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## Introduction

- A driving force in high energy theoretical physics has been the quest for a microscopic explanation of the entropy of black holes. Providing a derivation of the Bekenstein-Hawking formula is a benchmark test of any theory of quantum gravity.

$$
S_{B H}=\frac{A}{4 G_{N}}
$$



$$
S_{B H} \stackrel{?}{=} \log \Omega
$$

Sgr A*, Event Horizon Telescope 2022

## Black hole microstates as wrapped D-branes

- Back in 1996, Strominger and Vafa argued that String Theory passes this test with flying colors, at least in the context of BPS black holes in vacua with extended SUSY: micro-states can be understood as bound states of D-branes wrapped on calibrated cycles of the internal manifold, and counted efficiently.


Calabi-Yau black hole, courtesy F. Le Guen

## BPS indices and Donaldson-Thomas invariants

- In the context of type IIA strings compactified on a Calabi-Yau three-fold $\mathfrak{Y}$, BPS states are described mathematically by stable objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{C}=D^{b}$ CohY. The Chern character $\gamma=\left(\mathrm{ch}_{0}, \mathrm{ch}_{1}, \mathrm{ch}_{2}, \mathrm{ch}_{3}\right)$ is identified as the electromagnetic charge, or D6-D4-D2-D0-brane charge.
- The problem becomes a question in enumerative geometry: for fixed $\gamma \in K(\mathfrak{Y})$, compute the Donaldson-Thomas invariant $\Omega_{z}(\gamma)$ counting (semi)stable objects of class $\gamma$ for a stability condition $z \in \operatorname{Stab} \mathcal{C}$, and determine its growth as $|\gamma| \rightarrow \infty$.
- Physical arguments predict that suitable generating series of rank 0 DT invariants (counting D4-D2-D0 bound states) should have specific modular properties. This gives very good control on their asymptotic growth, and allows to check whether $\Omega_{Z}(\gamma) \simeq e^{S_{B H}(\gamma)}$.


## Simplest example: Abelian three-fold

- For $\mathfrak{Y}=T^{6}, \Omega_{z}(\gamma)$ depends only on a certain quartic polynomial $I_{4}(\gamma)$ in the charges, and is moduli independent. It is given by the Fourier coefficient $c\left(I_{4}(\gamma)+1\right)$ of a weak modular form,

$$
\frac{\theta_{3}(2 \tau)}{\eta^{6}(4 \tau)}=\sum_{n \geq 0} c(n) q^{n-1}=\frac{1}{q}+2+8 q^{3}+12 q^{4}+39 q^{7}+56 q^{8}+\ldots
$$

Moore Maldacena Strominger 1999, BP 2005, Shih Strominger Yin 2005 Bryan Oberdieck Pandharipande Yin'15

- Recall that $f(\tau):=\sum_{n \geq 0} c(n) q^{n-\Delta}$ (with $q=e^{2 \pi i \tau}, \operatorname{Im} \tau>0$ ) is a modular form of weight $k$ if $\forall\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma \subset S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$,

$$
f\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}\right)=(c \tau+d)^{k} f(\tau) \quad \Rightarrow \quad c(n)^{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp (4 \pi \sqrt{\Delta(n-\Delta)})
$$

in agreement with $S_{B H}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{4} A(\gamma)$.

## Wall-crossing and mock modularity

- For a general CY3, the story is more involved and interesting. First, $\Omega_{z}(\gamma)$ depends on the Kähler parameters $z$ (more generally, on the stability condition), with a complicated chamber structure.
- Second, the generating series of rank 0 DT invariants in the large volume attractor chamber, denoted by $\Omega_{\star}(\gamma)$, are generally not modular but rather mock modular of higher depth.
- A (depth one) mock modular form of weight $w$ transforms inhomogeneously under $\Gamma \subset S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$,

$$
f\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}\right)=(c \tau+d)^{k}\left[f(\tau)-\int_{-d / c}^{\mathrm{i} \infty} \overline{\left.g(-\bar{\rho})(\tau+\rho)^{-w} \mathrm{~d} \rho\right]}\right.
$$

where $g(\tau)$ is an ordinary modular form of weight $2-w$, known as the shadow.

## Wall-crossing and mock modularity

- Equivalently, the non-holomorphic completion

$$
\widehat{f}(\tau, \bar{\tau}):=f(\tau)+\int_{-\bar{\tau}}^{\mathrm{i} \infty} \overline{g(-\bar{\rho})}(\tau+\rho)^{-w} \mathrm{~d} \rho
$$

transforms like a modular form of weight $w$, and satisfies the holomorphic anomaly equation

$$
\tau_{2}^{w} \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \widehat{f}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \propto \overline{g(\tau)}
$$

- Ramanujan's mock $\theta$-functions belong to this class, along with indefinite theta series of Lorentzian signature $(1, n-1)$ [Zwegers'02]
- The Fourier coefficients still grow as $c(n) \sim \exp (4 \pi \sqrt{\Delta(n-\Delta)})$ but subleading corrections are markedly different.


## Outline

(1) Review some background on (weak) stability conditions on $\mathcal{C}=D^{b} \operatorname{Coh} \mathfrak{Y}$
(2) Spell out the modularity properties of rank 0 DT invariants on a general compact CY threefold
(3) Check modularity for non-compact $\mathfrak{Y}=K_{S}$ with $S$ a Fano surface, where rank 0 DT invariants reduce to Vafa-Witten invariants.
(1) Test modularity for compact CY threefolds with $b_{2}(\mathfrak{Y})=1$, using recent results of S. Feyzbakhsh and R. Thomas
(6) Obtain new constraints on higher genus GW invariants from modularity of rank 0 DT invariants

## Mathematical preliminaries

- Let $\mathfrak{Y}$ a compact CY threefold, and $\mathcal{C}=D^{b} \operatorname{Coh} \mathfrak{Y}$ the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves. Objects $E \in \mathcal{C}$ are bounded complexes

$$
E=\left(\cdots \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} \mathcal{E}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} \mathcal{E}^{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \mathcal{E}^{1} \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \ldots\right),
$$

of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{E}^{k}$ on $\mathfrak{Y}$, with morphisms $d^{k}: \mathcal{E}^{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{k+1}$ such that $d^{k+1} d^{k}=0$. Physically, $\mathcal{E}^{k}$ describe D6-branes for $k$ even, or anti D6-branes for $k$ odd, and $d^{k}$ are open strings .

- $\mathcal{C}$ is graded by the (numerical) Grothendieck group $K(\mathcal{C})$. Let $\Gamma \subset H^{\text {even }}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathbb{Q})$ be the image of $K(\mathcal{C})$ under the Chern character $E \mapsto \operatorname{ch} E=\sum_{k}(-1)^{k} \operatorname{ch} \mathcal{E}_{k}$. The lattice of electromagnetic charges $\Gamma$ is equipped with the skew-symmetric Dirac pairing

$$
\left\langle E, E^{\prime}\right\rangle=\chi\left(E^{\prime}, E\right)=\int_{\mathfrak{Y}}\left(\operatorname{ch} E^{\prime}\right)^{\vee} \operatorname{ch}(E) \operatorname{Td}(T \mathfrak{Y}) \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

## Bridgeland stability conditions

- Let $\mathcal{S}=\operatorname{Stab}(\mathcal{C})$ be the space of Bridgeland stability conditions $\sigma=(Z, \mathcal{A})$, where
(1) $Z: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear map, known as the central charge. Let $Z(E):=Z(\operatorname{ch}(E))$.
(2) $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$ is the heart of a bounded $t$-structure on $\mathcal{C}$.
(3) For any non-zero $E \in \mathcal{A}$, (i) $\operatorname{Im} Z(E) \geq 0$ and (ii) $\operatorname{Im} Z(E)=0 \Rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Re} Z(E)<0$. Relax (ii) for weak stability conditions.
(4) Harder-Narasimhan filtration + support property
- If $\mathcal{S}$ is not empty, then it is a complex manifold of dimension rk $\Gamma=b_{\text {even }}(\mathfrak{Y})$, locally parametrized by $Z\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ with $\gamma_{i}$ a basis of $\Gamma$.
- Stability conditions are known to exist only for a handful of CY threefolds, including the quintic in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ [Li'18]. Their construction depends on the conjectural Bayer-Macrì-Toda (BMT) inequality. Weak stability conditions are much easier to construct.


## Physical stability conditions

- Physics/Mirror symmetry conjecturally selects a subspace $\Pi \subset \mathcal{C}$, known as 'physical slice' or slice of $\Pi$-stability conditions, parametrized by complexified Kähler structure of $\mathfrak{Y}$, or complex structure of $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} \Pi=b_{2}(\mathfrak{Y})+1=b_{3}(\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}})$.
- Along this slice, the central charge is given by the period

$$
Z(\gamma)=\int_{\hat{\gamma}} \Omega_{3,0}
$$

of the holomorphic 3-form on $\hat{\mathfrak{Y}}$ on a dual 3-cycle $\hat{\gamma} \in H_{3}(\hat{\mathfrak{Y}}, \mathbb{Z})$.

- Near the large volume point in $\mathcal{M}_{K}(\mathfrak{Y})$, or MUM point in $\mathcal{M}_{c x}(\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}})$,

$$
Z(E) \sim-\int_{\mathfrak{Y}} e^{-z^{a} H_{a}} \sqrt{T d(T \mathfrak{Y})} \operatorname{ch}(E)
$$

where $H_{a}$ is a basis of $H^{2}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$, and $z^{a}=b^{a}+i t^{a}$ are the complexified Kähler moduli.

## Generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants

- Given a (weak) stability condition $\sigma=(Z, \mathcal{A})$, an object $F \in \mathcal{A}$ is called $\sigma$-semi-stable if $\arg Z\left(F^{\prime}\right) \leq \arg Z(F)$ for every non-zero subobject $F^{\prime} \subset F$ (where $0<\arg Z \leq 1$ ).
- Let $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$ be the moduli stack of $\sigma$-semi-stable objects of class $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Following [Joyce-Song'08] one can associate the DT invariant $\bar{\Omega}_{\sigma}(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}$. When $\gamma$ is primitive and $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$ is a smooth projective variety, then $\bar{\Omega}_{\sigma}(\gamma)=(-1)^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\gamma)} \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(\gamma)\right)$.
- Conjecturally, the generalized DT invariant defined by

$$
\Omega_{\sigma}(\gamma)=\sum_{m \mid \gamma} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^{2}} \bar{\Omega}_{\sigma}(\gamma / m)
$$

is integer for any $\gamma$, and reduces to the physical index along $\Pi$.

## Wall-crossing

- The invariants $\bar{\Omega}_{\sigma}(\gamma)$ are locally constant on $\mathcal{S}$, but jump across walls of instability (or marginal stability), where the central charge $Z(\gamma)$ aligns with $Z\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$ where $\gamma^{\prime}=\operatorname{ch} E^{\prime}$ for a subobject $E^{\prime} \subset E$. The jump is governed by a universal wall-crossing formula.

Joyce Song'08; Kontsevich Soibelman'08

- Physically, the jump corresponds to the (dis)appearance of multi-centered black hole bound states. In the simplest case,

$$
\Delta \bar{\Omega}\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)=(-1)^{\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\rangle+1}\left|\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\rangle\right| \bar{\Omega}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \bar{\Omega}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)
$$



## S-duality constraints on DT invariants

- Constraints on DT invariants can be derived by studying instanton corrections to the moduli space in IIA/ $\mathfrak{Y} \times S^{1}(R)=\mathrm{M} / \mathfrak{Y} \times T^{2}(\tau)$.
- The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{3}$ factorizes into $\mathcal{M}_{H} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{V}}$ where
(1) $\mathcal{M}_{H}$ parametrizes the complex structure of $\mathfrak{Y}+$ dilaton $\phi+$ Ramond gauge fields in $H^{\text {odd }}(\mathfrak{Y})$
(2) $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{V}$ parametrizes the Kähler structure of $\mathfrak{Y}$ + radius $R+$ Ramond gauge fields in $H^{\text {odd }}(\mathfrak{Y})$
- Both factors carry a quaternion-Käler metric. $\mathcal{M}_{H}$ is largely irrelevant for this talk, but note that $\mathcal{M}_{H}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{V}$ get exchanged under mirror symmetry.


## S-duality constraints on DT invariants

- Near $R \rightarrow \infty, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{V}$ is a torus bundle over $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathcal{M}_{K}$ with semi-flat QK metric, but the QK metric receives $\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-R|Z(\gamma)|}\right)$ corrections from Euclidean black holes winding around $S^{1}$.
- These corrections are determined from the DT invariants $\Omega_{z}(\gamma)$ by a twistorial construction à la Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke [Alexandrov BP Saueressig Vandoren'08]
- Since type IIA/ $S^{1}(R)$ is the same as M-theory on $T^{2}(\tau), \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{V}$ must have an isometric action of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$. This strongly constrains the DT invariants in the large volume limit [Alexandrov, Banerjee, Manschot, $B P$, Robles-Llana, Persson, Rocek, Saueressig, Theis, Vandoren '06-19]


## S-duality constraints on BPS indices

Requiring that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{V}$ admits an isometric action of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ near large volume, one can show that DT invariants $\Omega_{z}\left(\mathrm{ch}_{0}, \mathrm{ch}_{1}, \mathrm{ch}_{2}, \mathrm{ch}_{3}\right)$ satisfy

- For skyscraper sheaves (or D0-branes), $\Omega_{z}(0,0,0, n)=-\chi_{\mathcal{Y}}$
- For classes supported on a curve of class $q_{a} \gamma^{a} \in \Lambda^{*}=H_{2}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$, $\Omega_{z}\left(0,0, q_{a}, n\right)=N_{q_{a}}^{(0)}$ is given by the genus-zero GV invariant
- For classes supported on an irreducible divisor $\mathcal{D}$ of class $p^{a} \gamma_{a} \in \Lambda=H_{4}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$, the generating series of rank 0 DT invariants

$$
h_{p^{a}, q_{a}}(\tau):=\sum_{n} \bar{\Omega}_{\star}\left(0, p^{a}, q_{a}, n\right) q^{n+\frac{1}{2} q_{a} \kappa^{a b} q_{b}-\frac{1}{2} p^{a} q_{a}-\frac{\chi(\mathcal{D})}{24}}
$$

should be a vector-valued, weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $w=-\frac{1}{2} b_{2}(\mathfrak{Y})-1$ and prescribed multiplier system.

## S-duality constraints on D4-D2-D0 indices

$$
h_{p^{a}, q_{a}}(\tau)=\sum_{n} \bar{\Omega}_{\star}\left(0, p^{a}, q_{a}, n\right) \mathrm{q}^{n+\frac{1}{2} q_{a} \hbar^{a b} q_{b}+\frac{1}{2} p^{a} q_{a}-\frac{\chi(\mathcal{D})}{24}}
$$

- Here, $\bar{\Omega}_{\star}\left(0, p^{a}, q_{a}, n\right)$ is the index in the large volume attractor chamber

$$
\bar{\Omega}_{\star}(\gamma)=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty} \bar{\Omega}_{-\kappa^{a b} q_{b}+i \lambda p^{a}(\gamma)}
$$

where $\kappa^{a b}$ is the inverse of the quadratic form $\kappa_{a b}=\kappa_{a b c} p^{c}$ with Lorentzian signature $\left(1, b_{2}(\mathfrak{Y})-1\right)$.

- For CY threefolds with PicY $=\mathbb{Z} H, \bar{\Omega}_{\star}(\gamma)$ coincides with the DT invariant $\bar{\Omega}_{H}(\gamma)$ counting $H$-Gieseker semi-stable sheaves.
- The Bogolomov-Gieseker inequality guarantees that $n$ is bounded from below, $n \geq-\frac{1}{2} q_{a} \kappa^{a b} q_{b}-\frac{1}{2} p^{a} q_{a}$.


## S-duality constraints on D4-D2-D0 indices

- By construction, $\Omega_{\star}\left(0, p^{a}, q_{a}, n\right)$ is invariant under tensoring with a line bundle $\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{a} H_{a}\right)$ (aka spectral flow)

$$
q_{a} \rightarrow q_{a}-\kappa_{a b} \epsilon^{b}, \quad n \mapsto n-\epsilon^{a} q_{a}+\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{a b} \epsilon^{a} \epsilon^{b}
$$

Thus, the D2-brane charge $q_{a}$ can be restricted to the finite set $\Lambda^{*} / \Lambda$, of cardinal | $\operatorname{det}\left(\kappa_{a b}\right) \mid$.

- $h_{p^{a}, q_{a}}$ transforms under the Weil representation for $\Lambda$, e.g.

$$
h_{p^{a}, q_{a}}(-1 / \tau)=\sum_{q_{a}^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{*} / \Lambda} \frac{e^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \kappa^{a b}} q_{a} q_{b}^{\prime}+\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi}{4}\left(b_{2}(\mathfrak{Y})+2 \chi(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}))-2\right)}{\sqrt{\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\kappa_{a b}\right)\right|}} h_{p^{a}, q_{a}^{\prime}}(\tau)
$$

## D4-D2-D0 indices from elliptic genus

- Summing over all D2-brane charges and using spectral flow invariance, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{p}(\tau, v) & :=\sum_{q \in \Lambda, n} \bar{\Omega}_{\star}\left(0, p^{a}, q_{a}, n\right) \mathrm{q}^{n+\frac{1}{2} q_{a} \kappa^{a b} q_{b}} e^{2 \pi i q_{a} v^{a}} \\
& =\sum_{q \in \Lambda^{*} / \Lambda} h_{p, q}(\tau) \Theta_{q}(\tau, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Theta_{q}(\tau, v)$ is the (non-holomorphic) Siegel theta series for the indefinite lattice ( $\Lambda, \kappa_{a b}$ ). S-duality then requires that $Z_{p}$ should transform as a (skew-holomorphic) Jacobi form.

- The Jacobi form $Z_{p}$ can be interpreted as the elliptic genus of the $(0,4)$ superconformal field theory obtained by wrapping an M5-brane on the divisor $\mathcal{D}$ [Maldacena Strominger Witten '98].


## Mock modularity constraints on D4-D2-D0 indices

- For $\gamma$ supported on a reducible divisor $\mathcal{D}=\sum_{i=1}^{n \geq 2} \mathcal{D}_{i}$, the generating series $h_{p}$ (omitting $q$ index for brevity) is no longer expected to be modular. Rather, it should be a vector-valued mock modular form of depth $n-1$ and same weight/multiplier system.

Alexandrov Banerjee Manschot BP '16-19

- There exists explicit non-holomorphic theta series such that

$$
\widehat{h}_{p}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=h_{p}(\tau)+\sum_{p=\sum_{i=1}^{n>2} p_{i}} \Theta_{n}\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}, \tau, \bar{\tau}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} h_{p_{i}}(\tau)
$$

transforms as a modular form of weight $-\frac{1}{2} b_{2}(\mathfrak{Y})-1$. Moreover the completion satisfies an explicit holomorphic anomaly equation,

$$
\partial_{\bar{\tau}} \widehat{h}_{p}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\sum_{p=\sum_{i=1}^{n \geq 2} p_{i}} \widehat{\Theta}_{n}\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}, \tau, \bar{\tau}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{h}_{p_{i}}(\tau, \bar{\tau})
$$

## Crash course on indefinite theta series

- $\Theta_{n}$ and $\widehat{\Theta}_{n}$ belongs to the class of indefinite theta series

$$
\vartheta_{\Phi, q}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\tau_{2}^{-\lambda} \sum_{k \in \Lambda+q} \Phi\left(\sqrt{2 \tau_{2}} k\right) e^{-\mathrm{i} \pi \tau Q(k)}
$$

where $(\Lambda, Q)$ is an even lattice of signature $(r, d-r), q \in \Lambda^{*} / \Lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The series converges if $f(x) \equiv \Phi(x) e^{\frac{\pi}{2} Q(x)} \in L_{1}(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R})$.

- Theorem (Vignéras, 1978): $\left\{\vartheta_{\Phi, q}, q \in \Lambda^{*} / \Lambda\right\}$ transforms as a vector-valued modular form of weight $\left(\lambda+\frac{d}{2}, 0\right)$ provided
- $R(x) f, R\left(\partial_{x}\right) f \in L_{2}(\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R})$ for any polynomial $R(x)$ of degree $\leq 2$
- $\left[\partial_{x}^{2}+2 \pi\left(x \partial_{x}-\lambda\right)\right] \Phi=0\left[{ }^{\star}\right]$
- The relevant lattice $\Lambda=H^{2}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathbb{Z})^{\oplus(n-1)}$ has signature $(r, d-r)=(n-1)\left(1, b_{2}(\mathfrak{Y})-1\right)$.


## Indefinite theta series

- Example 1 (Siegel): $\Phi=e^{\pi Q\left(x_{+}\right)}$, where $x_{+}$is the projection of $x$ on a fixed plane of dimension $r$, satisfies [*] with $\lambda=-n . \vartheta_{\Phi}$ is then the usual (non-holomorphic) Siegel-Narain theta series.
- Example 2 (Zwegers): In signature ( $1, d-1$ ), choose $C, C^{\prime}$ two vectors such that $Q(C), Q\left(C^{\prime}\right),\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)>0$, then

$$
\widehat{\Phi}(x)=\operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{(C, x) \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{Q(C)}}\right)-\operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{\left(C^{\prime}, x\right) \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{Q\left(C^{\prime}\right)}}\right)
$$

satisfies [*] with $\lambda=0$. As $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, or if $Q(C)=Q\left(C^{\prime}\right)=0$,

$$
\widehat{\Phi}(x) \rightarrow \Phi(x):=\operatorname{sgn}(C, x)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(C^{\prime}, x\right)
$$

- The theta series $\Theta_{2}\left(\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}\right), \widehat{\Theta}_{2}\left(\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}\right)$ fall in this class. The generalization to $n \geq 3$ involves generalized error functions $\mathcal{E}_{n-1}\left(\left\{C_{i}\right\}, x\right)$, obtained as a convolution of $e^{\pi Q\left(x_{+}\right)}$with $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(C_{i}, x\right)$.


## Explicity modular completions

- The series $\widehat{\Theta}_{n}$ appearing in the holomorphic anomaly equation

$$
\partial_{\bar{\tau}} \widehat{h}_{p}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\sum_{p=\sum_{i=1}^{n \geq 2} p_{i}} \widehat{\Theta}_{n}\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}, \tau, \bar{\tau}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{h}_{p_{i}}(\tau, \bar{\tau})
$$

have a kernel given by a sum over rooted trees,

$$
\widehat{\Phi}_{n}=\operatorname{Sym} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{n}^{S}}(-1)^{n_{T}-1} \mathcal{E}_{V_{0}} \prod_{v \in V_{T} \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}} \mathcal{E}_{V}
$$



For each vertex with $n$ descendants, $\mathcal{E}_{v}=\mathcal{E}_{n-1}\left(\left\{C_{i}\right\}, x\right)$ with suitable arguments.

## Explicity modular completions

- The series $\Theta_{n}$ appearing in the modular completion

$$
\widehat{h}_{p}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=h_{p}(\tau)+\sum_{p=\sum_{i=1}^{n \geq 2} p_{i}} \Theta_{n}\left(\left\{p_{i}\right\}, \tau, \bar{\tau}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} h_{p_{i}}(\tau)
$$

are not modular, but their anomaly cancels against that of $h_{p}$ :

$$
\Phi_{n}=\operatorname{Sym} \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}_{n}^{S}}(-1)^{n_{T}-1} \mathcal{E}_{V_{0}}^{(+)} \prod_{v \in V_{T} \backslash\left\{V_{0}\right\}} \mathcal{E}_{V}^{(0)}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{v}=\mathcal{E}_{v}^{(0)}+\mathcal{E}_{v}^{(+)}$with $\mathcal{E}_{v}^{(0)}(x)=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_{v}(\lambda x)$.

- NB: these formulae hold for generating series of refined invariants, otherwise derivatives of error functions appear.

Alexandrov Manschot BP 18-19

## Mock modularity for Vafa-Witten invariants

- In order to test these modular predictions, let us consider $\mathfrak{Y}=\operatorname{Tot}\left(K_{S}\right)$ where $S$ is a complex Fano surface.
- The DT invariant $\bar{\Omega}_{z}(0, N[S], \mu, n)$ reduces to the Vafa-Witten invariant $\bar{\Omega}_{J}(N, \mu, n)$ associated to the moduli stack of Gieseker semi-stable sheaves of class $\left(\mathrm{ch}_{0}, \mathrm{ch}_{1}, \mathrm{ch}_{2}\right)=(N, \mu, n)$ on $S$.
- Since $b_{2}^{+}(S)=1$, Vafa-Witten invariants depend on the Kähler form $J=z^{a} H_{a}$.
- The large volume attractor point corresponds to the canonical polarization $J \propto c_{1}(S)$. Denote by $\bar{\Omega}_{\star}(N, \mu, n)$ the corresponding DT invariants.


## Mock modularity for local CY

- We predict that the generating series

$$
h_{N, \mu}(\tau)=\sum_{n} \bar{\Omega}_{\star}(N, \mu, n) \mathrm{q}^{n-\frac{N-1}{2 N} \mu^{2}-N \frac{\chi(S)}{24}}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}
$$

should transform as a vv mock modular form of weight $w=-1-\frac{b_{2}(S)}{2}$ and depth $N-1$.

- For $N=1$, the moduli space reduces to the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points on $S$, and the generating series is manifestly modular [Goettsche'90],

$$
h_{1, \mu}(\tau)=\frac{1}{\eta^{b_{2}(S)+2}}
$$

- For $N>1$, one expects non-holomorphic contributions from the boundary of the space of flat connections where the holonomy becomes reducible [Vafa Witten 94; Dabholkar Putrov Witten '20].


## Mock modularity for local CY

- For $S=\mathbb{P}^{2}$, rank 2 Vafa-Witten invariants are related to Hurwitz class numbers, counting equivalence classes of binary quadratic forms [Klyachko'91, Yoshioka'94]

$$
h_{2, \mu}(\tau)=\frac{3 H_{\mu}(\tau)}{\eta^{6}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{0}(\tau)=-\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{2} q+q^{2}+\frac{4}{3} q^{3}+\frac{3}{2} q^{4}+\ldots \\
H_{1}(\tau)=q^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(\frac{1}{3}+q+q^{2}+2 q^{3}+q^{4}+\ldots\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

- This is the simplest example of depth 1 mock modular form, with completion [Hirzebruch Zagier'75-76]

$$
\widehat{h}_{2, \mu}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=h_{2, \mu}(\tau)+\frac{3(1+\mathrm{i})}{8 \pi\left(\eta^{3}\right)^{2}} \int_{-\bar{\tau}}^{\mathrm{i} \infty} \frac{\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{\mu}{2}} e^{2 \mathrm{i} \pi m^{2} u} \mathrm{~d} u}{(\tau+u)^{3 / 2}}
$$

consistent with our general prescription (the integral can be expressed in terms of Erfc)

## Mock modularity for Vafa-Witten invariants

- For any del Pezzo surface $S$ and any rank $N$, the VW invariants can be obtained by a sequence of blow ups and wall-crossings. The generating series is expressed in terms of generalized Appell-Lerch sums [Yoshioka'95-96, Manschot'10-14]

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}} \frac{\mathrm{q}^{\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q}(k)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{N-1}\left(1-e^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} u_{i}} \mathrm{q}^{\left(C_{i}, k\right)}\right)}
$$

- Rewriting those as indefinite theta series and replacing products of sign functions by generalized error functions, one can obtain the modular completion and confirm our general prescription [Alexandrov BP Manschot'19].
- It would be nice to interpret $h_{N, \mu}$ as the graded character $\operatorname{Tr} q^{L_{0}-\frac{c}{24}}$ for some VOA acting on the cohomology of the moduli stack of semistable coherent sheaves on $S$. Mock modularity would then follow if the VOA is quasi-lisse [Arakawa Kawasetsu'16]


## Modularity for one-modulus compact CY

- Let $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a compact $C Y$ threefold with $H^{2}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z} H$. Can we compute rank 0 DT invariants $\bar{\Omega}_{\star}(0, N H, q, n)$ and test modularity ?
- We focus on smooth complete intersections in weighted projective space (CICY), $\mathfrak{Y}=X_{d_{i}}\left(w_{j}\right)$ with $\sum d_{i}=\sum w_{j}$. There are 13 such models, with Kähler moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{K}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{0,1, \infty\}$, with a large volume point at $z=0$ and a conifold singularity at $z=1$.
- The central charge $Z_{z}(\gamma)$ is expressed in terms of hypergeometric functions. GV invariants $N_{Q}^{(g)}$ can be computed up to high genus by direct integration [Huang Klemm Quackenbush'06], and are related to rank 1 DT invariants by [Maulik Nekrasov Okounkov Pandharipande'06].
- I will concentrate on $N=1$, and discuss $N=2$ if time permits.

Gaiotto Strominger Yin '06-07; Alexandrov Gaddam Manschot BP'22

## Modularity for one-modulus compact CY

| $\mathfrak{Y}$ | $\chi_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ | $\kappa$ | $C_{2}(T \mathfrak{Y})$ | $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ | $n_{1}$ | $C_{1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $X_{5}\left(1^{5}\right)$ | -200 | 5 | 50 | 5 | 7 | 0 |
| $X_{6}\left(1^{4}, 2\right)$ | -204 | 3 | 42 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| $X_{8}\left(1^{4}, 4\right)$ | -296 | 2 | 44 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| $X_{10}\left(1^{3}, 2,5\right)$ | -288 | 1 | 34 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| $X_{4,3}\left(1^{5}, 2\right)$ | -156 | 6 | 48 | 5 | 9 | 0 |
| $X_{4,4}\left(1^{4}, 2^{2}\right)$ | -144 | 4 | 40 | 4 | 6 | 1 |
| $X_{6,2}\left(1^{5}, 3\right)$ | -256 | 4 | 52 | 5 | 7 | 0 |
| $X_{6,4}\left(1^{3}, 2^{2}, 3\right)$ | -156 | 2 | 32 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| $X_{6,6}\left(1^{2}, 2^{2}, 3^{2}\right)$ | -120 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| $X_{3,3}\left(1^{6}\right)$ | -144 | 9 | 54 | 6 | 14 | 1 |
| $X_{4,2}\left(1^{6}\right)$ | -176 | 8 | 56 | 6 | 15 | 1 |
| $X_{3,2,2}\left(1^{7}\right)$ | -144 | 12 | 60 | 7 | 21 | 1 |
| $X_{2,2,2,2}\left(1^{8}\right)$ | -128 | 16 | 64 | 8 | 33 | 3 |

## Abelian D4-D2-D0 invariants

- For $N=1$, the generating series

$$
h_{1, q}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_{\star}(0, H, q, n) q^{n+\frac{q^{2}}{2 k}+\frac{q}{2}-\frac{\chi(\mathcal{D})}{24}}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z} / \kappa \mathbb{Z}
$$

should transform as a vector-valued modular form of weight $-\frac{3}{2}$ in the Weil representation of $\mathbb{Z}[\kappa]$ with $\kappa=H^{3}$.

- An overcomplete basis is given for $\kappa$ even by

$$
\frac{E_{4}^{a} E_{6}^{b}}{\eta^{4 \kappa+c_{2}}} D^{\ell}\left(\vartheta_{q}^{(\kappa)}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \vartheta_{q}^{(\kappa)}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{q}{\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2} \kappa k^{2}}
$$

where $D=q \partial_{\mathrm{q}}-\frac{w}{12} E_{2}$, is the Serre derivative (Alternatively, one may use Rankin-Cohen brackets).

- For $\kappa$ odd, the same works with an extra insertion of $(-1)^{\kappa k} k^{2}$.


## A naive Ansatz for the polar terms

- $h_{1, q}$ is uniquely determined by the polar terms $n<\frac{\chi(\mathcal{D})}{24}-\frac{q^{2}}{2 \kappa}-\frac{q}{2}$, but the dimension $d_{1}=n_{1}-C_{1}$ of the space of modular forms may be smaller than the number $n_{1}$ of polar terms !
- Physically, we expect that polar coefficients arise as bound states of D6-brane and anti D6-branes [Denef Moore'07]
- Earlier studies [Gaiotto Strominger Yin'06] suggest that only bound states of the form ( $D 6+q D 2+n D 0, \overline{D 6(-1)})$ contribute to polar coeffs:

$$
\Omega(0,1, q, n)=(-1)^{\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}\right)-q-n+1}\left(\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}\right)-q-n\right) D T(q, n)
$$

where $D T(q, n)$ counts ideal sheaves with $\mathrm{ch}_{2}=q$ and $\mathrm{ch}_{3}=n$ [Alexandrov Gaddam Manschot BP'22]

## GV/DT/PT relation

- For a single D6-brane, the DT-invariant $D T(q, n)=\Omega(1,0, q, n)$ at large volume can be computed via the GV/DT relation
$\sum_{Q, n} D T(Q, n) \mathrm{q}^{n} v^{Q}=M(-\mathrm{q})^{\chi_{\mathcal{V}}} \prod_{Q, g, \ell}\left(1-(-\mathrm{q})^{g-\ell-1} v^{Q}\right)^{(-1)^{g+\ell}\binom{2 g-2}{\ell} N_{Q}^{(g)}}$
where $M(\mathrm{q})=\prod_{n \geq 1}\left(1-\mathrm{q}^{n}\right)^{-n}$ is the Mac-Mahon function.
Maulik Nekrasov Okounkov Pandharipande'06
- Pandharipande-Thomas invariants $P T(Q, n)$ counting stable pairs $E=\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \xrightarrow{s} F\right)$ with $[F]=Q$ and $\chi(F)=n$ satisfy a similar relation without the Mac-Mahon factor $M(-\mathrm{q})^{\chi_{\mathcal{V}}}$.
- The topological string partition function is given by

$$
\Psi_{\text {top }}(z, \lambda)=M(-\mathrm{q})^{-\chi_{\mathfrak{Y}} / 2} Z_{D T}, \quad \mathrm{q}=e^{\mathrm{i} \lambda}, v=e^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} z / \lambda}
$$

can be computed by the direct integration method.

## Modular predictions for D4-D2-D0 indices (naive)

- Remarkably, there exists a vv modular form with integer Fourier coefficients matching these polar terms for almost all CICY (except $X_{4,2}, X_{3,2,2}, X_{2,2,2,2}$ ), reproducing earlier results [Gaiotto Strominger Yinj by for $X_{5}, X_{6}, X_{8}, X_{10}$ and $X_{3,3}$
- $X_{5}\left(\right.$ Quintic in $\left.\mathbb{P}^{4}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1,0} & =\mathrm{q}^{-\frac{55}{24}}\left(\underline{5-800 \mathrm{q}+58500 \mathrm{q}^{2}}+5817125 \mathrm{q}^{3}+\ldots\right) \\
h_{1, \pm 1} & =\mathrm{q}^{-\frac{55}{24}+\frac{3}{5}}\left(\underline{0+8625 \mathrm{q}}-1138500 \mathrm{q}^{2}+3777474000 \mathrm{q}^{3}+\ldots\right) \\
h_{1, \pm 2} & =\mathrm{q}^{-\frac{55}{24}+\frac{2}{5}}\left(\underline{0+0 \mathrm{q}}-1218500 \mathrm{q}^{2}+441969250 \mathrm{q}^{3}+\ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $X_{10}$ (Decantic in $W \mathbb{P}^{5,2,1,1,1}$ ):

$$
h_{1,0} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{541 E_{4}^{4}+1187 E_{4} E_{6}^{2}}{576 \eta^{35}}=\mathrm{q}^{-\frac{35}{24}}\left(\underline{3-576 \mathrm{q}}+271704 \mathrm{q}^{2}+\cdots\right)
$$

## Rank 0 DT invariants from GV invariants

- Our Ansatz for polar terms was just an educated guess. Fortunately, recent progress in Donaldson-Thomas theory allows to compute D4-D2-D0 indices in a rigorous fashion, and compare with modular predictions.

Bayer Macri Toda'11; Toda'11; Feyzbakhsh Thomas'20-22

- The key idea is to consider a family of weak stability conditions on the boundary of $\operatorname{Stab} \mathcal{C}$, called tilt stability, with degenerate central charge

$$
Z_{b, t}(E)=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{6} t^{3} \operatorname{ch}_{0}(E)-\frac{1}{2} t^{2} \operatorname{ch}_{1}^{b}(E)-\mathrm{i} t \operatorname{ch}_{2}^{b}(E)+0 \operatorname{ch}_{3}^{b}(E)
$$

with $\operatorname{ch}_{k}^{b}=\int_{\mathfrak{Y}} H^{3-k} e^{-b H}$ ch. The heart $\mathcal{A}_{b}$ is generated by length-two complexes $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{F}$ with $\operatorname{ch}_{1}^{b}(\mathcal{E})>0, \operatorname{ch}_{1}^{b}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 0$.

## Rank 0 DT invariants from GV invariants

- Tilt stability agrees with physical stability at large volume, but the chamber structure is much simpler: walls are straight lines in the plane spanned by $\left(b, w=\frac{1}{2} b^{2}+\frac{1}{6} t^{2}\right)$, with $w>\frac{1}{2} b^{2}$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{b, w}(E)=\frac{\mathrm{ch}_{2} \cdot H-w \mathrm{ch}_{0} \cdot H^{3}}{\mathrm{ch}_{1} \cdot H^{2}-b \mathrm{ch}_{0} \cdot H^{3}} \\
& \varpi(E)=\left(\frac{\mathrm{ch} h_{1} \cdot H^{2}}{\mathrm{ch} \cdot H^{3}}, \frac{\mathrm{ch}_{2} \cdot H}{\mathrm{ch} \cdot H^{3}}\right) \\
& \widetilde{\varpi}(E)=\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{ch}_{2} \cdot H}{\mathrm{ch}_{1} \cdot H^{2}}, \frac{3 \mathrm{ch}_{3}}{\mathrm{ch}_{1} \cdot H^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Importantly, for any $\nu_{b, w}$-semistable object $E$ there is a conjectural inequality on Chern classes $C_{i}:=\int_{\mathfrak{Y}} \operatorname{ch}_{i}(E) . H^{3-i}$ [Bayer Macri Toda'11; Bayer Macri Stellari'16]

$$
\left(C_{1}^{2}-2 C_{0} C_{2}\right) w+\left(3 C_{0} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{2}\right) b+\left(2 C_{2}^{2}-3 C_{1} C_{3}\right) \geq 0
$$

## Rank 0 DT invariants from GV invariants

- By studying wall-crossing between the empty chamber provided by BMT bound and large volume, [Feyzbakhsh Thomas] show that D4-D2-D0 indices can be computed from rank 1 DT or PT invariants, which are in turn related to GV invariants.
- In particular for $(q, n)$ large enough, the PT invariant counting tilt-stable objects of class $(-1,0, q, n)$ is given by [Feyzbakhsh'22]

$$
P T(q, n)=(-1)^{\langle\overline{D 6(1)}, \gamma\rangle+1}\langle\overline{D 6(1)}, \gamma\rangle \Omega(\gamma)
$$

with $\overline{D 6(1)}:=\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(H)[1]$ and $\gamma=(0, H, q, n)$. Using spectral flow invariance, one finds for all $m \geq m_{0}(q, n)$

$$
\Omega(\gamma)=\frac{\left.(-1)^{\langle\overline{D 6}(1-m)}, \gamma\right\rangle+1}{\langle\overline{D 6(1-m)}, \gamma\rangle} P T\left(q^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q^{\prime}=q+\kappa m \\
n^{\prime}=n-m q-\frac{\kappa}{2} m(m+1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Modular predictions for D4-D2-D0 (rigorous)

- Using an extension of this idea, we have computed most of the polar terms, and many non-polar ones, for all models except $X_{3,2,2}, X_{2,2,2,2}$. In all cases, modularity holds with flying colors !

Alexandrov, Feyzbakhsh, Klemm, BP, Schimannek'23

- E.g. for $X_{5}$ :

$$
h_{1,0}=q^{-\frac{55}{24}}\left(\underline{5-800 q+58500 q^{2}}+5817125 q^{3}+75474060100 q^{4}\right.
$$

$$
+28096675153255 q^{5}+3756542229485475 q^{6}
$$

$$
\left.+277591744202815875 q^{7}+13610985014709888750 q^{8}+\ldots\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1, \pm 1}= & q^{-\frac{55}{24}+\frac{3}{5}}\left(\underline{0+8625 q}-1138500 q^{2}+3777474000 q^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+3102750380125 q^{4}+577727215123000 q^{5}+\ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
h_{1, \pm 2}=q^{-\frac{55}{24}+\frac{2}{5}}\left(\underline{0+0 q}-1218500 q^{2}+441969250 q^{3}+953712511250 q^{4}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+217571250023750 q^{5}+22258695264509625 q^{6}+\ldots\right)
$$

## Modular predictions for D4-D2-D0 (rigorous)

- We find that our educated guess is correct for $X_{5}, X_{6}, X_{8}, X_{3,3}, X_{4,4}$, $X_{6,6} \odot$, but fails for $X_{10}, X_{6,2}, X_{6,4}, X_{4,3} \odot$
- E.g. for $X_{10}$,

$$
h_{1,0}=\frac{203 E_{4}^{4}+445 E_{4} E_{6}^{2}}{216 \eta^{35}}=\mathrm{q}^{-\frac{35}{24}}\left(\underline{3-575 \mathrm{q}}+271955 \mathrm{q}^{2}+\cdots\right)
$$

as anticipated by [van Herck Wyder'09].

- Note that [Toda'13, Feyzbakhsh'22] also prove a version of our D6- $\overline{D 6}$ ansatz, but under very restrictive conditions which are only satisfied by the most polar terms.


## Mock modularity for non-Abelian D4-D2-D0 indices

- Let us consider D4-D2-D0 indices with $N=2$ units of D4-brane charge. In that case, $\left\{h_{2, q}, q \in \mathbb{Z} /(2 \kappa \mathbb{Z})\right\}$ should transform as a vv mock modular form with modular completion

$$
\widehat{h}_{2, q}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=h_{2, q}(\tau)+\sum_{q_{1}, q_{2}=0}^{\kappa-1} \delta_{q_{1}+q_{2}-q}^{(\kappa)} \Theta_{q_{2}-q_{1}+\kappa}^{(\kappa)} h_{1, q_{1}} h_{1, q_{2}}
$$

where

$$
\Theta_{q}^{(\kappa)}=\frac{(-1)^{q}}{8 \pi} \sum_{k \in 2 \kappa \mathbb{Z}+q}|k| \beta\left(\frac{\tau_{2} k^{2}}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi i \tau}{2 \kappa} k^{2}}
$$

and $\beta\left(x^{2}\right)=2|x|^{-1} e^{-\pi x^{2}}-2 \pi \operatorname{Erfc}(\sqrt{\pi}|x|)$.

- For $\kappa=1$, the series $\Theta_{q}^{(1)}$ is the one appearing in the modular completion of rank 2 Vafa-Witten invariants on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ !


## Mock modularity for non-Abelian D4-D2-D0 indices

- The series $\Theta_{q}^{(\kappa)}$ is convergent but not modular invariant. Suppose there exists a holomorphic function $g_{q}^{(\kappa)}$ such that $\Theta_{q}^{(\kappa)}+g_{q}^{(\kappa)}$ transforms as a vv modular form. Then

$$
\tilde{h}_{2, q}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=h_{2, q}(\tau)-\sum_{q_{1}, q_{2}=0}^{\kappa-1} \delta_{q_{1}+q_{2}-q}^{(\kappa)} g_{q_{2}-q_{1}+\kappa}^{(\kappa)} h_{1, q_{1}} h_{1, q_{2}}
$$

will be an ordinary weak holomorphic vv modular form, hence uniquely determined by its polar part.

- To construct $g_{q}^{(\kappa)}$, notice that for $\kappa$ prime, $\Theta_{q}^{(\kappa)}$ is obtained from $\Theta_{q}^{(1)}$ by acting with the Hecke-type operator [Bouchard Creutzig Diaconescu Doran Quigley Sheshmani'16]

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}_{\kappa}[\phi]\right)_{q}(\tau)=\frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{\substack{a, d>0 \\ a d=\kappa}}\left(\frac{\kappa}{d}\right)^{w+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{\kappa}(q, d) \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} e^{-\pi \mathrm{i} \frac{b}{a} q^{2}} \phi_{d q}\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{d}\right),
$$

with $q \in \Lambda^{*} / \Lambda(\kappa)$ and $\delta_{\kappa}^{\alpha d=\kappa}(q, d)=1$ if $q \in \Lambda^{*} / \Lambda(d)$ and 0 otherwise.

## Mock modularity for non-Abelian D4-D2-D0 indices

- For $\kappa=1$, a candidate for $g_{q}^{(1)}$ is well-known: the generating series of Hurwitz class numbers [Hirzebruch Zagier 1973]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}(\tau)=-\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{2} q+q^{2}+\frac{4}{3} q^{3}+\frac{3}{2} q^{4}+\ldots \\
& H_{1}(\tau)=q^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(\frac{1}{3}+q+q^{2}+2 q^{3}+q^{4}+\ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\kappa$, we can thus choose $g_{q}^{(\kappa)}=\mathcal{T}_{\kappa}(H)_{q}$.

- The vv modular form $\widetilde{h}_{2, q}$ is uniquely specified by its polar terms but those must satisfy constraints for such a form to exist, and integrality is not guaranteed!
- Mathematical results by Feyzbakhsh in principle allow to compute polar terms from DT/PT invariants, hence GV invariants, but the required degree and genus seem prohibitive so far.


## Mock modularity for non-Abelian D4-D2-D0 indices

| $\mathfrak{Y}$ | $\chi_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ | $\kappa$ | $c_{2}$ | $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{2 \mathcal{D}}\right)$ | $n_{2}$ | $C_{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $X_{5}\left(1^{5}\right)$ | -200 | 5 | 50 | 15 | 36 | 1 |
| $X_{6}\left(1^{4}, 2\right)$ | -204 | 3 | 42 | 11 | 19 | 1 |
| $X_{8}\left(1^{4}, 4\right)$ | -296 | 2 | 44 | 10 | 14 | 1 |
| $X_{10}\left(1^{3}, 2,5\right)$ | -288 | 1 | 34 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| $X_{4,3}\left(1^{5}, 2\right)$ | -156 | 6 | 48 | 16 | 42 | 0 |
| $X_{4,4}\left(1^{4}, 2^{2}\right)$ | -144 | 4 | 40 | 12 | 25 | 1 |
| $X_{6,2}\left(1^{5}, 3\right)$ | -256 | 4 | 52 | 14 | 30 | 1 |
| $X_{6,4}\left(1^{3}, 2^{2}, 3\right)$ | -156 | 2 | 32 | 8 | 11 | 1 |
| $X_{6,6}\left(1^{2}, 2^{2}, 3^{2}\right)$ | -120 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| $X_{3,3}\left(1^{6}\right)$ | -144 | 9 | 54 | 21 | 78 | 3 |
| $X_{4,2}\left(1^{6}\right)$ | -176 | 8 | 56 | 20 | 69 | 3 |
| $X_{3,2,2}\left(1^{7}\right)$ | -144 | 12 | 60 | 26 | 117 | 0 |
| $X_{2,2,2,2}\left(1^{8}\right)$ | -128 | 16 | 64 | 32 | 185 | 4 |

## Quantum geometry from stability and modularity

Conversely, we can use our knowledge of Abelian D4-D2-D0 invariants to compute GV invariants and push the direct integration method to higher genus !


Alexandrov Feyzbakhsh Klemm BP Schimannek'23

## Quantum geometry from stability and modularity

| $\mathfrak{Y}$ | $\chi_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ | $\kappa$ | type | $g_{\text {integ }}$ | $g_{\text {mod }}$ | $g_{\text {avail }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $X_{5}\left(1^{5}\right)$ | -200 | 5 | $F$ | 53 | 69 | 55 |
| $X_{6}\left(1^{4}, 2\right)$ | -204 | 3 | $F$ | 48 | 57 | 31 |
| $X_{8}\left(1^{4}, 4\right)$ | -296 | 2 | $F$ | 60 | 80 | 48 |
| $X_{10}\left(1^{3}, 2,5\right)$ | -288 | 1 | $F$ | 50 | 70 | 47 |
| $X_{4,3}\left(1^{5}, 2\right)$ | -156 | 6 | $F$ | 20 | 24 | 24 |
| $X_{6,4}\left(1^{3}, 2^{2}, 3\right)$ | -156 | 2 | $F$ | 14 | 17 | 17 |
| $X_{6,6}\left(1^{2}, 2^{2}, 3^{2}\right)$ | -120 | 1 | $K$ | 18 | 22 | 22 |
| $X_{4,4}\left(1^{4}, 2^{2}\right)$ | -144 | 4 | $K$ | 26 | 34 | 34 |
| $X_{3,3}\left(1^{6}\right)$ | -144 | 9 | $K$ | 29 | 33 | 33 |
| $X_{4,2}\left(1^{6}\right)$ | -176 | 8 | $C$ | 50 | 66 | 43 |
| $X_{6,2}\left(1^{5}, 3\right)$ | -256 | 4 | $C$ | 63 | 78 | 42 |

## Conclusion

- The existence of an isometric action of S-duality on the vector-multiplet moduli space in $D=3$, leads to strong modularity constraints on rank 0 DT invariants in the large volume limit.
- For $p=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}$ the sum of $n$ irreducible divisors, the generating function $h_{p}$ is a mock modular form of depth $n-1$ with an explicit shadow, thus it is uniquely determined by its polar coefficients.
- While modularity is clear physically, its mathematical origin is mysterious. Perhaps VOAs or Noether-Lefschetz theory can help [Bouchard Creutzig Diaconescu Doran Quigley Sheshmani'16]
- Using modularity and GV/DT/PT relations, we can not only compute D4D2-D0 indices, but also push $\Psi_{\text {top }}$ to higher genus !
- Mock modularity affects the growth of Fourier coefficients, hence the microscopic entropy of supersymmetric black holes. It should have an imprint on the macroscopic side as well...


## Thanks for your attention !



## A new explicit formula (S. Feyzbakhsh'23)

- Let $(\mathfrak{Y}, H)$ be a smooth polarised CY threefold with $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathfrak{Y})=\mathbb{Z} . H$ satisfying the BMT conjecture.
- Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \in H_{2}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$ and define $x=\frac{\beta \cdot H}{H^{3}}, \quad \alpha=-\frac{3 m}{2 \beta . H}$

$$
f(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
x+\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } 0<x<1 \\
\sqrt{2 x+\frac{1}{4}} & \text { if } 1<x<\frac{15}{8} \\
\frac{2}{3} x+\frac{3}{4} & \text { if } \frac{15}{8} \leq x<\frac{9}{4} \\
\frac{1}{3} x+\frac{3}{2} & \text { if } \frac{9}{4} \leq x<3 \\
\frac{1}{2} x+1 & \text { if } 3 \leq x
\end{array}\right.
$$



## A new explicit formula (S. Feyzbakhsh'23)

Theorem (wall-crossing for class $(-1,0, \beta,-m)$ :

- If $f(x)<\alpha$ then the stable pair invariant $\mathrm{PT}_{m, \beta}$ equals

$$
\sum_{\left(m^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)}(-1)^{\chi_{m^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}} \chi_{m^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}} \mathrm{PT}_{m^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}} \Omega\left(0, H, \frac{H^{2}}{2}-\beta^{\prime}+\beta, \frac{H^{3}}{6}+m^{\prime}-m-\beta^{\prime} . H\right)
$$

where $\chi_{m^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}=\beta \cdot H+\beta^{\prime} \cdot H+m-m^{\prime}-\frac{H^{3}}{6}-\frac{1}{12} c_{2}(\mathfrak{Y}) \cdot H$.

- The sum runs over $\left(m^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \in H_{0}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_{2}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq \beta^{\prime} \cdot H \leq \frac{H^{3}}{2}+\frac{3 m H^{3}}{2 \beta \cdot H}+\beta \cdot H \\
-\frac{\left(\beta^{\prime} \cdot H\right)^{2}}{2 H^{3}}-\frac{\beta^{\prime} \cdot H}{2} \leq m^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left(\beta \cdot H-\beta^{\prime} \cdot H\right)^{2}}{2 H^{3}}+\frac{\beta \cdot H+\beta^{\prime} \cdot H}{2}+m
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, $\beta^{\prime} . H<\beta . H$.
Corollary (Castelnuovo bound): $\mathrm{PT}_{m, \beta}=0$ unless $m \geq-\frac{(\beta \cdot H)^{2}}{2 H^{3}}-\frac{\beta . H}{2}$

