◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

Limiting shapes in the six-vertex model

P. Zinn-Justin

LPTHE, Université Paris 6

May 2, 2010

based on work by F. Colomo, A. Pronko, P. Zinn-Justin

- This work originated in the observation [Korepin, PZJ; PZJ, '00] that the bulk free energy of the six-vertex model depends on the boundary conditions.
- At the same time, a lot of work on dimer models [Jockusch, Propp, Shor, '98; ...; Kenyon, Okounkov, '04] showed the existence of spatial phase separation and limiting shapes in these models.
- For a long time, only qualitative [PZJ, '02] or numerical results [Syljuasen, Zvonarev, '04; Allison, Reshetikhin, '05] in the case of the six-vertex model.
- Colomo and Pronko recently managed to compute a certain correlation function for the six-vertex model with Domain Wall Boundary Conditions and to describe the arctic curve in the disordered regime ['07–'09].
- Their work can be extended to describe the arctic curve in all regimes.

- This work originated in the observation [Korepin, PZJ; PZJ, '00] that the bulk free energy of the six-vertex model depends on the boundary conditions.
- At the same time, a lot of work on dimer models [Jockusch, Propp, Shor, '98; ...; Kenyon, Okounkov, '04] showed the existence of spatial phase separation and limiting shapes in these models.
- For a long time, only qualitative [PZJ, '02] or numerical results [Syljuasen, Zvonarev, '04; Allison, Reshetikhin, '05] in the case of the six-vertex model.
- Colomo and Pronko recently managed to compute a certain correlation function for the six-vertex model with Domain Wall Boundary Conditions and to describe the arctic curve in the disordered regime ['07-'09].
- Their work can be extended to describe the arctic curve in all regimes.

- This work originated in the observation [Korepin, PZJ; PZJ, '00] that the bulk free energy of the six-vertex model depends on the boundary conditions.
- At the same time, a lot of work on dimer models [Jockusch, Propp, Shor, '98; ...; Kenyon, Okounkov, '04] showed the existence of spatial phase separation and limiting shapes in these models.
- For a long time, only qualitative [PZJ, '02] or numerical results [Syljuasen, Zvonarev, '04; Allison, Reshetikhin, '05] in the case of the six-vertex model.
- Colomo and Pronko recently managed to compute a certain correlation function for the six-vertex model with Domain Wall Boundary Conditions and to describe the arctic curve in the disordered regime ['07–'09].
- Their work can be extended to describe the arctic curve in all regimes.

- This work originated in the observation [Korepin, PZJ; PZJ, '00] that the bulk free energy of the six-vertex model depends on the boundary conditions.
- At the same time, a lot of work on dimer models [Jockusch, Propp, Shor, '98; ...; Kenyon, Okounkov, '04] showed the existence of spatial phase separation and limiting shapes in these models.
- For a long time, only qualitative [PZJ, '02] or numerical results [Syljuasen, Zvonarev, '04; Allison, Reshetikhin, '05] in the case of the six-vertex model.
- Colomo and Pronko recently managed to compute a certain correlation function for the six-vertex model with Domain Wall Boundary Conditions and to describe the arctic curve in the disordered regime ['07-'09].
- Their work can be extended to describe the arctic curve in all regimes.

- This work originated in the observation [Korepin, PZJ; PZJ, '00] that the bulk free energy of the six-vertex model depends on the boundary conditions.
- At the same time, a lot of work on dimer models [Jockusch, Propp, Shor, '98; ...; Kenyon, Okounkov, '04] showed the existence of spatial phase separation and limiting shapes in these models.
- For a long time, only qualitative [PZJ, '02] or numerical results [Syljuasen, Zvonarev, '04; Allison, Reshetikhin, '05] in the case of the six-vertex model.
- Colomo and Pronko recently managed to compute a certain correlation function for the six-vertex model with Domain Wall Boundary Conditions and to describe the arctic curve in the disordered regime ['07-'09].
- Their work can be extended to describe the arctic curve in all regimes.

Configurations





・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Boltzmann weights



Phase diagram



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへ⊙

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Domain Wall Boundary Conditions



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Inhomogeneous weights



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Izergin's determinant formula ['87]

The partition function Z_N of the six-vertex model with DWBC can be written as:

$$Z_N(u_1,\ldots,u_N;v_1,\ldots,v_N) \propto \frac{\det \phi(u_i/v_j)}{\Delta(u_i)\Delta(v_i)},$$

$$\phi(u) = \frac{q - q^{-1}}{(u - 1)(qu - q^{-1})}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Matrix model-like formulation

Write

$$\phi(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d
ho_0(\lambda) u^{\lambda/2}$$

where $d\rho_0(\lambda)$ is some measure to rewrite

$$Z_N \propto \int d
ho_0(\lambda_1) \cdots d
ho_0(\lambda_N) rac{\det(u_i^{\lambda_j/2})}{\Delta(u_i)} rac{\det(v_i^{-\lambda_j/2})}{\Delta(v_i)}$$

 \rightarrow one-matrix model with "double external field".

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Bulk free energy

Take the homogeneous limit $u_i \rightarrow 1$, $v_i \rightarrow v$:

$$Z_N \propto \int d
ho(\lambda_1)\cdots d
ho(\lambda_N)\Delta(\lambda_i)^2$$

where $d\rho(\lambda) = v^{-\lambda/2} d\rho_0(\lambda)$. \rightarrow usual one-matrix model.

One can compute the large *N* limit by standard matrix model techniques... [PZJ, '00]

- The ferroelectric regime $\Delta > 1$ is trivial.
- The disordered regime $|\Delta| < 1$ corresponds to a one-cut matrix model with non-polynomial potential $V(\lambda) = a\lambda + b|\lambda|$.
- The antiferroelectric regime $\Delta < -1$ produces a two-cut solution separated by a Douglas–Kazakov type saturated region.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Bulk free energy

Take the homogeneous limit $u_i \rightarrow 1$, $v_i \rightarrow v$:

$$Z_N \propto \int d
ho(\lambda_1)\cdots d
ho(\lambda_N)\Delta(\lambda_i)^2$$

- The ferroelectric regime $\Delta > 1$ is trivial.
- The disordered regime $|\Delta| < 1$ corresponds to a one-cut matrix model with non-polynomial potential $V(\lambda) = a\lambda + b|\lambda|$.
- The antiferroelectric regime $\Delta < -1$ produces a two-cut solution separated by a Douglas–Kazakov type saturated region.

Bulk free energy

Take the homogeneous limit $u_i \rightarrow 1$, $v_i \rightarrow v$:

$$Z_N \propto \int d
ho(\lambda_1)\cdots d
ho(\lambda_N)\Delta(\lambda_i)^2$$

- $\bullet\,$ The ferroelectric regime $\Delta>1$ is trivial.
- The disordered regime $|\Delta| < 1$ corresponds to a one-cut matrix model with non-polynomial potential $V(\lambda) = a\lambda + b|\lambda|$.
- The antiferroelectric regime $\Delta < -1$ produces a two-cut solution separated by a Douglas–Kazakov type saturated region.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Bulk free energy

Take the homogeneous limit $u_i \rightarrow 1$, $v_i \rightarrow v$:

$$Z_N \propto \int d
ho(\lambda_1)\cdots d
ho(\lambda_N)\Delta(\lambda_i)^2$$

- $\bullet\,$ The ferroelectric regime $\Delta>1$ is trivial.
- The disordered regime $|\Delta| < 1$ corresponds to a one-cut matrix model with non-polynomial potential $V(\lambda) = a\lambda + b|\lambda|$.
- The antiferroelectric regime $\Delta < -1$ produces a two-cut solution separated by a Douglas–Kazakov type saturated region.

Bulk free energy

Take the homogeneous limit $u_i \rightarrow 1$, $v_i \rightarrow v$:

$$Z_N \propto \int d
ho(\lambda_1)\cdots d
ho(\lambda_N)\Delta(\lambda_i)^2$$

- $\bullet\,$ The ferroelectric regime $\Delta>1$ is trivial.
- The disordered regime $|\Delta| < 1$ corresponds to a one-cut matrix model with non-polynomial potential $V(\lambda) = a\lambda + b|\lambda|$.
- The antiferroelectric regime $\Delta < -1$ produces a two-cut solution separated by a Douglas–Kazakov type saturated region.

One point boundary correlation function

In the limit where all $u_i \rightarrow 1$ but one, which is sent to u, we can first expand the determinant, and then replace it with a contour integral:

$$Z_N \propto \int d\rho(\lambda_1) \cdots d\rho(\lambda_N) \Delta(\lambda_j) \sum_{i=1}^N u^{\lambda_i/2} \Delta(\lambda_j)_{j \neq i}$$

$$\propto \int d\rho(\lambda_1) \cdots d\rho(\lambda_N) \Delta(\lambda_j)^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(-1)^{i-1} u^{\lambda_i/2}}{\prod_{1 \le j \le N} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}$$

$$\propto \int d\rho(\lambda_1) \cdots d\rho(\lambda_N) \Delta(\lambda_j)^2 \oint d\lambda \frac{u^{\lambda/2}}{\prod_{i=1}^N (\lambda - \lambda_i)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - のへぐ

One point boundary correlation function cont'd

As $N \to \infty$, the integral over $\mu = \lambda/(2N)$ is dominated by a saddle point; the saddle point equation is

$$\log u = \omega(\mu_{\star})$$

where $\omega(\mu)$ is the resolvent of the $\mu_i = \lambda_i/(2N)$:

$$\omega(\mu) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\mu - \mu_i}$$

Therefore, the one point boundary correlation function

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}u\frac{d}{du}\log Z_N(u,1,\ldots,1;v,\ldots,v)=analytic+\omega^{-1}(\log u)$$

is given by the functional inverse of the resolvent.

One point boundary correlation function cont'd

As $N \to \infty$, the integral over $\mu = \lambda/(2N)$ is dominated by a saddle point; the saddle point equation is

$$\log u = \omega(\mu_{\star})$$

where $\omega(\mu)$ is the resolvent of the $\mu_i = \lambda_i/(2N)$:

$$\omega(\mu) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\mu - \mu_i}$$

Therefore, the one point boundary correlation function

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}u\frac{d}{du}\log Z_N(u,1,\ldots,1;v,\ldots,v)=\text{analytic}+\omega^{-1}(\log u)$$

is given by the functional inverse of the resolvent.

・ロト ・ 日本・ 小田 ト ・ 田 ・ うらぐ

Based on its phase diagram in electric field, one should have:

- Ferroelectric and disordered regions for $\Delta > -1$.
- Ferroelectric, disordered and antiferroeletric regions for $\Delta < -1.$

These different regions can in principle be determined by applying a variational principle, but it is too complicated to solve in practice.

Based on its phase diagram in electric field, one should have:

- Ferroelectric and disordered regions for $\Delta > -1$.
- Ferroelectric, disordered and antiferroeletric regions for $\Delta < -1. \label{eq:delta}$

These different regions can in principle be determined by applying a variational principle, but it is too complicated to solve in practice.

Based on its phase diagram in electric field, one should have:

- Ferroelectric and disordered regions for $\Delta > -1$.
- Ferroelectric, disordered and antiferroeletric regions for $\Delta < -1. \label{eq:delta}$

These different regions can in principle be determined by applying a variational principle, but it is too complicated to solve in practice.

Based on its phase diagram in electric field, one should have:

- Ferroelectric and disordered regions for $\Delta > -1$.
- Ferroelectric, disordered and antiferroeletric regions for $\Delta < -1. \label{eq:delta}$

These different regions can in principle be determined by applying a variational principle, but it is too complicated to solve in practice.

a = 1 b = 1 c = 1 $\Delta = 1/2$



a = 1 b = 1 $c = \sqrt{2}$ $\Delta = 0$



a = 1 b = 1 $c = \sqrt{3}$ $\Delta = -1/2$

Coupling from the past [Propp and Wilson '96], implementation by Blum and Woolever '97, Wieland '07, PZJ '09.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

a = 1b = 1c = 2 $\Delta = -1$



a = 1 b = 1 c = 3 $\Delta = -7/2$



a = 1 b = 1 c = 4 $\Delta = -7$



a = 3b = 4c = 5 $\Delta = 0$



a = 1 b = 3 c = 5 $\Delta = -15/8$



a = 3 b = 1 c = 5 $\Delta = -15/8$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

P. Colomo and A. Pronko managed to find an integral expression for the Emptiness Formation Probability in the rectangle south-east of a given point (r, s).

$$F_N^{(r,s)} \propto \oint \cdots \oint \prod_{i=1}^s z_i^{-s} \hat{z}_i^{-r} dz_i \, \Delta(z_i)^2 \det(h_{N-j}(z_i)) \det(h_{s-j}(\hat{z}_i))$$

$$\hat{z}_i = -\frac{z_i - 1}{(t^2 - 2\Delta t)z_i + 1}$$
$$h_N(z) \propto \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log Z_N(u(z), 1, \dots, 1; v, \dots, v)$$
$$t = b/a$$

By carefully analyzing the critical behavior as $N \to \infty$ of this matrix model-like expression, Colomo and Pronko found the following parametric form of [one quarter of] the arctic curve in terms of rescaled coordinates X = r/N and Y = s/N:

$$X(u) = x_1(u) + x_2(u)\omega^{-1}(u) + x_3(u)\frac{d}{du}\omega^{-1}(u)$$
$$Y(u) = y_1(u) + y_2(u)\omega^{-1}(u) + y_3(u)\frac{d}{du}\omega^{-1}(u)$$

where $x_i(u)$, $y_i(u)$ are rational functions. |u| = 1 in the disordered phase, u > 1 in the antiferroelectric phase.

Set $q = -\exp(-i\pi/\alpha)$.

• In the disordered phase, $\omega^{-1}(u)$ is a rational function of u^{α} :

$$\omega^{-1}(u) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{u^{\alpha} + 1}{u^{\alpha} - 1} - \frac{u^{\alpha} + v^{\alpha}}{u^{\alpha} - v^{\alpha}} \right)$$

If α is rational, the curve (X, Y) is algebraic.

• In the antiferroelectric phase, $\omega^{-1}(\log u)$ is an elliptic function:

$$\omega^{-1}(u) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{u^{\alpha} + 1}{u^{\alpha} - 1} - \frac{u^{\alpha} + v^{\alpha}}{u^{\alpha} - v^{\alpha}} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{q}^{2n}}{1 - \tilde{q}^{2n}} (u^{n\alpha} - u^{-n\alpha} - (uv^{-1})^{n\alpha} + (u^{-1}v)^{n\alpha}) \right)$$

where $\tilde{q} = \exp(i\pi\alpha)$, $|\tilde{q}| < 1$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Set $q = -\exp(-i\pi/\alpha)$.

• In the disordered phase, $\omega^{-1}(u)$ is a rational function of u^{α} :

$$\omega^{-1}(u) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{u^{\alpha} + 1}{u^{\alpha} - 1} - \frac{u^{\alpha} + v^{\alpha}}{u^{\alpha} - v^{\alpha}} \right)$$

If α is rational, the curve (X, Y) is algebraic.

• In the antiferroelectric phase, $\omega^{-1}(\log u)$ is an elliptic function:

$$\omega^{-1}(u) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{u^{\alpha} + 1}{u^{\alpha} - 1} - \frac{u^{\alpha} + v^{\alpha}}{u^{\alpha} - v^{\alpha}} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{q}^{2n}}{1 - \tilde{q}^{2n}} (u^{n\alpha} - u^{-n\alpha} - (uv^{-1})^{n\alpha} + (u^{-1}v)^{n\alpha}) \right)$$

where $\tilde{q} = \exp(i\pi\alpha)$, $|\tilde{q}| < 1$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙



・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うらぐ







