

Integrability Property of Graph Invariants

Sergei Lando

National Research University Higher School of Economics,
Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology,
Moscow, Russia

September 3, 2019

S. Chmutov, M. Kazarian, S. Lando,
Integrability property of graph invariants,
arXiv:1809.0434

Stanley's symmetrized chromatic polynomial (1995) (= Weighted chromatic polynomial of [CDL94])

Stanley's symmetrized chromatic polynomial (1995) (= Weighted chromatic polynomial of [CDL94])

The chromatic polynomial $\chi_G(c)$ is the number of proper colorings of the vertices of a simple graph G into c colors.

Stanley's symmetrized chromatic polynomial (1995) (= Weighted chromatic polynomial of [CDL94])

The chromatic polynomial $\chi_G(c)$ is the number of proper colorings of the vertices of a simple graph G into c colors.

$W_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)$ is defined for graphs with weighted vertices and takes values in the ring of polynomials in infinitely many variables (in the form of [CDL94]).

Stanley's symmetrized chromatic polynomial (1995) (= Weighted chromatic polynomial of [CDL94])

The chromatic polynomial $\chi_G(c)$ is the number of proper colorings of the vertices of a simple graph G into c colors.

- $\chi_{\bullet}(c) = c$;
- $\chi_{G_1 \sqcup G_2}(c) = \chi_{G_1}(c)\chi_{G_2}(c)$;
- $\chi_G(c) = \chi_{G'_e}(c) - \chi_{G''_e}(c)$.

$W_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)$ is defined for graphs with weighted vertices and takes values in the ring of polynomials in infinitely many variables (in the form of [CDL94]).

Stanley's symmetrized chromatic polynomial (1995) (= Weighted chromatic polynomial of [CDL94])

The chromatic polynomial $\chi_G(c)$ is the number of proper colorings of the vertices of a simple graph G into c colors.

- $\chi_{\bullet}(c) = c$;
- $\chi_{G_1 \sqcup G_2}(c) = \chi_{G_1}(c)\chi_{G_2}(c)$;
- $\chi_G(c) = \chi_{G'_e}(c) - \chi_{G''_e}(c)$.

$W_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)$ is defined for graphs with weighted vertices and takes values in the ring of polynomials in infinitely many variables (in the form of [CDL94]).

- $W_{\bullet n} = q_n$;
- $W_{G_1 \sqcup G_2} = W_{G_1} W_{G_2}$;
- $W_G(c) = W_{G'_e} + W_{G''_e}$.

Stanley's symmetrized chromatic polynomial (1995) (= Weighted chromatic polynomial of [CDL94])

The chromatic polynomial $\chi_G(c)$ is the number of proper colorings of the vertices of a simple graph G into c colors.

- $\chi_{\bullet}(c) = c$;
- $\chi_{G_1 \sqcup G_2}(c) = \chi_{G_1}(c)\chi_{G_2}(c)$;
- $\chi_G(c) = \chi_{G'_e}(c) - \chi_{G''_e}(c)$.

$W_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)$ is defined for graphs with weighted vertices and takes values in the ring of polynomials in infinitely many variables (in the form of [CDL94]).

- $W_{\bullet n} = q_n$;
- $W_{G_1 \sqcup G_2} = W_{G_1} W_{G_2}$;
- $W_G(c) = W_{G'_e} + W_{G''_e}$.

For ordinary graphs all the weights are taken to be 1,

$$\chi_G(c) = (-1)^{|V(G)|} W_G(c, -c, c, \dots).$$

Example

The weighted chromatic polynomials for the two connected graphs with three vertices are

$$W_{P_3}(q_1, q_2, \dots) = q_1^3 + 2q_1q_2 + q_3,$$

$$W_{K_3}(q_1, q_2, \dots) = q_1^3 + 3q_1q_2 + 2q_3.$$

Example

The weighted chromatic polynomials for the two connected graphs with three vertices are

$$\begin{aligned}W_{P_3}(q_1, q_2, \dots) &= q_1^3 + 2q_1q_2 + q_3, \\W_{K_3}(q_1, q_2, \dots) &= q_1^3 + 3q_1q_2 + 2q_3.\end{aligned}$$

Starting with graphs on 4 vertices, the weighted chromatic polynomial becomes a finer graph invariant than the ordinary chromatic polynomial.

Example

The weighted chromatic polynomials for the two connected graphs with three vertices are

$$\begin{aligned}W_{P_3}(q_1, q_2, \dots) &= q_1^3 + 2q_1q_2 + q_3, \\W_{K_3}(q_1, q_2, \dots) &= q_1^3 + 3q_1q_2 + 2q_3.\end{aligned}$$

Starting with graphs on 4 vertices, the weighted chromatic polynomial becomes a finer graph invariant than the ordinary chromatic polynomial.

Conjecture (R. Stanley)

The weighted chromatic polynomial distinguishes trees.

Generating functions for weighted chromatic polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}^\circ(q_1, q_2, \dots) &= \sum_G \frac{W_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)}{|Aut(G)|} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{1!} q_1 + \frac{1}{2!} (2q_1^2 + q_2) + \frac{1}{3!} (8q_1^3 + 12q_1 q_2 + 5q_3) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4!} (64q_1^4 + 192q_1^2 q_2 + 48q_2^2 + 160q_1 q_3 + 79q_4) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(q_1, q_2, \dots) &= \sum_{\substack{G \text{ connected} \\ \text{non-empty}}} \frac{W_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)}{|Aut(G)|} \\ &= \frac{1}{1!} q_1 + \frac{1}{2!} (q_1^2 + q_2) + \frac{1}{3!} (4q_1^3 + 9q_1 q_2 + 5q_3) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4!} (38q_1^4 + 144q_1^2 q_2 + 45q_2^2 + 140q_1 q_3 + 79q_4) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Generating functions for weighted chromatic polynomial

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}^\circ(q_1, q_2, \dots) &= \sum_G \frac{W_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)}{|Aut(G)|} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{1!}q_1 + \frac{1}{2!}(2q_1^2 + q_2) + \frac{1}{3!}(8q_1^3 + 12q_1q_2 + 5q_3) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4!}(64q_1^4 + 192q_1^2q_2 + 48q_2^2 + 160q_1q_3 + 79q_4) + \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}(q_1, q_2, \dots) &= \sum_{\substack{G \text{ connected} \\ \text{non-empty}}} \frac{W_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)}{|Aut(G)|} \\ &= \frac{1}{1!}q_1 + \frac{1}{2!}(q_1^2 + q_2) + \frac{1}{3!}(4q_1^3 + 9q_1q_2 + 5q_3) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4!}(38q_1^4 + 144q_1^2q_2 + 45q_2^2 + 140q_1q_3 + 79q_4) + \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{W}^\circ = \exp(\mathcal{W})$$

Integrability theorem

Theorem

After an appropriate rescaling of the variables $q_i = c_i p_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the function \mathcal{W} becomes a solution to the KP (Kadomtsev–Petviashvili) hierarchy of partial differential equations, and \mathcal{W}° a τ -function of the KP hierarchy.

The KP hierarchy is an “integrable” infinite system of partial differential equations for a function in infinitely many variables, the first of which is

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_2^2} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_1 \partial p_3} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_1^2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^4 F}{\partial p_1^4}.$$

The KP hierarchy is an “integrable” infinite system of partial differential equations for a function in infinitely many variables, the first of which is

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_2^2} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_1 \partial p_3} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_1^2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial^4 F}{\partial p_1^4}.$$

For functions independent of variables with even indices, the KP hierarchy degenerates into the KdV hierarchy.

Another solution to KP: Generating function for the numbers of rooted maps (I. Goulden, D. Jackson, 2008)

Define exponential generating functions in a variable w (recording the number of faces), a variable z (recording the number of edges), and infinitely many variables p_1, p_2, \dots (recording the vertices' valencies):

$$R^\circ(w, z; p_1, p_2, \dots) = \sum_{m, n, \mu} \frac{r_{m, n; \mu}^\circ}{2n} p_{\mu_1} p_{\mu_2} \cdots \frac{w^m}{m!} \frac{z^n}{n!};$$

Another solution to KP: Generating function for the numbers of rooted maps (I. Goulden, D. Jackson, 2008)

Define exponential generating functions in a variable w (recording the number of faces), a variable z (recording the number of edges), and infinitely many variables p_1, p_2, \dots (recording the vertices' valencies):

$$R^\circ(w, z; p_1, p_2, \dots) = \sum_{m, n, \mu} \frac{r_{m, n; \mu}^\circ}{2n} p_{\mu_1} p_{\mu_2} \dots \frac{w^m}{m!} \frac{z^n}{n!};$$

and

$$R(w, z; p_1, p_2, \dots) = \sum_{m, n, \mu} \frac{r_{m, n; \mu}}{2n} p_{\mu_1} p_{\mu_2} \dots \frac{w^m}{m!} \frac{z^n}{n!};$$

Here $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$, $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots$ runs over *all* partitions $\mu \vdash 2n$, $r_{m, n; \mu}^\circ$ is the number of rooted maps, and $r_{m, n; \mu}$ is the number of connected rooted maps with m faces, n edges and partition of valencies of the vertices μ .

Another solution to KP: Generating function for the numbers of rooted maps (I. Goulden, D. Jackson, 2008)

Define exponential generating functions in a variable w (recording the number of faces), a variable z (recording the number of edges), and infinitely many variables p_1, p_2, \dots (recording the vertices' valencies):

$$R^\circ(w, z; p_1, p_2, \dots) = \sum_{m, n, \mu} \frac{r_{m, n; \mu}^\circ}{2n} p_{\mu_1} p_{\mu_2} \cdots \frac{w^m}{m!} \frac{z^n}{n!};$$

and

$$R(w, z; p_1, p_2, \dots) = \sum_{m, n, \mu} \frac{r_{m, n; \mu}}{2n} p_{\mu_1} p_{\mu_2} \cdots \frac{w^m}{m!} \frac{z^n}{n!};$$

Here $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$, $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots$ runs over *all* partitions $\mu \vdash 2n$, $r_{m, n; \mu}^\circ$ is the number of rooted maps, and $r_{m, n; \mu}$ is the number of connected rooted maps with m faces, n edges and partition of valencies of the vertices μ .

The two are related by

$$R^\circ = \exp(R).$$

Hopf algebra of graphs (Rota, around 1970)

\mathcal{G}_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the vector space (say, over \mathbb{C}) spanned by simple graphs with k vertices. The vector space of graphs

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2 \oplus \dots$$

Hopf algebra of graphs (Rota, around 1970)

\mathcal{G}_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the vector space (say, over \mathbb{C}) spanned by simple graphs with k vertices. The vector space of graphs

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2 \oplus \dots$$

Multiplication $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ — induced by the disjoint union of graphs

Hopf algebra of graphs (Rota, around 1970)

\mathcal{G}_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the vector space (say, over \mathbb{C}) spanned by simple graphs with k vertices. The vector space of graphs

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2 \oplus \dots$$

Multiplication $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ — induced by the disjoint union of graphs

Comultiplication $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ — is defined on a graph as the sum over all splittings of the set of its vertices into two disjoint subset,

$$G \mapsto \sum_{V(G)=I \sqcup J} G|_I \otimes G|_J.$$

Hopf algebra of graphs (Rota, around 1970)

\mathcal{G}_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the vector space (say, over \mathbb{C}) spanned by simple graphs with k vertices. The vector space of graphs

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2 \oplus \dots$$

Multiplication $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ — induced by the disjoint union of graphs

Comultiplication $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ — is defined on a graph as the sum over all splittings of the set of its vertices into two disjoint subset,

$$G \mapsto \sum_{V(G)=I \sqcup J} G|_I \otimes G|_J.$$

Both operations are graded and together they make \mathcal{G} into a connected commutative cocommutative Hopf algebra.

Umbral graph invariants

Definition

An *umbral graph invariant* is a graded Hopf algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[q_1, q_2, \dots]$.

Comultiplication: $q_i \mapsto 1 \otimes q_i + q_i \otimes 1, i = 1, 2, \dots$

Definition

An *umbral graph invariant* is a graded Hopf algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[q_1, q_2, \dots]$.

Comultiplication: $q_i \mapsto 1 \otimes q_i + q_i \otimes 1, i = 1, 2, \dots$

One more example: the *Abel polynomial* A_G of a graph G is defined as

$$A_G(q_1, q_2, \dots) = \sum_{\text{forests } F \subseteq E(G)} \prod_{\text{trees } T \text{ in } F} |V(T)| q_{|V(T)|}.$$

The coefficient of $q_1^{m_1} q_2^{m_2} \dots$ in A_G is the number of rooted forests in G having m_1 trees with 1 vertex, m_2 trees with 2 vertices, \dots

Definition

An *umbral graph invariant* is a graded Hopf algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[q_1, q_2, \dots]$.

Comultiplication: $q_i \mapsto 1 \otimes q_i + q_i \otimes 1, i = 1, 2, \dots$

One more example: the *Abel polynomial* A_G of a graph G is defined as

$$A_G(q_1, q_2, \dots) = \sum_{\text{forests } F \subseteq E(G)} \prod_{\text{trees } T \text{ in } F} |V(T)| q_{|V(T)|}.$$

The coefficient of $q_1^{m_1} q_2^{m_2} \dots$ in A_G is the number of rooted forests in G having m_1 trees with 1 vertex, m_2 trees with 2 vertices, \dots

The Abel polynomial of complete graphs:

$$A_{K_n}(x, x, x, \dots) = x(x+n)^{n-1} = A_n(x).$$

Generating function for umbral invariant

Let I be an umbral graph polynomial invariant. Define two generating functions by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^\circ(q_1, q_2, \dots) &= \sum_G \frac{I_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)}{|Aut(G)|} \\ \mathcal{I}(q_1, q_2, \dots) &= \sum_{\substack{G \text{ connected} \\ \text{non-empty}}} \frac{I_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)}{|Aut(G)|} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{I}^\circ = \exp(\mathcal{I})$$

Define constants i_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, by

$$i_n = [q_n] \sum_{G, |V(G)|=n} \frac{I_G(q_1, q_2, \dots)}{|Aut(G)|}.$$

Theorem

Suppose all the constants i_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, are nonzero. Then after an appropriate rescaling of the variables $q_n = c_n p_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the function \mathcal{I} becomes a solution to the KP (Kadomtsev–Petviashvili) hierarchy of partial differential equations, and \mathcal{I}° a τ -function of the KP hierarchy. The solution and the τ -function are the same for all umbral graph invariants.

Theorem

After the rescaling of the variables $q_n = \frac{2^{n(n-1)/2}(n-1)!}{i_n} \cdot p_n$, the generating function \mathcal{I}° becomes the following linear combination of one-part Schur polynomials:

$$S(p_1, p_2, \dots) = 1 + 2^0 s_1(p_1) + 2^1 s_2(p_1, p_2) + \dots + 2^{n(n-1)/2} s_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) + \dots$$

Theorem

After the rescaling of the variables $q_n = \frac{2^{n(n-1)/2}(n-1)!}{i_n} \cdot p_n$, the generating function \mathcal{I}° becomes the following linear combination of one-part Schur polynomials:

$$\mathcal{S}(p_1, p_2, \dots) = 1 + 2^0 s_1(p_1) + 2^1 s_2(p_1, p_2) + \dots + 2^{n(n-1)/2} s_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) + \dots$$

The one-part Schur polynomials are defined through the expansion

$$1 + s_1(p_1) + s_2(p_1, p_2) + s_3(p_1, p_2, p_3) + \dots = e^{\frac{p_1}{1} + \frac{p_2}{2} + \frac{p_3}{3} + \dots}$$

Theorem

After the rescaling of the variables $q_n = \frac{2^{n(n-1)/2}(n-1)!}{i_n} \cdot p_n$, the generating function \mathcal{I}° becomes the following linear combination of one-part Schur polynomials:

$$\mathcal{S}(p_1, p_2, \dots) = 1 + 2^0 s_1(p_1) + 2^1 s_2(p_1, p_2) + \dots + 2^{n(n-1)/2} s_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) + \dots$$

The *one-part Schur polynomials* are defined through the expansion

$$1 + s_1(p_1) + s_2(p_1, p_2) + s_3(p_1, p_2, p_3) + \dots = e^{\frac{p_1}{1} + \frac{p_2}{2} + \frac{p_3}{3} + \dots}$$

It is known that any linear combination of one-part Schur polynomials with the free term 1 is a τ -function for the KP hierarchy.

Other Hopf algebras

The Hopf algebra of graphs is not unique. Other examples include

- weighted graphs;
- k -regular hypergraphs;
- binary delta-matroids;
- chord diagrams;
- ...

The Hopf algebra of graphs is not unique. Other examples include

- weighted graphs;
- k -regular hypergraphs;
- binary delta-matroids;
- chord diagrams;
- ...

Theorem (E. Krasilnikov, 2019)

None of the above Hopf algebras possesses integrability property similar to that of the Hopf algebra of graphs.

The Hopf algebra of graphs is not unique. Other examples include

- weighted graphs;
- k -regular hypergraphs;
- binary delta-matroids;
- chord diagrams;
- ...

Theorem (E. Krasilnikov, 2019)

None of the above Hopf algebras possesses integrability property similar to that of the Hopf algebra of graphs.

Exception: Hopf algebra of framed graphs (=simple graphs with loops allowed).

**Thank you
for your attention**