = 0.37$, a, b dashed) parameter fits on data in the hexatic & solid phases only. Criteria to support $\nu = 0.37$:

- $-\chi^2$ *Cfr.* Batrouni et al for 2dXY
- not crazy values for a, b but crazy values for ν if let to be fitted
- difference between ϕ_c and ϕ_h erased by coarse-graining

Interfaces

Clusters of defects – mostly along hexatic-hexatic interfaces



Zoom over the rectangular selection

Clusters of defects

Size distribution - Finite size cut-off



Independence of ϕ at fixed Pe within MIPS

 $n^* \sim 30, 50, 200$ in the solid, hexatic and MIPS, respectively, and $\tau \sim 2.2$