Gravity, twistors and the MHV formalism Graviton scattering on anti-self-dual backgrounds with ideas from twistor-string theory

L.J.Mason

The Mathematical Institute, Oxford lmason@maths.ox.ac.uk

LPTHE Jussieu Meeting Paris, December 13 2008

Based on hep-th/0808.3907, joint work with Dave Skinner (also 0706.1941 joint with Martin Wolf).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Gravitational perturbation theory

Consider (\mathcal{M}^4, g) with Einstein Hilbert action $\int_{\mathcal{M}^4} R d\mu_g$.

Feynman diagrams for gravity are very complicated.

- non-polynomial action → ∞ vertices, gauge freedom/fixing → many many diagrams.
- DeWitt's 4 particle tree-level amplitude was a computational tour-de-force.

But recently, hidden structures have been found:

- Cachazo, Svrcek & Witten used Twistor-string theory → MHV diagram formalism for Yang-Mills & conformal gravity.
- Boels, M. & Skinner constructed twistor actions for YM and conformal gravity (cf also Gorsky, Rosly, Selivanov, Mansfield).

Is there a similar story for Einstein Gravity? Long term aim: reverse engineer twistor-string theory for gravity (Abou-Zeid, Hull & M hep-th/0606272, probably self-dual, Nair).

Gravitational perturbation theory

Consider (\mathcal{M}^4, g) with Einstein Hilbert action $\int_{\mathcal{M}^4} R d\mu_g$.

Feynman diagrams for gravity are very complicated.

- non-polynomial action → ∞ vertices, gauge freedom/fixing → many many diagrams.
- DeWitt's 4 particle tree-level amplitude was a computational tour-de-force.

But recently, hidden structures have been found:

- Cachazo, Svrcek & Witten used Twistor-string theory → MHV diagram formalism for Yang-Mills & conformal gravity.
- Boels, M. & Skinner constructed twistor actions for YM and conformal gravity (cf also Gorsky, Rosly, Selivanov, Mansfield).

Is there a similar story for Einstein Gravity?

Long term aim: reverse engineer twistor-string theory for gravity (Abou-Zeid, Hull & M hep-th/0606272, probably self-dual, Nair).

• For YM and conformal gravity can write action as

$$S_{\rm Full} = S_{\rm ASD} + S_{\rm MHV}$$

 $S_{\rm ASD}$ contains kinetic terms & interactions of ASD sector, $S_{\rm MHV}$ contains remaining interactions of full theory.

• We reformulate on twistor space where ASD sector is of Chern-Simons type (reflecting complete integrability).

Two special gauges

- 1. leads directly to space-time formulation,
- 2. leads to MHV formalism as Feynman rules.
 - axial on twistor space, **not** accessible from space-time.
 - It linearizes the ASD sector, reducing *S*_{ASD} to kinetic terms; realizes complete integrability of ASD sector.
 - $S_{\rm MHV}$ = generating function for MHV amplitudes.

Spinor helicity formalism

• Momentum eigenstates have $P^2 = 0$. In spinors \rightsquigarrow

$$p^{AA'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} P^0 + P^3 & P^1 + iP^2 \\ P^1 - iP^2 & P^0 - P^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad A=0,1, A'=0',1'$$

 $P_a P^a = \det P^{AA'}$ so $P^2 = 0$ gives $P^{AA'} = p^A p^{A'}$.

- Linear gravity represented by Weyl tensor decomposes
 Weyl = Weyl⁺⊕ Weyl⁻ = (+) helicity ⊕(-) helicity.
- Polarization information ↔ phase of p^A i.e. (-) helicity Weyl spinor:

$$\Psi_{ABCD}(x) = \mathrm{e}^{iP\cdot x} p_A p_B p_C p_D \,.$$

 Scattering amplitudes are functions of incoming/outgoing momentum spinors.

Maximal Helicity Violating (MHV) amplitudes for gravity

- If all particles in a scattering process are helicity, or just one is +, the tree-level amplitudes vanish.
- The first nontrivial MHV amplitudes is ++--...-:

$$M(1^+, 2^-, 3^-, \dots, n-1^-, n^+) = \delta^4 (\sum_i P_i) \frac{[1n]^8}{[1n-1][n-1n][n]} \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n [ii+1]} \prod_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{\langle k | P_{k+1} + \dots + P_{n-1} | n]}{[kn]} + \Pi_{(2,\dots,n-2)} \right\} .$$

where $\langle 1 \, 2 \rangle := p_{1A} p_2^A$, $[1 \, 2] := p_{1A'} p_2^{A'}$, $\langle 1 | 2 | 3] = p_{1A} P_2^{AA'} p_{3A'}$.

• Berends, Giele & Kuijf (1988) using Kawai Llewellyn Tye string theory relations between gravity and Yang-Mills.

MHV formalism

Bjerrum-Bohr et. al. (2006) argue that all gravity amplitudes are generated by MHV vertices and scalar propagators.

- Uses recursion relations and asymptotic properties of amplitudes.
- Dramatic simplification; impossible to see from space-time action.
- Proof is controversial & breaks down at 12 points, Elvang & Freedman.

Objective of this talk: To show how this structure might arise from a twistor formulation of gravity. (Work with D.Skinner).

ASD gravity: Complexify space-time, and consider equations

$$\operatorname{Ric} = \operatorname{Weyl}^+ = \mathbf{0}$$
, $\operatorname{Weyl}^- \neq \mathbf{0}$.

- Nonlinear version of helicity field, Nonlinear graviton.
- Newman, Penrose, Plebanski showed these equations to be completely integrable using twistor theory and H-space.
- Complete integrability of ASD eqs → vanishing of - ... amplitude and + - ... amplitude.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Can we expand full theory about its ASD sector?

Chiral action for expansion about ASD sector Abou-Zeid, Hull hep-th/0511189

Use Plebanski-Palatini formulation with variables (on \mathcal{M}^4):

• e^{AA'}, tetrad of 1-forms s.t.

$$\mathrm{d} s^2 = e^{AA'} \odot e^{BB'} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{A'B'} \,, \quad \varepsilon_{AB} = -\varepsilon_{BA} \,, \; \varepsilon_{01} = 1 \,.$$

• $\Gamma_{A'B'} = \Gamma_{(A'B')}$ the + spin connection 1-forms.

Action

$$S = \int_{\mathscr{M}} \Sigma^{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{B}'} \left(d \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{B}'} + \kappa^2 \, \Gamma^{\mathcal{C}'}_{\mathcal{A}'} \wedge \Gamma_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{C}'}
ight) \, ,$$

where $\Sigma^{A'B'} = e_A^{(A'} \wedge e^{B')A}$.

Field equations

$$\mathrm{d}\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}'B'} + 2\kappa^2 \Gamma_{C'}^{(\mathcal{A}'} \wedge \Sigma^{B')C'} = 0, \ \left(\mathrm{d}\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}'B'} + \kappa^2 \Gamma_{(\mathcal{A}'}^{C'} \Gamma_{B')C'}\right) \wedge \mathrm{e}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}'} = 0.$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \kappa^2 \Gamma_{A'B'} = \text{primed spin connection 1-form, Ricci= 0.}$

The ASD sector and perturbations around it

ASD sector: Set $\kappa = 0 \rightsquigarrow$ field equations

 $d\Sigma^{A'B'} = 0 \Rightarrow$ metric is ASD. and

$$d\Gamma_{A'B'} \wedge e^{AA'} = 0, \Rightarrow d\Gamma_{A'B'} = \psi_{A'B'C'D'} \Sigma^{C'D'}$$

and $\psi_{A'B'C'D'}$ is linearized SD Weyl spinor on ASD background.

Linearized gravitational perturbations: For $\delta e^{AA'} = h^{AA'}$ on the ASD background, define $\gamma_{A'B'}(h)$ by

$$\mathrm{d}(h^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'}\wedge e_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}'})+2\gamma_{C'}^{(\mathcal{A}'}\Sigma^{\mathcal{B}')C'}=0$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

and then $\gamma_{A'B'}$ satisfies $d\gamma_{A'B'} \wedge e^{AB'} = 0$.

The ASD sector and perturbations around it

ASD sector: Set $\kappa = 0 \rightsquigarrow$ field equations

 $d\Sigma^{A'B'} = 0 \Rightarrow$ metric is ASD. and

$$d\Gamma_{A'B'} \wedge e^{AA'} = 0 \,, \Rightarrow d\Gamma_{A'B'} = \psi_{A'B'C'D'} \Sigma^{C'D'}$$

and $\psi_{A'B'C'D'}$ is linearized SD Weyl spinor on ASD background.

Linearized gravitational perturbations: For $\delta e^{AA'} = h^{AA'}$ on the ASD background, define $\gamma_{A'B'}(h)$ by

$$\mathrm{d}(h^{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'} \wedge e^{B')}_{\mathcal{A}}) + 2\gamma^{(\mathcal{A}'}_{\mathcal{C}'}\Sigma^{B')\mathcal{C}'} = 0$$

and then $\gamma_{A'B'}$ satisfies $d\gamma_{A'B'} \wedge e^{AB'} = 0$.

(ロ)、

Background field formula for MHV amplitudes

Define ASD metric perturbations to be:

$$V^{-} = \{h^{AA'} \mid \gamma_{A'B'}(h) = 0\}.$$

• SD perturbations are not well-defined as $\delta \psi_{ABCD} = 0$ is not diffeomorphism invariant, but, can define

$$V^+ = \{\gamma_{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{B}'} \mid \mathrm{d}\gamma_{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{B}'} \wedge \boldsymbol{e}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}'} = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

So the space V of gravitational perturbations decomposes

$$\mathsf{0} o \, \mathsf{V}^- o \, \mathsf{V} o \, \mathsf{V}^+ o \mathsf{0}$$
 .

MHV scattering arises from extra term in full action

$$M(1+,2+,m{e}^-) = \int_{\mathscr{M}} \Sigma^{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{B}'} \wedge \gamma_{1\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{C}'} \wedge \gamma^{\mathcal{C}'}_{2\mathcal{B}'} \,.$$

and obstruct the splitting $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$.

 Normal MHV amplitude arises from ASD nonlinear superposition of plane waves {*M*, e^{AA'}}, background coupled plane waves γ₁ and γ₂ evaluated in integral.

Twistor theory & the nonlinear graviton

Flat correspondence:

- Complex space-time $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{C}^4$, coords $x^{AA'}$,
- Twistor space $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}^4$, coords $Z^{\alpha} = (\omega^A, \pi_{A'})$, $\alpha = (A, A')$. Projective twistors: $\mathbb{PT} = \{\mathbb{T} - 0\}/\{Z \sim \lambda Z, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\} = \mathbb{CP}^3$. $\mathbb{PT}' = \{Z \in \mathbb{PT} | \pi_{A'} \neq 0\}$, for finite space-time.
- Incidence relation

$$\omega^{A} = i x^{AA'} \pi_{A'}.$$

 ${\text{Point } x \in \mathbb{M}} \longleftrightarrow {L_x = \mathbb{CP}^1 \subset \mathbb{PT}}, \text{ hgs coords } \pi_{A'}.$

Theorem (Penrose, 1976)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{Deformations of complex} \\ \text{structure, } \mathbb{PT}' \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{PT} \end{array} \right\} \stackrel{1-1}{\longleftrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{anti-self-dual} & \text{deforma-} \\ \text{tions of conformal struc-} \\ \text{ture} & (\mathbb{M}, \eta) \rightsquigarrow (M, [g]). \end{array} \right\}$

For Ricci-flat $g \in [g]$, \mathscr{PT} must have fibration $p : \mathscr{PT} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ and holomorphic symplectic form on fibres valued in $p^*\mathcal{O}(2)$.

Twistor theory & the nonlinear graviton

Flat correspondence:

- Complex space-time $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{C}^4$, coords $x^{AA'}$,
- Twistor space $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}^4$, coords $Z^{\alpha} = (\omega^A, \pi_{A'}), \alpha = (A, A')$. Projective twistors: $\mathbb{PT} = \{\mathbb{T} - 0\}/\{Z \sim \lambda Z, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\} = \mathbb{CP}^3$. $\mathbb{PT}' = \{Z \in \mathbb{PT} | \pi_{A'} \neq 0\}$, for finite space-time.
- Incidence relation

$$\omega^{\mathbf{A}} = i \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}'} \pi_{\mathbf{A}'}.$$

 ${\text{Point } x \in \mathbb{M}} \longleftrightarrow {L_x = \mathbb{CP}^1 \subset \mathbb{PT}}, \text{ hgs coords } \pi_{A'}.$

Theorem (Penrose, 1976)

 $\left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{Deformations of complex} \\ \text{structure, } \mathbb{PT}' \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{PT} \end{matrix} \right\} \stackrel{1-1}{\longleftrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{anti-self-dual} & \text{deforma-} \\ \text{tions of conformal struc-} \\ \text{ture } (\mathbb{M}, \eta) \rightsquigarrow (M, [g]). \end{matrix} \right\}$

For Ricci-flat $g \in [g]$, \mathscr{PT} must have fibration $p : \mathscr{PT} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ and holomorphic symplectic form on fibres valued in $p^*\mathcal{O}(2)$.

Twistor theory & the nonlinear graviton

Flat correspondence:

- Complex space-time $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{C}^4$, coords $x^{AA'}$,
- Twistor space $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}^4$, coords $Z^{\alpha} = (\omega^A, \pi_{A'}), \alpha = (A, A')$. Projective twistors: $\mathbb{PT} = \{\mathbb{T} - 0\}/\{Z \sim \lambda Z, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\} = \mathbb{CP}^3$. $\mathbb{PT}' = \{Z \in \mathbb{PT} | \pi_{A'} \neq 0\}$, for finite space-time.
- Incidence relation

$$\omega^{\mathbf{A}} = i \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}'} \pi_{\mathbf{A}'}.$$

 ${\text{Point } x \in \mathbb{M}} \longleftrightarrow {L_x = \mathbb{CP}^1 \subset \mathbb{PT}}, \text{ hgs coords } \pi_{A'}.$

Theorem (Penrose, 1976)

 $\left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{Deformations of complex} \\ \text{structure, } \mathbb{PT}' \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{PT} \end{matrix} \right\} \stackrel{1-1}{\longleftrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{anti-self-dual} & \text{deforma-} \\ \text{tions of conformal struc-} \\ \text{ture } (\mathbb{M}, \eta) \rightsquigarrow (M, [g]). \end{matrix} \right\}$

For Ricci-flat $g \in [g]$, $\mathscr{P}\mathscr{T}$ must have fibration $p : \mathscr{P}\mathscr{T} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ and holomorphic symplectic form on fibres valued in $p^*\mathcal{O}(2)$.

Main ideas

Ricci-flat deformation:

choose $h \in \Omega^{0,1}_{\mathbb{PT}}(2)$ & define almost \mathbb{C} -structure

$$\Omega^{1,0}_{\mathscr{PT}} = \{ \mathrm{d}\omega^{\mathcal{A}} + \varepsilon^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^{\mathcal{B}}}, \mathrm{d}\pi_{\mathcal{A}'} \} \,.$$

Almost complex structure is integrable iff

$$\bar{\partial}h + \varepsilon^{AB} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^A} \wedge \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^B} = 0$$
.

- Satisfied when $h = \sigma(\omega, \pi, \bar{\pi})\bar{\pi}^A d\bar{\pi}_A$ (Newman's H-space).
- Space-time M = holomorphic sections of p : 𝒫𝔅 → Cℙ¹: a section ω^A = F^A(x, π) is holomorphic if

$$\bar{\partial} F^{A} = \varepsilon^{AB} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^{B}} (F, \pi, \bar{\pi}) \,.$$

- Full 4-parameter family survives small deformations.
- $x, y \in M$ on light ray \Leftrightarrow incidence $\mathbb{CP}^1_x \cap \mathbb{CP}^1_y \neq \emptyset$.
- • conformal structure with Weyl⁺ = 0 on M
 (and metric with Ricci= 0 with more work);

Main ideas

Ricci-flat deformation:

choose $h \in \Omega^{0,1}_{\mathbb{PT}}(2)$ & define almost \mathbb{C} -structure

$$\Omega^{1,0}_{\mathscr{PT}} = \{ \mathrm{d}\omega^{\mathcal{A}} + \varepsilon^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^{\mathcal{B}}}, \mathrm{d}\pi_{\mathcal{A}'} \} \,.$$

Almost complex structure is integrable iff

$$\bar{\partial}h + \varepsilon^{AB} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^A} \wedge \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^B} = 0$$

- Satisfied when $h = \sigma(\omega, \pi, \bar{\pi})\bar{\pi}^{A}d\bar{\pi}_{A}$ (Newman's H-space).
- Space-time M = holomorphic sections of p : 𝒫𝔅 → ℂℙ¹: a section ω^A = F^A(x, π) is holomorphic if

$$\bar{\partial} F^{A} = \varepsilon^{AB} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^{B}} (F, \pi, \bar{\pi}) \,.$$

- Full 4-parameter family survives small deformations.
- $x, y \in M$ on light ray \Leftrightarrow incidence $\mathbb{CP}^1_x \cap \mathbb{CP}^1_y \neq \emptyset$.
- • conformal structure with Weyl⁺ = 0 on M
 (and metric with Ricci= 0 with more work);

Main ideas

Ricci-flat deformation:

choose $h \in \Omega^{0,1}_{\mathbb{PT}}(2)$ & define almost \mathbb{C} -structure

$$\Omega^{1,0}_{\mathscr{PT}} = \{ \mathrm{d}\omega^{\mathcal{A}} + \varepsilon^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^{\mathcal{B}}}, \mathrm{d}\pi_{\mathcal{A}'} \} \,.$$

Almost complex structure is integrable iff

$$\bar{\partial}h + \varepsilon^{AB} rac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^A} \wedge rac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^B} = 0$$
.

- Satisfied when $h = \sigma(\omega, \pi, \bar{\pi})\bar{\pi}^{A}d\bar{\pi}_{A}$ (Newman's H-space).
- Space-time *M* = holomorphic sections of *p* : 𝒫𝔅 → ℂℙ¹: a section ω^A = 𝑘^A(𝑥, π) is holomorphic if

$$\bar{\partial} F^{A} = \varepsilon^{AB} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^{B}} (F, \pi, \bar{\pi}).$$

- Full 4-parameter family survives small deformations.
- $x, y \in M$ on light ray \Leftrightarrow incidence $\mathbb{CP}^1_x \cap \mathbb{CP}^1_y \neq \emptyset$.
- → conformal structure with Weyl⁺ = 0 on M (and metric with Ricci= 0 with more work).

Background coupled fields

Linear fields $\{\gamma_{B'C'} = \gamma_{AA'B'C'} e^{AA'} \mid d\gamma_{B'C'} \land e^{CC'} = 0\}$ on \mathscr{M} are obtained from $B_A \in H^1(\mathscr{PT}, \Omega^1_p(-5))$ by

$$\gamma_{AA'B'C'}(\mathbf{X}) = \int_{\omega^A = F^A(\mathbf{X}, \pi)} \pi_{A'} \pi_{B'} \pi_{C'} \Lambda^B_A B_B(F, \pi, \bar{\pi}) \pi^{E'} \mathrm{d}\pi_{E'} \,.$$

where $\mathrm{d}F^{A} = \pi_{B'}\Lambda^{A}_{B}e^{BB'}$.

• Eigenstates of momentum $P^{AA'} = p^A p^{A'}$ are obtained as

$$B_{A} = \beta_{A} e^{\langle \omega | \boldsymbol{P} | \alpha \rangle / [\alpha \pi]} \overline{\delta}_{-5}([\pi \boldsymbol{\rho}]), \quad \overline{\delta}_{n}([\pi \boldsymbol{\rho}]) = \frac{[\alpha \pi]^{n+1}}{[\alpha \boldsymbol{\rho}]^{n+1}} \overline{\partial} \frac{1}{[\pi \boldsymbol{\rho}]}$$

Since 'asymptotic twistors' are attached to I[±], these classes give asymptotic momentum eigenstates.

The MHV calculation

Background coupled fields inserted into MHV formula give

$$M(1+,2+,\boldsymbol{e}-) = \int_{\mathscr{M}\times\mathbb{CP}^1_x\times\mathbb{CP}^1_x} d^{\boldsymbol{\pi}_1} d^{\boldsymbol{\pi}_1}][\pi_2 d\pi_2][\pi_1 \pi_2]^3 \langle B_1 | \Lambda_1 | \Lambda_2 | B_2 \rangle$$

where on \mathbb{CP}^1_x , $\omega_1^A = F^A(x, \pi_1, \overline{\pi}_1)$ etc.. Rewrite as

$$M(1+,2+,e-) = \int_{\mathscr{M}\times\mathbb{CP}_x^1\times\mathbb{CP}_x^1} d\pi_1 B_{1C} \Lambda_1^{AC} \bar{\partial}^{-1} \left([\pi_1 \, \pi_2]^4 \Lambda_{2A}^B B_{2B} \right)$$

with $\bar{\partial}^{-1} f = \int_{\mathbb{CP}^1} \frac{f}{[\pi_1 \pi_2]} [\pi_2 d\pi_2].$

• To eliminate $F^{A}(x, \pi)$ use π dependent diffeomorphism

$$y^{AA'} = rac{F^A(x,\pi)\alpha^{A'} - F^A(x,\alpha)\pi^{A'}}{\langle \pi \, \alpha \rangle} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad F^A = y^{AA'}\pi_{A'} \, .$$

• Under this diffeo $\bar{\partial} \rightarrow \bar{\partial} - V$ where

$$V = \bar{\partial} y^{AA'} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{AA'}} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega_A} \alpha^{A'} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{AA'}}.$$

• Lippman-Schwinger expansion of $(\bar{\partial} - V)^{-1}$ gives

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(1+,2+,e-) &= \int_{\mathscr{M}\times\mathbb{CP}_{y}^{1}\times\mathbb{CP}_{y}^{1}} \mathrm{d}\pi_{1}]B_{1C}\Lambda_{1}^{AC}(\bar{\partial}-V)^{-1}\left([\pi_{1}\pi_{2}]^{4}\Lambda_{2A}^{B}B_{2B}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i}\int_{\mathscr{M}\times\mathbb{CP}_{y}^{1}\times\mathbb{CP}_{y}^{1}} \mathrm{d}\pi_{1}]B_{1C}\Lambda_{1}^{AC}\bar{\partial}^{-1}(V\bar{\partial}^{-1})^{i}\left([\pi_{1}\pi_{2}]^{4}\Lambda_{2A}^{B}B_{2B}\right) \end{split}$$

• Choose momentum eigenstates for B_{iA} , i = 1, 2 and

$$h = \sum_{i=3}^{n} \epsilon_i \boldsymbol{e}^{\langle \omega | \boldsymbol{P}_i | \alpha] / [\alpha \pi]} \bar{\delta}_2([\pi i]).$$

 Pick out term in ⊓ⁿ_{i=3} ǫ_i and integrate out delta-functions → BGK MHV formula.

• Gives self-contained derivation from first principles.

For a twistor gravity action, we first need one for ASD sector:

Action

$$\mathcal{S}^{-}[h,\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}] = \int_{\mathbb{PT}} \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{A}} rac{\partial}{\partial \omega^{\mathcal{A}}} \left(ar{\partial} h + \{h,h\}
ight) \wedge \mathrm{d}^{3} Z$$

with field equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega^{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\bar{\partial}h + \{h,h\}\right) = \mathbf{0}\,,\quad \bar{\partial}_{h}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega^{\mathcal{A}}}B^{\mathcal{A}}\right) = \mathbf{0}\,.$$

- \Rightarrow integrability of almost \mathbb{C} structure $\bar{\partial}_h = \bar{\partial} + \varepsilon^{AB} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \omega^A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega^B}$ and $B_A \in H^1(\mathscr{PT}, \Omega_V^{1,0}(-5)).$
- Complete integrability: ∃ coordinates ('axial gauge') such that B^A ∧ ∂{h, h}/∂ω^A = 0 so equations become linear.

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)
 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

- This formulation of anti-self-dual gravity works 'off-shell' and can include interactions of full gravity etc..
- The MHV vertices are all generated as above by

$$S_{MHV}[B_A,h] = \int_{\mathscr{M}\times\mathbb{CP}_x^1\times\mathbb{CP}_x^1} d^4x [\pi_1 d\pi_1][\pi_2 d\pi_2][\pi_1 \pi_2]^3 \langle B_1 | \Lambda_1 | \Lambda_2 | B_2 \rangle$$

Set

$$S_{Full}[B_A, h] = S^-[B_A, h] + S_{MHV}[B_A, h]$$

 \rightsquigarrow an action that gives the MHV formalism perturbatively.

• *N* = 4 sugra extension is easy. *N* = 8 possible, but more gauge dependent.

Summary and outlook

Summary

- Self-contained proof of the BGK MHV gravity amplitudes.
- Twistor gravity action that yields Gravity MHV formalism.
- Off-shell, but gauge fixed, so does not express full symmetries.
- Does **not** yet give self-contained proof of MHV formalism; if MHV formalism for gravity is incomplete, then so is this action.

Outlook

- Twistor actions for Yang-Mills and conformal gravity are not gauge fixed and do give self-contained proof of MHV formalism for Yang-Mills and conformal gravity;
- suggests full gauge invariant twistor action should exist; if MHV formalism is incomplete, it would give completion.