

REPLICAS FOR RFIM

$$H_h = -\frac{J}{N} \sum_{i \neq j} s_i s_j - \sum_i h_i s_i$$

$$P(h_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{h_i^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

0 - RESCALE $h_i \rightarrow h \cdot h_i \Rightarrow P(h_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{h_i^2}{2}}$

1 - STARTING FROM THE LATTICE VERSION WHERE $\sum_{\langle ij \rangle}$ AND COUPLING STRENGTH IS $\mathcal{O}(1) \Rightarrow$

WE RESCALE $\rightarrow \frac{J}{N}$ & TAKE $\sum_{i \neq j}$ TO DO THE MEAN-FIELD CASE.

2 - REPLICAS

$$[-\beta f]_h = \frac{1}{N} \left[\ln Z_h \right]_h = \frac{1}{N} \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{Z_h^n - 1}{n} \right]_h$$

$$-\beta N [f]_h = \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{[Z_h^n] - 1}{nN}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [Z_h^n] &= \left[\sum_{\{s_i^a\}} e^{-\beta H_h[\{s_i^a\}]} \right]_h \\
 &= \sum_{\{s_i^a\}} e^{\frac{\beta J}{N} \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a s_j^a} \left[e^{-\beta h \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{a=1}^n h_i s_i^a} \right]_h
 \end{aligned}$$

CALL THEM η_i

NOTE: SUM OVER REPLICAS INDICES IN EXPONENTIAL



$$\left[e^{-\beta h \sum_i \sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a} \right]_h = \int \frac{d\eta_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\eta_i^2}{2} - \beta h \sum_i \sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a}$$

$$= \pi e^{\frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} (\sum_i s_i^a)^2}$$

$$[z_h^n] = \sum_{\{s_i^a\}} e^{\frac{\beta J}{N} \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a s_j^a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_i \left(\sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a \right)^2}$$

$\sum_{i,j} s_i^a s_j^a - \sum_i (s_i^a)^2$

$$= \sum_{\{s_i^a\}} e^{\frac{\beta J}{N} \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right)^2 + \left[\frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} - \frac{\beta J}{N} \right] \sum_i \left(\sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a \right)^2}$$

NOTE: THE SUM IS WITHIN THE SQUARE $\Rightarrow$ REPLICAS INTERACT.

ALTHOUGH BOTH PROP TO SAME $\sum_i (s_i^a)^2$ FACTOR, THE $1/N$ MAKES 2ND NEGIGIBLE

$$\xrightarrow{N \gg 1} \sum_{\{s_i^a\}} e^{\frac{\beta J}{N} \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right)^2 + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_i \left(\sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a \right)^2}$$

• NOW, USE HUBBARD-STRATONOVICH OR GAUSSIAN DECOUPLING

$$e^{\lambda b^2} = \int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z^2 + \sqrt{2\lambda} b z - \lambda b^2 + \lambda b^2}$$

$$= \int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (z - \sqrt{2\lambda} b)^2}$$

TO DECOUPLE THE 1ST TERM IN THE EXPONENTIAL (i.e., FROM A DOUBLE SUM $\sum_{i,j}$ TO A SINGLE ONE).

$$e^{\frac{\beta J}{N} \lambda \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right)^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z_a^2 + \sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{N}} \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right) z_a}$$

FOR EACH
a INDEX
⇒ NEED AN
INDEX a IN Z

AND $[Z^n]$ BECOMES

$$[Z^n] = \sum_{\{s_i^a\}} \int \frac{\prod_a dz_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} z_a^2 + \sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{N}} \left(\sum_i s_i^a \right) z_a} \left(\frac{\beta h}{2} \right)^2 \sum_i \left(\sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a \right)^2$$

FOR CONVENIENCE, I
FACTORIZED THE EXPONENTIALS

$$= \int \prod_{a=1}^n \frac{dz_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^n z_a^2} \sum_{\{s_i^a\}} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{N}} \sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a z_a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_{a=1}^n s_i^a \sum_{b=1}^n s_i^b}$$

IT'S A MULTIPLE
INTEGRAL, THERE
ARE n OF THEM

SINCE THE i-SITES ARE
DECOUPLED ⇒ IT'S A MUTE
INDEX AND ONE CAN ELIMINATE
IT, AND CONSIDER ONLY ONE
SUM $\sum_{s^a}$ TO THE POWER N:

$$\left(\sum_{\{s^a\}} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{N}} \sum_{a=1}^n s^a z_a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_{a=1}^n s^a \sum_{b=1}^n s^b} \right)^N$$

AND ONE WRITES $[Z^n]$ IN A MORE COMPACT FORM:

$$[Z^n] = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int \prod_{a=1}^n dz_a e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_a z_a^2 + N \ln Z_1(z_a)}$$

WITH
$$Z_1(\{z_a\}) = \sum_{\{s^a\}} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2\beta J}{N}} \sum_{a=1}^n s^a z_a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_{ab=1}^n s^a s^b}$$

WE SEEM TO HAVE USELESSLY TRADED THE INT BTW $(ij) \rightarrow$ INT BTW (ab) AND NOW WE HAVE ANOTHER PART SUM TO COMPUTE. LET'S GO AHEAD.

• RESCALE $z_a \rightarrow \sqrt{N} z_a$

$$[z_h^n] = \left(\frac{N}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d^2 z_a}{a=1} e^{-N \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_a z_a^2 - \ln Z_1(\{z_a\}) \right]}$$

$$Z_1(\{z_a\}) = \sum_{\{s^a\}} e^{\sqrt{2\beta J} \sum_{a=1}^n s^a z_a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_{ab=1}^n s^a s^b}$$

• NOW, $N \rightarrow \infty$ AND ONE CAN EVALUATE THE INT BY STEEPEST DESCENT (EVEN THOUGH THERE ARE n VARIABLES OVER WHICH OPTIMISE). ASSUME THAT THE EXTREMA WE ARE LOOKING FOR ARE SUCH THAT

$$z_a^{\text{EXT}} = z^{\text{EXT}} \quad \text{INDEP. OF } a \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$z_a^{\text{EXT}} = \frac{\partial Z_1(\{z_a\})}{\partial z_a} \bigg|_{z_a^{\text{EXT}}} \quad \text{SOLUTION INDEP OF } a$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{\{s^a\}} (\sqrt{2\beta J} s^a) e^{\sqrt{2\beta J} \sum_a s^a z_a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_{ab} s^a s^b}}{Z_1(\{z_a\})}$$

WE SEE THAT $z_a^{\text{EXT}} = \sqrt{2\beta J} \langle s^a \rangle_{Z_1(z_a^{\text{EXT}})}$

IF, MOREOVER, $z_a^{\text{EXT}} = z^{\text{EXT}} \Rightarrow$

$$z^{\text{EXT}} = \sqrt{2\beta J} \langle s^a \rangle_{Z_1(z^{\text{EXT}})} \quad \text{INDEX OF } a.$$

REPLACE NOW z_a BY z^{EXT} IN $[Z_L^n]$ AND, FURTHERMORE, IN $[f_R]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\beta [f_R] &= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{nN} \left\{ \left(\frac{N}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} e^{-N \left[\frac{1}{2} n (z^{\text{EXT}})^2 - \ln Z_1(z^{\text{EXT}}) \right]} - 1 \right\} \\
 &\quad \text{GOES TO 1 FOR } n \rightarrow 0 \\
 &= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{nN} \left\{ e^{-N \left[\frac{1}{2} n (z^{\text{EXT}})^2 - \ln Z_1(z^{\text{EXT}}) \right]} - 1 \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

ONE CAN DECOUPLE NOW THE REPLICA INDICES IN $Z_1(z^{\text{EXT}})$ TO COMPUTE THE SUM $\sum_{\{s^a\}}$ EXPLICITLY.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_1(z^{\text{EXT}}) &= \sum_{\{s^a\}} e^{\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} \sum_a s^a + \frac{(\beta h)^2}{2} \sum_{ab=1}^n s^a s^b} \\
 &= \sum_{\{s^a\}} e^{\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} \sum_a s^a} \int \frac{dw}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} w^2} \prod_a e^{w \beta h s^a}
 \end{aligned}$$



www.ictp.it

NOW WE HAVE DECOUPLED THE $\{s^a\}_s$ AND WE CAN COMPUTE THE $\sum_{\{s^a\}}$:

$$\sum_{\{s^a\}} e^{\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} s^a + w\beta h s^a}$$

FOR EACH $a \Rightarrow n$
IDENTICAL PART.
SUMS, LEADING TO

$$\Rightarrow \left[2 \cosh(\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} + w\beta h) \right]^n \Rightarrow$$

$$Z_1(z^{\text{EXT}}) = \int \frac{dw}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}w^2} \left[2 \cosh(\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} + w\beta h) \right]^n$$

$$e^{n \ln(2 \cosh(\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} + w\beta h))}$$

AND, FINALLY,

$$-\beta [f_a] = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{nN} \left\{ e^{-N \left[\frac{1}{2} n (z^{\text{EXT}})^2 - \ln \int \frac{dw}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}w^2} \right]} \right. \\ \left. e^{n \ln(2 \cosh(\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} + w\beta h))} \right\} - 1$$

A

AND WE WILL USE $\langle \cdot \rangle$ TO INDICATE THE AVERAGE OVER THE GAUSSIAN WEIGHT OF THE NEW AUXILIARY VARIABLE w .

FIRST WE TAKE $n \rightarrow 0$ IN THE EXPONENTIAL:

$$A \equiv e^{n \ln(2 \cosh(\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} + w\beta h))} \simeq 1 + n \ln(2 \cosh(\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} + w\beta h))$$

$\rightarrow$ THE 1 GOES BELOW $\langle \cdot \rangle \nearrow \langle 1 \rangle = 1$

→ THE SECOND TERM REMAINS AND WE HAVE

$$\ln \left\langle \left[1 + n \ln \left(2 \cosh \left(\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} + \beta h w \right) \right) \right] \right\rangle$$

$$\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow 0} n \left\langle \ln \left(2 \cosh \left(\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} + \beta h w \right) \right) \right\rangle$$

WHERE WE USED
 $\ln(1+\epsilon) \simeq \epsilon$

SO, WITH THE $n \rightarrow 0$ WE GOT RID ON ONE $\ln$ FUNCTION
 NOW, THE FULL EXPONENT IS PROP TO n AND WE TAKE
 AGAIN $n \rightarrow 0$:

$$e^{-Nm \text{ Exponent}} \simeq 1 - Nm \text{ Exponent}$$

THE 1 CANCELS THE (-1) AND THE Nm SIMPLIFY WITH
 THE $\frac{1}{n}$:

$$-\beta [f_h] = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow \infty}} - \left\{ + \frac{1}{2} (z^{\text{EXT}})^2 - \left\langle \ln \left(2 \cosh \left(\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} + \beta h w \right) \right) \right\rangle \right\}$$

THERE'S NO MORE
 DEPENDENCE ON (m, N)
 $\Rightarrow$ IRRELEVANT

$$\beta [f_h] = \frac{1}{2} (z^{\text{EXT}})^2 - \left\langle \ln \left(2 \cosh \left(\sqrt{2\beta J} z^{\text{EXT}} + \beta h w \right) \right) \right\rangle$$

RECALLING THAT $z^{\text{EXT}} = \sqrt{2\beta J} m$, WE REPLACE AND

$$\left[\frac{P}{J} \right] = Jm^2 - \frac{1}{\beta} \ll \ln (2 \cosh (2\beta Jm + \beta h w)) \quad \text{AVERAGED FREE-ENERGY DENSITY}$$

cf. w/ ISING MODEL IN A FIELD.

$$\frac{\partial [P/J]}{\partial m} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = \cancel{2Jm} - \frac{1}{\cancel{\beta}} \ll \frac{2 \sinh(2\beta Jm + \beta h w)}{2 \cosh(2\beta Jm + \beta h w)} \cancel{2\beta J} \gg$$

$$m_{\text{EXT}} = \ll \tanh(2\beta Jm_{\text{EXT}} + \beta h w) \gg \quad \text{SADDLE-POINT EQ.}$$

• $m_{\text{EXT}} = 0$ IS A SOLUTION $\forall (\beta J, \beta h)$

INDEED $0 = \ll \tanh(\beta h w) \gg$ AND THE $m_{\text{EXT}} = 0$
 SINCE $P(w)$ IS SYMM WRT 0 WHILE $\tanh \beta h w$ IS NOT.

$m_{\text{FM}} = 0$ PARAM SOLUTION.

• ASSUME A 2nd ORDER PHASE TRANSITION, i.e., WITH

$m_{\text{FM}} \simeq 0$ CLOSE TO T_c & EXPAND THE $\tanh$

$$m_{\text{ext}} \approx \langle \hbar (\beta h w) + \frac{ch^2 \beta h w - sh^2 \beta h w}{ch^2 \beta h w} 2\beta J m_{\text{ext}} \rangle$$

$$m_{\text{ext}} = \langle \cancel{\hbar}^0 \beta h w \rangle + 2\beta J m_{\text{ext}} \langle \frac{1}{ch^2 \beta h w} \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{1 = 2\beta_c J \langle \frac{1}{ch^2 \beta_c h w} \rangle}$$

IT'S A CONDITION ON β_c
 GIVEN J AND T_h THAT IS
 IMPACT IN THE $p(h) \rightarrow p(w)$ WITH UNIT
 VARIANCE BUT
 THAT WE HAVE TO USE h FACTOR IS
 $h = \sigma_h$ OUTSIDE

PARAMETERS $(\beta_c J), (\beta_c h)$
 IN THIS WRITING

OR $x_c = \beta_c J \quad y_c = \beta_c h \quad \text{OR} \quad y_c = \frac{\beta_c}{J} Jh$
 $= (\beta_c J) \left(\frac{h}{J} \right)$
 $= x_c \left(\frac{h}{J} \right)$

$$\boxed{1 = 2\beta_c J \langle \frac{1}{ch^2 (\beta_c J) \left(\frac{hc}{J} \right)} \rangle}$$

