### Unfolding Methods for String Amplitudes

#### **Boris Pioline**

**CERN & LPTHE** 



#### NBI, June 14, 2012

based on work with C. Angelantonj and I. Florakis (and old work with N. Obers) arXiv:1110.5318,1203.0566, (hep-th/9903113)

B. Pioline (CERN & LPTHE)

Unfolding methods

NBI, June 14, 2012 1 / 40

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

## Modular integrals and BPS amplitudes I

 In the low energy effective action of string theory, an interesting class of terms (known as BPS-saturated coupling, topological amplitude or F-term) are given by a modular integral

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{d}\mu \, \Gamma_{(d+k,d)} \, \Phi(\tau)$$

- *F* = Γ\*H*: fundamental domain of the modular group Γ = *SL*(2, ℤ) on the Poincaré UHP *H*;
- $d\mu = d\tau_1 d\tau_2 / \tau_2^2$  is the  $\Gamma$ -invariant measure;
- $\Gamma_{(d+k,d)} = \tau_2^{d/2} \sum q^{\frac{1}{2}p_L^2} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}p_R^2}$ : the partition function of an even self-dual (Narain) lattice of signature (d+k,d);
- $\Phi(\tau)$ : an (almost, weak) holomorphic modular form of weight w = -k/2, known as the elliptic genus

# Modular integrals and BPS amplitudes II

- Such amplitudes arise in a variety of examples:
  - Gauge thresholds,  $R^2 F^{2h-2}$  in Het/K3  $\times$  T<sup>2</sup> at one-loop

Dixon Kaplunovsky Louis; Harvey Moore

•  $F^4$  couplings in Het/ $T^d$  at one-loop

Bachas Fabre Kiritsis Obers Vanhove

•  $R^4$  couplings in type  $II/T^d$  at one-loop ( $\Phi = 1$ )

Green Vanhove; Kiritsis BP

•  $R^2$  couplings in type  $II/K3 \times T^2$  at one-loop (")

Harvey Moore; Gregori Kiritsis Kounnas Obers Petropoulos BP

•  $F^4$  couplings in type  $II/T^4/\mathbb{Z}_N$  at tree-level (")

Obers BP

•  $\nabla^4 R^4$  couplings in  $M/T^d$  at two-loops (")

Green Vanhove Russo

 These amplitudes are strongly constrained by supersymmetry, and offer precise tests of string dualities.

-

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

### Modular integrals and BPS amplitudes III

• When  $\mathcal{A}$  arises at one-loop, and upon choosing  $\mathcal{F}$  as the standard 'keyhole' domain,  $\tau_2$  can be interpreted as the Schwinger parameter, while  $\tau_1$  is a Lagrange multiplier enforcing the level-matching constraint  $p_L^2 - p_R^2 = N$ .



• From the mathematical point of view, modular integrals give a theta correspondence

 $\Phi: \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{O}(\Gamma_{d+k,d}) \backslash \mathcal{G}_{d+k,d} \to \mathbb{C}$ 

between modular forms on  $\mathcal{H}$  and automorphic forms on the Grassmannian  $G_{d+k,d}$ , or Narain moduli space

$$G_{d+k,d} = rac{O(d+k,d)}{O(d+k) imes O(d)} 
i (g_{ij}, B_{ij}, Y^a_i)$$

• From the mathematical point of view, modular integrals give a theta correspondence

$$\Phi: \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{O}(\Gamma_{d+k,d}) \backslash \mathcal{G}_{d+k,d} \to \mathbb{C}$$

between modular forms on  $\mathcal{H}$  and automorphic forms on the Grassmannian  $G_{d+k,d}$ , or Narain moduli space

$$G_{d+k,d} = rac{O(d+k,d)}{O(d+k) imes O(d)} 
i (g_{ij}, B_{ij}, Y_i^a)$$

 Indeed, SL(2) × O(d + k, d) forms a dual pair in Sp(d + k, d), and the lattice partition function is invariant under Γ × O(Γ<sub>d+k,d</sub>).

B. Pioline (CERN & LPTHE)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

• In the physics literature, the time-honored way to evaluate such integrals has been the unfolding trick or orbit method where the domain of integration  $\mathcal{F}$  is unfolded by grouping the terms in the theta series into orbits.

In the physics literature, the time-honored way to evaluate such integrals has been the unfolding trick or orbit method where the domain of integration *F* is unfolded by grouping the terms in the theta series into orbits.

• E.g for d = 1, representing  $\Gamma_{(1,1)} = R \sum_{m,n} e^{-\pi R^2 |m-n\tau|^2/\tau_2}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} \Gamma_{(1,1)} = R \int_{\mathcal{F}} d\mu + R \int_{\mathcal{S}} \sum_{m \neq 0} e^{-\pi R^2 m^2 / \tau_2}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{3} R + \frac{\pi}{3} R^{-1}$$

where  $S = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_{\infty}$  is the strip  $\{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \tau_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tau_2 > 0\}.$ 

イロト イポト イラト イラト

# Unfolding trick

• For d = 2, a (lengthy) landmark computation shows

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} \left( \Gamma_{(2,2)}(T,U) - \tau_2 \right) \, d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{F}} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} + \int_{\mathcal{H}}$$
  
=(mess)  
$$= -\log \left( \frac{8\pi e^{1-\gamma}}{3\sqrt{3}} \, T_2 \, U_2 \, |\eta(T) \, \eta(U)|^4 \right)$$

Dixon Kaplunovsky Louis

where T, U parametrize the Grassmannian  $G_{2,2} = \mathcal{H}_T \times \mathcal{H}_U / \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

• For d = 2, a (lengthy) landmark computation shows

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} \left( \Gamma_{(2,2)}(T,U) - \tau_2 \right) \, d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{F}} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} + \int_{\mathcal{H}}$$
  
=(mess)  
$$= -\log\left(\frac{8\pi e^{1-\gamma}}{3\sqrt{3}} T_2 U_2 |\eta(T) \eta(U)|^4\right)$$

Dixon Kaplunovsky Louis

where T, U parametrize the Grassmannian  $G_{2,2} = \mathcal{H}_T \times \mathcal{H}_U / \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

 The final result is invariant under T-duality, but intermediate steps do not make T-duality manifest. We shall present a method that preserves T-duality at all steps.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .



2 Rankin-Selberg method for lattice integrals

- 3 Modular integrals with unphysical tachyons
- Black hole counting from genus 2 modular integral

### 1 Introduction

### 2 Rankin-Selberg method for lattice integrals

- 3 Modular integrals with unphysical tachyons
- 4 Black hole counting from genus 2 modular integral

E 5 4.

Image: A mathematic state of the state of

# Rankin-Selberg method I

• Our method is an extension of the Rankin-Selberg method commonly used in number theory. It relies on the (completed, non-holomorphic) Eisenstein series

$$\begin{split} E^{\star}(\tau; \boldsymbol{s}) \equiv & \zeta^{\star}(2\boldsymbol{s}) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \Gamma} \left[ \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma \cdot \tau\right) \right]^{\boldsymbol{s}} \\ = & \frac{1}{2} \, \zeta^{\star}(2\boldsymbol{s}) \, \sum_{(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}) = 1} \frac{\tau_2^{\boldsymbol{s}}}{|\boldsymbol{c} \, \tau + \boldsymbol{d}|^{2\boldsymbol{s}}} \end{split}$$

where  $\zeta^{\star}(s) \equiv \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \zeta^{\star}(1-s)$  is the completed zeta function with simple poles at s = 1, 0

•  $E^{\star}(\tau; s) = E^{\star}(\tau; 1 - s)$  is analytic in s away from s = 0, 1, t

$$E^{*}(\tau; s) = \frac{1}{2(s-1)} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma - \log(4\pi \tau_{2} |\eta(\tau)|^{4}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(s-1),$$

. . . . . . .

## Rankin-Selberg method (cont.)

 If *F*(*τ*) is a modular function of rapid decay at the cusp, the Rankin-Selberg transform

$$\mathcal{R}^{\star}(F, s) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{d}\mu \, E^{\star}(\tau; s) F(\tau)$$

can be computed by the same unfolding trick,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{\star}(F;s) = & \zeta^{\star}(2s) \, \int_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_1 \, \mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^{2-s}} \, F(\tau) \\ = & \zeta^{\star}(2s) \, \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau_2 \, \tau_2^{s-2} \, F_0(\tau_2) \,, \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{R}^{\star}(F, s)$  is proportional to the Mellin transform of the constant term  $F_0(\tau_2) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\tau_1 F(\tau)$ 

- The analyticity and functional relation for  $E^*(s)$  implies similar properties for  $\mathcal{R}^*(F; s)$ . For F = f.g product of two cusp forms, this is used e.g. to show the analyticity and functional relation of the L-function  $L(s) = \sum_{n} a_n b_n n^{-s} \propto \mathcal{R}^*(F; s)$ .
- For us, the main point is that, since the residue of E\* at s = 0, 1 is constant, the residue of R\*(F; s) at s = 0 is proportional to the modular integral of F,

Res 
$$\mathcal{R}^{\star}(F; s)|_{s=1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{d}\mu F = -\mathrm{Res} \left|\mathcal{R}^{\star}(F; s)\right|_{s=0}$$
.

- This was extended by Zagier to the case where F is of moderate growth F(τ) ~ φ(τ<sub>2</sub>) at the cusp (φ(τ<sub>2</sub>) at most a power).
- To regulate the infrared divergence, one may introduce a hard cut-off  $\mathcal{T}$ . The unfolding trick generalizes into

$$\int_{\mathcal{F};\tau_2 \leq \mathcal{T}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_{\infty}} f|_{\gamma} = \int_{\mathcal{S};\tau_2 \leq \mathcal{T}} f + \int_{\mathcal{S};\tau_2 > \mathcal{T}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_{\infty}, \gamma \neq 1} f|_{\gamma}$$

where  $f|_{\gamma}(\tau) = f(\gamma \cdot \tau)$ .

## Rankin-Selberg-Zagier method II

• Defining the renormalized modular integral

R.N. 
$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} d\mu F(\tau) \equiv \lim_{\mathcal{T} \to \infty} \left[ \int_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}} d\mu F(\tau) - \hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{T}) \right]$$

where  $\hat{\varphi}$  is the anti-derivative of  $\varphi$  (i.e.  $d\hat{\varphi}/d\mathcal{T} = \varphi(\mathcal{T})/\mathcal{T}^2$ ), one finds that it is again related to the (regularized) Mellin transform of the constant term

$$\mathcal{R}^{\star}(\boldsymbol{F};\boldsymbol{s}) = \zeta^{\star}(\boldsymbol{2s}) \, \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau_{2} \, \tau_{2}^{\boldsymbol{s}-\boldsymbol{2}} \, \left(\boldsymbol{F}_{0} - \varphi\right) \; ,$$

via

R.N. 
$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} d\mu F(\tau) = 2 \operatorname{Res} \left[ \mathcal{R}^{\star}(F; s) \right]_{s=1} + \delta$$

# Rankin-Selberg-Zagier method III

 The correction δ depends only of the leading behavior φ(τ<sub>2</sub>), and is given by

$$\delta = 2\operatorname{Res}\left[\zeta^{\star}(2s) h_{\mathcal{T}}(s) + \zeta^{\star}(2s-1) h_{\mathcal{T}}(1-s)\right]_{s=1} - \hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{T}),$$

where

$$h_{\mathcal{T}}(s) = \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{d}\tau_2 \,\varphi(\tau_2) \,\tau_2^{s-2} \,, \qquad \hat{\phi}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathrm{Res} \left[ \frac{h_{\mathcal{T}}(s)}{s-1} \right]_{s=1}$$

• Other renormalization schemes may give a different constant  $\delta$ 

글 🕨 🖌 글

# Epstein series from modular integrals

 The RSZ method applies immediately to modular integrals with unit elliptic genus Φ = 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{\star}(\Gamma_{(d,d)};s) &= \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{d}\mu \, \tau_2^{d/2} \sum_{m_i,n^i} \, q^{\frac{1}{2}\rho_L^2} \, \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}\rho_R^2} \, E^{\star}(s,\tau) \,, \\ &= \zeta^{\star}(2s) \, \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau_2 \, \tau_2^{s+d/2-2} \, \sum_{m_i n^i = 0} \, e^{-\pi\tau_2 \, \mathcal{M}^2} \\ &= \zeta^{\star}(2s) \, \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{d}{2} - 1)}{\pi^{s + \frac{d}{2} - 1}} \, \mathcal{E}_V^d(g, B; s + \frac{d}{2} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{V}^{d}(g, B; s)$  is the constrained Epstein Zeta series

$${\mathcal E}^d_V(g,B;s)\equiv\sum_{\substack{(m_i,n^i)\in \mathbb{Z}^{2d}\setminus (0,0)\m_in^i=0}}{\mathcal M}^{-2s}\ ,\qquad {\mathcal M}^2=p_L^2+p_R^2$$

# Epstein series from modular integrals

• The constrained Epstein Zeta series  $\mathcal{E}_V^d(g, B; s)$  converges absolutely for  $s + \frac{d}{2} - 1 > 1$ . The RSZ method shows that it admits a meromorphic continuation in the *s*-plane satisfying

$$\mathcal{E}_V^{d\star}(g,B;s) = \mathcal{E}_V^{d\star}(g,B;d-1-s),$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_V^{d\star}(g,B;s) = \pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)\zeta^{\star}(2s-d+2)\mathcal{E}_V^d(g,B;s)$$

• Moreover  $\mathcal{E}_V^{d*}(s)$  has a simple pole at  $s = 0, \frac{d}{2} - 1, \frac{d}{2}, 1$  (for d > 2) and is an eigenmode of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the Grassmannian  $G_{d,d}$  with eigenvalue s(s - d + 1), as a result of

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \left[ \Delta_{\mathrm{SO}(d,d)} - 2\,\Delta_{\mathrm{SL}(2)} + \frac{1}{4}\,d(d-2) \right] \,\, \Gamma_{(d,d)}(g,B) \\ 0 &= \left[ \Delta_{\mathrm{SL}(2)} - \frac{1}{2}\,s(s-1) \right] \,\, E^{\star}(\tau;s) \,, \end{split}$$

### Epstein series and BPS state sums I

• The residue at  $s = \frac{d}{2}$  produces the modular integral of interest:

R.N. 
$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} d\mu \, \Gamma_{(d,d)}(g,B) = \frac{\pi}{3} \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2}} \operatorname{Res} \left. \mathcal{E}_V^d(g,B;s) \right|_{s=d/2}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(d/2-1)}{\pi^{d/2-1}} \, \mathcal{E}_V^d(g,B;\frac{1}{2}d-1)$$

rigorously proving an old conjecture of Obers and myself.

 This is identified as a sum over all BPS states of momentum m<sub>i</sub> and winding n<sup>i</sup> along the torus, O(Γ<sub>d,d</sub>)-invariant mass

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = (m_i + B_{ik}n^k)g^{ij}(m_j + B_{jl}n^l) + n^i g_{ij}n^j$$

subject to the  $O(\Gamma_{d,d})$ -invariant BPS condition  $m_i n^i = 0$ .

### Epstein series and BPS state sums II

$$\mathcal{E}_{V}^{1,\star}(g,B;s-\frac{1}{2}) = 2\zeta^{\star}(2s)\zeta^{\star}(2s-1)\left(R^{1-2s}+R^{2s-1}\right)$$
  
$$\mathcal{E}_{V}^{2\star}(T,U;s) = 2E^{\star}(T;s)E^{\star}(U;s)$$

leading immediately to advertized results.

By the Siegel-Weil formula, *E<sup>d\*</sup><sub>V</sub>*(*g*, *B*; *s*) is also equal to the Langlands-Eisenstein series of *G* = *O*(*d*, *d*) with character λ = −2*s*α<sub>1</sub>,

$${\mathcal E}_V^{d\star}(g;s) = \sum_{G({\mathbb Z})/({\mathcal P}\cap G({\mathbb Z})} e^{\langle 
ho+\lambda, a(g)
angle}|_\gamma \;, \quad g=k\cdot a\cdot n$$

• The residue at  $s = \frac{d}{2}$  is the minimal theta series, attached to the minimal representation of SO(d, d) (functional dimension 2d - 3).

Ginzburg Rallis Soudry; Kazhdan BP Waldron; Green Vanhove Miller

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

# Higher genus I

 Similar techniques can be used to evaluate modular integrals at genus *h* > 1, at least for *h* = 2, 3 where the Schottky problem does not arise. Consider the completed Eisenstein series

$$\mathcal{E}^{\star}(\Omega, s) = \zeta^{\star}(2s) \prod_{j=1}^{[h/2]} \zeta^{\star}(4s - 2j) \sum_{(C,D)} \left[ rac{\det \operatorname{Im}(\Omega)}{|\det(C\Omega + D)|^2} 
ight]^{-s} ,$$

It converges for Re(s) > (h+1)/2, can be meromorphically continued to the full *s* plane and satisfies the functional relation

$$\mathcal{E}^{\star}(\Omega, \boldsymbol{s}) = \mathcal{E}^{\star}(\Omega, \frac{h+1}{2} - \boldsymbol{s})$$

and has a simple pole at  $s = \frac{h+1}{2}$  (and s = 0) with residue

$$\frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{[h/2]} \zeta^{\star}(2j+1)$$

B. Pioline (CERN & LPTHE)

NBI, June 14, 2012 20 / 40

 The (suitably renormalized) modular integral can be computed by unfolding

$$I_{h}(s) = \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}} d\mu \,\mathcal{E}(\Omega, s) \,\Gamma_{d,d}^{(h)}(g, B; \Omega)$$
$$= \int_{\mathcal{S}_{h}} \frac{d\Omega}{[\det(\text{Im}\Omega)]^{m+1-s}} \left( \Gamma_{d,d}^{(h)}(g, B; \Omega) - [\det(\text{Im}\Omega)]^{d/2} \right)$$

The integral over  $\text{Re}(\Omega)$  imposes the BPS constraints

$$P_L P_L^t = P_R P_R^t$$

# Higher genus III

• The integral over  $\omega \equiv \text{Im}(\Omega) \in GL(h)/SO(h)$  leads to

$$I_{h}(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{h} \Gamma\left(\frac{s-h-1}{2} - \frac{k-1}{2} + \frac{d}{4}\right) \sum_{\text{BPS}} \left[\det(P_{L} P_{L}^{t} + P_{R} P_{R}^{t})\right]^{-\frac{s-h-1}{2} - \frac{d}{4}}$$

• The modular integral of interest is proportional to the residue at s = (h + 1)/2,

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_h} \mathrm{d}\mu \, \Gamma_{d,d}^{(h)}(g,B;\Omega) = \operatorname{Res}_{s=(h+1)/2} \frac{2 \, I_h(s)}{\prod_{j=1}^{[h/2]} \zeta^*(2j+1)} \\ \propto \sum_{\mathrm{BPS}} \left[ \operatorname{det}(P_L \, P_L^t + P_R \, P_R^t) \right]^{-\frac{d}{4}}$$

• This should be compared with the Obers-BP conjecture

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_h} \mathrm{d}\mu\, \Gamma^{(h)}_{d,d}(oldsymbol{g},oldsymbol{B};\Omega) \propto \mathcal{E}^{d\star}_{\mathcal{S}}(h) + \mathcal{E}^{d\star}_{\mathcal{C}}(h)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{S,C}^{d*}(s)$  are constrained lattice sums  $\sum \mathcal{M}^{-2s}$  in spinor representations of SO(d, d).

### 1 Introduction

2 Rankin-Selberg method for lattice integrals

### 3 Modular integrals with unphysical tachyons

#### 4 Black hole counting from genus 2 modular integral

3 N

# Modular integrals with unphysical tachyons I

- For many cases of interest, the integrand is NOT of moderate growth at the cusp, rather it grows exponentially, due to the heterotic unphysical tachyon.
- In mathematical terms, Φ(τ) ∈ C[Ê<sub>2</sub>, E<sub>4</sub>, E<sub>6</sub>, 1/Δ] is a weak almost holmorphic modular form with weight w = -k/2 ≤ 0.
- The RSZ method fails, however the unfolding trick could still work provided Φ(τ) had a uniformly convergent Poincaré representation

$$\Phi(\tau) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \Gamma} f(\tau)|_{\mathsf{W}} \gamma$$

where the seed  $f(\tau)$  is invariant under  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$  and

$$(f|_{w}\gamma)(\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-w} f(\gamma \cdot \tau), \qquad \gamma \cdot \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}.$$

## Various Poincaré series representations I

- Naively, one requires f(τ) = 1/q<sup>κ</sup> (κ = 1 for physics applications), however convergence requires f(τ) ≪ τ<sub>2</sub><sup>1-w/2</sup> as τ<sub>2</sub> → 0. This is OK for w > 2 but fails for w ≤ 0. We need to regularize.
- Any weak holomorphic modular form can be represented as a linear combination of regularized holomorphic Poincaré series

$$P(\kappa, w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(c,d)=1}^{!} (c\tau + d)^{-w} e^{-2\pi i\kappa} \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} R_w \left(\frac{2\pi i\kappa}{c(\tau + d)}\right) ,$$

where  $R_w(x) \sim x^{1-w}/\Gamma(2-w)$  as  $x \to 0$  and approaches 1 as  $x \to \infty$ . However this is only conditionally convergent, and  $P(\kappa, w)$  in general has modular anomalies.

Niebur; Knopp; Manschot Moore

## Various Poincaré series representations II

• Another option is to insert a non-holomorphic convergence factor and consider the Selberg-Poincaré series with  $f(\tau) = \tau_2^{s-\frac{W}{2}} q^{-\kappa}$ ,

$$E(s,\kappa,w) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(c,d)=1} \frac{\tau_2^{s-\frac{w}{2}}}{|c\tau+d|^{2s-w}} (c\tau+d)^{-w} e^{-2\pi i\kappa \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}}$$
  
Selberg;Goldfeld Sarnak; Pribitkin

This converges absolutely for Re(s) > 1, but the analytic continuation to  $s = \frac{w}{2}$  is tricky (no modular anomaly, but in general holomorphic anomalies).

• Moreover,  $E(s, \kappa, w)$  is not an eigenmode of the Laplacian, rather

 $\left[\Delta_{w} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{s}(1-s) + \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{w}(w+2)\right] \operatorname{E}(s,\kappa,w) = 2\pi\kappa \left(s - \frac{w}{2}\right) \operatorname{E}(s+1,\kappa,w)$ 

## Niebur-Poincaré series I

 There exist yet another regularization which does not require analytic continuation and is still an eigenmode of the Laplacian: the Niebur-Poincaré series

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \Gamma} \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{w}}(-\boldsymbol{\kappa}\tau_{2}) \, \boldsymbol{e}^{-2\pi i \boldsymbol{\kappa}\tau_{1}} \mid_{\boldsymbol{w}} \gamma$$
*Niebur; Hejhal; Bruinier Ono Bringmann...*

where  $\mathcal{M}_{s,w}(y)$  is proportional to a Whittaker function, so that

$$\left[\Delta_w + \frac{1}{2}\,s(1-s) + \frac{1}{8}\,w(w+2)\right]\,\mathcal{F}(s,\kappa,w) = 0$$

• The seed  $f(\tau) = \mathcal{M}_{s,w}(-\kappa\tau_2) e^{-2\pi i\kappa\tau_1}$  satisfies

$$f( au) \sim_{ au_2 o 0} au_2^{\operatorname{Re}(s) - rac{w}{2}} e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}\kappa au_1} \qquad f( au) \sim_{ au_2 o \infty} rac{\Gamma(2s)}{\Gamma(s + rac{w}{2})} q^{-\kappa}$$

hence  $\mathcal{F}(s, \kappa, w)$  converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ .

### Niebur-Poincaré series II

• For  $s = 1 - \frac{w}{2}$  the eigenvalue coincides with that of a holomorphic modular form, and the seed simplifies to

$$f(\tau) = \Gamma(2 - w) \left( q^{-\kappa} - \bar{q}^{\kappa} \sum_{\ell=0}^{-w} \frac{(4\pi\kappa\tau_2)^{\ell}}{\ell!} \right)$$

- For w < 0, the value s = 1 <sup>w</sup>/<sub>2</sub> lies in the convergence domain.
   *F*(1 <sup>w</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, κ, w) is in general NOT holomorphic, but rather a weak harmonic Maass form.
- For  $s = \frac{w'}{2}$  and w' > 0,  $\mathcal{F}(\frac{w'}{2}, \kappa, w')$  IS weakly holomorphic. For w' = 2 w, it is the Farey transform (or the 'ghost') of the weak harmonic Maass form  $\mathcal{F}(1 \frac{w}{2}, \kappa, w)$ .

### Niebur-Poincaré series III

W	$\mathcal{F}(1-\frac{w}{2},1,w)$	$\mathcal{F}(1-\frac{w}{2},1,2-w)$
0	j + 24	$E_{4}^{2}E_{6}\Delta^{-1}$
-2	3! <i>E</i> ₄ <i>E</i> <sub>6</sub> ∆ <sup>−1</sup>	$E_4(j-240)$
-4	5! <i>E</i> <sub>4</sub> <sup>2</sup> ∆ <sup>−1</sup>	$E_{6}(j+204)$
-6	7! <i>E</i> <sub>6</sub> ∆ <sup>−1</sup>	$E_4^2(j-480)$
-8	9! <i>E</i> ₄ ∆ <sup>−1</sup>	$E_4 E_6 (j + 264)$
-10	11! Φ <sub>-10</sub>	(mess)
-12	$13!\varDelta^{-1}$	$E_4^2 E_6(j+24)$
-14	15! Φ <sub>-14</sub>	(mess)

B. Pioline (CERN & LPTHE)

NBI, June 14, 2012 30 / 40

-∢ ≣ ▶

э

< < >> < <</>

### Niebur-Poincaré series IV

• Indeed, for w = -10, there does not exist any weak holomorphic modular form with a simple pole at the cusp. Rather, there exist a weak harmonic Maass form

$$\Phi_{-10} = q^{-1} - \frac{65520}{691} - 1842.89 \, q - 23274.08 \, q^2 + \dots$$
$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-11} \, \bar{b}_m \, \Gamma(11, 4\pi m \tau_2) \, q^{-m}$$

with shadow  $\sum b_m q^m$  proportional to the cusp form  $\Delta$ .

 Theorem (Bruinier) : any weak holomorphic modular form of weight w ≤ 0 with polar part Φ = ∑<sub>-κ≤m<0</sub> a<sub>m</sub> q<sup>m</sup> + O(1) can be represented as a linear combination of Niebur-Poincaré series

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-w)} \sum_{-\kappa \le m < 0} a_m \mathcal{F}(1-\frac{w}{2},m,w) + a'_0 \delta_{w,0}$$

Ono

### Niebur-Poincaré series V

 Almost weak holomorphic modular forms can be reached by raising and lowering operators

$$D_{\mathbf{w}} = rac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi} \left( \partial_{\tau} - rac{\mathrm{i} \mathbf{w}}{2\tau_2} 
ight) , \qquad \bar{D}_{\mathbf{w}} = -\mathrm{i}\pi \, \tau_2^2 \partial_{\bar{\tau}} ,$$

under which

$$\begin{split} D_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{w}) &= 2\kappa \left(\boldsymbol{s} + \frac{\boldsymbol{w}}{2}\right) \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{w} + 2) \,, \\ \bar{D}_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{w}) &= \frac{1}{8\kappa} (\boldsymbol{s} - \frac{\boldsymbol{w}}{2}) \, \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{w} - 2) \,. \end{split}$$

The relevant values of *s* are  $s = 1 - \frac{w}{2} + n$  with  $n \ge 0$ . E.g.

$$\frac{\hat{E}_2 E_4 E_6}{\Delta} = \mathcal{F}(2,1,0) - 5 \,\mathcal{F}(1,1,0) - 144$$

B. Pioline (CERN & LPTHE)

### Niebur-Poincaré series VI



s

# Unfolding the modular integral

• Using Bruinier's thm, any modular integral can be expressed as a linear combination of

$$\mathcal{I}_{d+k,d}(\boldsymbol{s},\kappa;) = \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}} d\mu \, \Gamma_{d+k,d}(\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{Y}) \, \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{s},\kappa,-\frac{k}{2})$$

3 B

Image: A math

# Unfolding the modular integral

 Using Bruinier's thm, any modular integral can be expressed as a linear combination of

$$\mathcal{I}_{d+k,d}(\boldsymbol{s},\kappa;) = \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}} d\mu \, \Gamma_{d+k,d}(\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{Y}) \, \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{s},\kappa,-\frac{k}{2})$$

Using the unfolding trick, one arrives at the BPS state sum

$$\mathcal{I}_{d+k,d}(s,\kappa) = (4\pi\kappa)^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(s + \frac{2d+k}{4} - 1) \\ \times \sum_{\text{BPS}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(s - \frac{k}{4}, s + \frac{2d+k}{4} - 1; 2s; \frac{4\kappa}{p_{\text{L}}^{2}}\right) \left(\frac{p_{\text{L}}^{2}}{4\kappa}\right)^{1-s-\frac{2d+k}{4}}$$

Bruinier; Angelantonj Florakis BP

where  $\sum_{\text{BPS}} \equiv \sum_{p_L, p_R} \delta(p_L^2 - p_R^2 - 4\kappa)$ . This converges absolutely for  $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{2d+k}{4}$  and can be analytically continued to Re(s) > 1 with a simple pole at  $s = \frac{2d+k}{4}$ .

# Unfolding the modular integral

- The result is manifestly T-duality invariant, and requires no choice of chamber in Narain modular space. Singularities on  $G_{d+k,d}$  arise when  $p_L^2 = 0$  for some lattice vector.
- For the relevant values  $s = 1 \frac{w}{2} + n$ , the result can be written using elementary functions, e.g.

$$\mathcal{I}_{1,1}(1+n,\kappa) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi} (16\kappa)^{1+n} \Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2}) \\ \times \sum_{\substack{p,q \in \mathbb{Z} \\ pq = \kappa}} \left( \left| p R + q R^{-1} \right| + \left| p R - q R^{-1} \right| \right)^{-1-2n} \\ \mathcal{I}_{2+k,2}(1+\frac{k}{4},\kappa) = -\Gamma(2+\frac{k}{2}) \sum_{\text{BPS}} \left[ \log\left(\frac{p_{\text{R}}^2}{p_{\text{L}}^2}\right) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{k/2} \frac{1}{\ell} \left(\frac{p_{\text{L}}^2}{4\kappa}\right)^{-\ell} \right]$$

### One example

 Consider Het/T<sup>2</sup> × K3 at Z<sub>2</sub> orbifold point with gauge group broken to E<sub>8</sub> × E<sub>7</sub> × SU(2). The gauge threshold for E<sub>7</sub> is

$$\Delta_{\rm E_7} = -\frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{d}\mu \, \Gamma_{2,2} \, \frac{\hat{E}_2 \, E_4 \, E_6 - E_4^3}{\Delta}$$

Expressing the elliptic genus as a linear combination

$$\frac{\hat{E}_2 \, E_4 \, E_6 - E_4^3}{\Delta} = \mathcal{F}(2, 1, 0) - 6 \, \mathcal{F}(1, 1, 0) - 864$$

one arrives at

$$\Delta_{\rm E_7} = \sum_{\rm BPS} \left[ 1 + \frac{\rho_{\rm R}^2}{4} \log \left( \frac{\rho_{\rm R}^2}{\rho_{\rm L}^2} \right) \right] - 72 \log \left( 4\pi \, e^{-\gamma} \, T_2 \, U_2 \, |\eta(T) \, \eta(U)|^4 \right)$$

### Introduction

- 2 Rankin-Selberg method for lattice integrals
- 3 Modular integrals with unphysical tachyons
- Black hole counting from genus 2 modular integral

< A >

38 N

## Black holes in D = 4 and instantons in D = 3 I

• 1/4-BPS black holes in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  CHL-type vacua are counted by a Siegel modular form of genus 2 and weight k, where r = 2k + 8 is the rank of the lattice of electric charges (k = 10 in Het/ $T^6$ ). Invariance under  $G_4 = SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \times SO(6, r - 6, \mathbb{Z})$  is manifest.

Dijkgraaf Verlinde Verlinde; David Jatkar Sen

- Suitable BPS couplings in D = 3 (e.g. ∇<sup>2</sup>R<sup>2</sup>) should receive instanton corrections from 1/4-BPS black holes in D = 4, along with KK monopoles. Yet they should be invariant under the 3D U-duality group G<sub>3</sub> = SO(8, r − 4, Z).
- 1/4-BPS black holes in *M*/*K*3 × *T*<sup>4</sup> can be represented by M5-branes wrapping around genus 2 curve Σ ⊂ *T*<sup>4</sup>. On the heterotic side, one should include all genus 2 wordsheet instantons in *T*<sup>7</sup>, plus NS5 and KK monopoles.

Gaiotto Dabhokar

## Black holes in D = 4 and instantons in D = 3 II

Thus, it is natural to conjecture

$$f_{\nabla^2 R^2}^{D=3} = \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \Omega \mathrm{d}^3 \bar{\Omega}}{(\det \mathrm{Im}\Omega)^3} \; \frac{Z_{8,r-4}(\Omega) \; \hat{E}_2(\Omega) \, (\det \mathrm{Im}\Omega)^4}{\Phi_k}$$

where  $Z_{8,r-4}$  is the partition function of the non-perturbative lattice, and  $\hat{E}_2$  is the almost holomorphic Eisenstein series of weight 2.

- At weak heterotic coupling, one should recover the two-loop amplitude, invariant under SO(7, r − 5, Z), plus other perturbative corrections.
- At large radius, one should recover a sum over 1/4-BPS states, weighted by their entropy, along with KK monopoles

$$f_{\nabla^2 R^2}^{D=3} \stackrel{?}{=} f_{\nabla^2 R^2}^{D=4} + \sum \Omega(\gamma) e^{-R\mathcal{M}(\gamma)} + \sum_k e^{-R^2k} + \dots$$

Since  $\Omega(\ell\gamma) \sim e^{\ell^2}$  while  $\mathcal{M}(\ell\gamma) \sim \ell$ , the series is at best asymptotic...

 Modular integrals can be efficiently computed using Rankin-Selberg type methods. While the time-honored 'orbit method' is still useful for studying large volume limits, our method makes T-duality and singularities manifest.

- Modular integrals can be efficiently computed using Rankin-Selberg type methods. While the time-honored 'orbit method' is still useful for studying large volume limits, our method makes T-duality and singularities manifest.
- For application to orbifold models, it would be useful to extend this method to congruence subgroups of SL(2, Z).

- Modular integrals can be efficiently computed using Rankin-Selberg type methods. While the time-honored 'orbit method' is still useful for studying large volume limits, our method makes T-duality and singularities manifest.
- For application to orbifold models, it would be useful to extend this method to congruence subgroups of SL(2, Z).
- More interestingly, it would be useful to find Poincaré series representations for Siegel modular forms of higher genus.