### **Advanced Statistical Physics**

Lectures by Leticia F. Cugliandolo<sup>1,2</sup> Exercise sessions Ada Altieri<sup>3</sup> & Marco Tarzia<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sorbonne Université
 <sup>2</sup> Institut Universitaire de France
 <sup>3</sup> Université Paris Cité

leticia@lpthe.jussieu.fr
www.lpthe.jussieu.fr/~leticia

Lectures Monday, 08:30 - 10:30

Exercises Monday, 10:45 - 12:45



#### **Classical and Quantum Phase transitions**

Phenomenology, concepts & formalism

Critical phenomena & universality, topology, renormalization, nucleation, and disorder

#### Current research, *e.g.*

Non-Equilibrium Universality : From Classical to Quantum and Back, KITP UC Santa Barbara 2021 Quantum localization and glassy physics, Summer School at Cargèse 2023 Out-of-equilibrium Dynamics and Quantum Information of Many-body Systems with Long-range Interactions KITP UC Santa Barbara 2023

All material (program, lectures & TDs) downloadable from the webpage www.lpthe.jussieu.fr/~leticia/enseignement.html

### Plan

Mathematical preliminaries (should have been done during the summer)

- 1. One introductory lecture
- 2. Six lectures on classical statistical physics
- 3. Six lectures on quantum statistical physics
- 4. Twelve TD sessions in half group
- 5. One homework to be done during the mid-term holidays
- 6. Final written exam (concepts and exercises)

Final Mark 20% homework and 80% written exam

# Plan

- 1. Interest and background, principles and formalism, e.g.
  - (In)Equivalence of ensembles for (long) short-range interactions
  - Systems' reduction (role of environments)
- 2. Phase transitions in the classical case
  - Important concepts (phase diagrams, order parameters, spontaneous symmetry breaking, etc.)
  - Uncommon mechanisms (e.g. topological phases, condensation)
  - Renormalization group ideas
  - Effects of quenched randomness
- 3. Quantum statistical physics
  - Generics : Linear response, Kubo formula, FDT, etc.
  - Quantum classical equivalence, imaginary time representation
  - Quantum spin chains (tricks)

### **Phase transitions**

### **Phase diagram**

#### Graphite



Theoretical phase diagram of carbon, which shows the state of matter for varying temperatures and pressures. The hatched regions indicate conditions under which one phase is metastable, so that two phases can coexist.

Wikipedia

### **Phase diagrams**

### High $T_c$ superconductors



... to propose a bosonic effective quantum Hamiltonian based on the projected SO(5) model with extended interactions, which can be derived from the microscopic models of the cuprates. The global phase diagram of this model is obtained using mean-field theory and Quantum Monte Carlo simulations ...

#### Sylvain Capponi - Toulouse

### Universality

#### Para-Ferro magnetism & Liquid-Gas



Very different variables and control parameters and, still, same critical properties

Non-Equilibrium Universality : From Classical to Quantum and Back, KITP UC Santa Barbara 2021

### **Active Brownian disks**

Phase diagram with solid, hexatic, liquid, co-existence and MIPS



1st order hexatic-liquid close to Pe = 0
KT-HNY solid-hexatic
universal dislocation unbinding
Breakdown of KT-HNY hexatic-liquid picture
disclination unbinding within the liquid phase
percolation of defect clusters in the liquid

Pressure  $P(\phi, \text{Pe})$  (EoS), correlations  $G_T(r)$ ,  $G_6(r)$ , distributions of  $\phi_i$ , and  $|\psi_{6i}|$  defect identification & counting

Digregorio, Levis, Suma, LFC, Gonnella & Pagonabarraga, PRL 121, 098003 (2018) Digregorio, Levis, LFC, Gonnella & Pagonabarraga, Soft Matter 18, 566 (2022)

# **Long-Range Interactions**

### Statistical mechanics and dynamics of solvable models with long-range interactions

A. Campa (1), T. Dauxois (2), S. Ruffo (3) ((1) Complex Systems and Theoretical Physics Unit, ISS and INFN, Rome, Italy (2) Laboratoire de Physique and CNRS, ENS-Lyon, France (3) Dip. di Energetica, Univ. Firenze and INFN, Italy)

The two-body potential of systems with long-range interactions decays at large distances as  $V(r) \sim 1/r^{\alpha}$ , with  $\alpha \leq d$ , where d is the space dimension. Examples are: gravitational systems, two-dimensional hydrodynamics, two-dimensional elasticity, charged and dipolar systems. Although such systems can be made extensive, they are intrinsically non additive. Moreover, the space of accessible macroscopic thermodynamic parameters might be non convex. The violation of these two basic properties is at the origin of ensemble inequivalence, which implies that specific heat can be negative in the microcanonical ensemble and temperature jumps can appear at microcanonical first order phase transitions. The lack of convexity implies that ergodicity may be generically broken. We present here a comprehensive review of the recent advances on the statistical mechanics and out-ofequilibrium dynamics of systems with long-range interactions. The core of the review consists in the detailed presentation of the concept of ensemble inequivalence, as exemplified by the exact solution, in the microcanonical and canonical ensembles, of meanfield type models. Relaxation towards thermodynamic equilibrium can be extremely slow and quasi-stationary states may be present. The understanding of such unusual relaxation process is obtained by the introduction of an appropriate kinetic theory based on the Vlasov equation.

Comments:118 pages, review paper, added references, slight change of contentSubjects:Statistical Mechanics (cond-mat.stat-mech)Journal reference:Physics Reports 480 (2009), pp. 57–159

# **Long-Range Interactions**

#### Notes on the Statistical Mechanics of Systems with Long-Range Interactions

#### **David Mukamel**

Thermodynamic and dynamical properties of systems with long range pairwise interactions (LRI) which decay as  $1/r^{d+\sigma}$  at large distances r in *d* dimensions are reviewed in these Notes. Two broad classes of such systems are identified: (a) systems with a slow decay of the interactions, termed "strong" LRI, where the energy is super-extensive. These systems are characterized by unusual properties such as inequivalence of ensembles, negative specific heat, slow decay of correlations and ergodicity breaking. And (b) systems with faster decay of the interaction potential where the energy is additive, thus resulting in less dramatic effects. These interaction affect the thermodynamic behavior of systems near phase transitions, where long range correlations are naturally present. Long range correlations are often present in systems driven out of equilibrium when the dynamics involves conserved quantities. Steady state properties of driven systems are considered within the framework outlined above.

Comments: Notes of lectures given at a Summer School in Les Houches (France), 4-29 August 2008

# **Out of equilibrium**



### Out-of-equilibrium Dynamics and Quantum Information of Many-body Systems with Long-range Interactions

Coordinators: Nicolò Defenu, Alexey Gorshkov, Giovanna Morigi and Lea F. Santos

Scientific Advisors: Paola Cappellaro, Eugene Demler, David Mukamel, Manfred Salmhofer and Monika Schleier-Smith

The experimental progress in the field of quantum simulations has stimulated a strong theoretical interest in the quantum coherent dynamics of long-range interacting particles. The prominent collective character of these systems gives rise to novel dynamical phenomena, metastable phases of matter, and forms of dynamical criticality which do not have a counterpart in traditional quantum systems with local interactions. This program will give a broad scientific community an occasion to have systematic and organic discussions over several recently discovered non-equilibrium phenomena enabled by long-range interactions, bridging the insights coming from theory and experiment. The program will cover several aspects of long-range physics, including, but not limited to the dynamics of quantum correlations and entanglement, dynamical phase transitions, dynamically stabilized phases and metastable phases and anomalous slow dynamics and ergodicity breaking. The program will provide a framework for various research communities to discuss the core concepts and tools relevant to long-range interacting matter and will contribute to better bridging the gap between theorists and experimentalists.





Application deadline is: Nov 13, 2022. Primary deadline above





Journal reference: J. Stat. Mech. (2016) 064007

https://arxiv.org > quant-ph 👻

#### Complete Generalized Gibbs Ensemble in an interacting Theory

by E Ilievski · 2015 · Cited by 317 — Abstract: In integrable many-particle systems, it is widely believed that the stationary state reached at late times after a quantum quench can be... **DOI:** 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.157201

https://indico.in2p3.fr > attachments > Annecy2017 - PDF

#### Generalized Gibbs Ensembles in field theories - Indico

Generalized Gibbs Ensembles in field theories. A review of some recent advances, including : - EV, A. Cortés-Cubero, arXiv:1609.03220.

https://www.bnl.gov > isd > documents v PDF

#### Measuring effective temperatures in a generalized Gibbs ...

by L Foini  $\cdot$  2017  $\cdot$  Cited by 25 — II B. A. The **generalized Gibbs ensemble**. Consider a generic integrable system with Hamiltonian<sup>\*</sup>H and a set of conserved charges { ... 10 pages



#### Generalized Gibbs ensemble in integrable lattice models

#### Lev Vidmar, Marcos Rigol

The generalized Gibbs ensemble (GGE) was introduced ten years ago to describe observables in isolated integrable quantum systems after equilibration. Since then, the GGE has been demonstrated to be a powerful tool to predict the outcome of the relaxation dynamics of few-body observables in a variety of integrable models, a process we call generalized thermalization. This review discusses several fundamental aspects of the GGE and generalized thermalization in integrable systems. In particular, we focus on questions such as: which observables equilibrate to the GGE predictions and who should play the role of the bath; what conserved quantities can be used to construct the GGE; what are the differences between generalized thermalization in noninteracting systems and in interacting systems mappable to noninteracting ones; why is it that the GGE works when traditional ensembles of statistical mechanics fail. Despite a lot of interest in these questions in recent years, no definite answers have been given. We review results for the XX model and for the transverse field Ising model. For the latter model, we also report original results and show that the GGE describes spin-spin correlations over the entire system. This makes apparent that there is no need to trace out a part of the system in real space for equilibration to occur and for the GGE to apply. In the past, a spectral decomposition of the weights of various statistical ensembles revealed that generalized eigenstate thermalization occurs in the XX model (hard-core bosons). Namely, eigenstates of the Hamiltonian with similar distributions of conserved quantities have similar expectation values of few-spin observables. Here we show that generalized eigenstate thermalization also occurs in the transverse field Ising model.

 Comments:
 Review article for special issue of JSTAT on non-equilibrium dynamics in integrable systems

 Subjects:
 Statistical Mechanics (cond-mat.stat-mech); Quantum Gases (cond-mat.quant-gas); Strongly Correlated Electrons (cond-mat.str-el); Quantum Physics (quant-ph)

 Journal reference:
 J. Stat. Mech. (2016) 064007

#### Reservoir-controlled quantum materials

Responsable : Cristiano Ciuti (PR Université de Paris)

Enseignants : Cristiano Ciuti (PR Université de Paris)

nombre d'ECTS : 3

Langue d'enseignement : Anglais

Evaluation : examen écrit (questions sur le cours, tutoriels et articles donnés à l'avance)

Description :

In recent years, new concepts related to the coupling of quantum many-body systems to tailored reservoirs are providing elegant ways to modify the electronic, optical and mechanical properties of quantum materials in condensed matter, atomic, optical and quantum circuit platforms. Moreover, reservoir-based approaches are emerging in the context of machine learning and quantum information. This M2 course and its tutorials will provide in a pedagogical way the fundamental concepts for the reservoir-control of quantum systems and show the most recent developments, applications and experimental realizations. The interdisciplinary and self-contained character of this class should resonate with students belonging to the tracks of Condensed Matter, Quantum Physics, and Theoretical Physics.

Home > The Journal of Chemical Physics > Volume 148, Issue 11 > 10.1063/1.4991779

💼 No Access 🛛 Submitted: 23 June 2017 🖾 Accepted: 21 February 2018 🖉 Published Online: 15 March 2018

#### Theories of quantum dissipation and nonlinear coupling bath descriptors

J. Chem. Phys. 148, 114103 (2018); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4991779

🝺 Rui-Xue Xu, Yang Liu, 🝺 Hou-Dao Zhang, and YiJing Yan<sup>a)</sup>

#### **View Affiliations**

PDF

ABSTRACT

FIGURES CITED BY TOOLS

SHARE METRICS

#### TOPICS

- Invariance properties
- Emission spectroscopy
   Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics
- Quantum dissipation
- Complex systems theory
- Stochastic processes
- Entropy
- Optical properties
- Oscillators
- Wick's theorem

#### ABSTRACT

FULL TEXT

The quest of an exact and nonperturbative treatment of quantum dissipation in nonlinear coupling environments remains in general an intractable task. In this work, we address the key issues toward the solutions to the lowest nonlinear environment, a harmonic bath coupled both linearly and quadratically with an arbitrary system. To determine the bath coupling descriptors, we propose a physical mapping scheme, together with the prescription reference invariance requirement. We then adopt a recently developed dissipaton equation of motion theory [R. X. Xu *et al.*, Chin. J. Chem. Phys. **30**, 395 (2017)], with the underlying statistical quasi-particle ("dissipaton") algebra being extended to the quadratic bath coupling. We report the numerical results on a two-level system dynamics and absorption and emission line shapes.



# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

#### OPEN

Received: 8 August 2017 Accepted: 8 January 2018 Published online: 24 January 2018

### Decoherence and control of a qubit in spin baths: an exact master equation study

Jun Jing<sup>1,2</sup> & Lian-Ao Wu<sup>2,3</sup>

In spin-based nanosystems for quantum information processing, electron spin qubits are subject to decoherence due to their interactions with nuclear spin environments. In this paper, we present an exact master equation for a central spin-1/2 system in time-dependent external fields and coupled to a spin-half bath in terms of hyperfine interaction. The master equation provides a unified description for free and controlled dynamics of the central spin and is formally independent of the details and size of spin environments. Different from the previous approaches, the master equation remains exact even in the presence of external control fields. Using the parameters for realistic nanosystems with nonzero nuclear spins, such as GaAs, we investigate the Overhauser's effect on the decoherence dynamics of the central spin frequencies and system-bath coupling strengths. Furthermore, we apply the leakage elimination operator, in a nonperturbative manner, to this system to suppress the decoherence induced by hyperfine interaction.

### Bath-induced Zeno localization in driven many-body quantum systems

Markus Holzmann<sup>1</sup>, Thibaud Maimbourg<sup>2</sup>, Denis M. Basko<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Rosso<sup>2</sup> Details

1 LPM2C - Laboratoire de physique et modélisation des milieux condensés

2 LPTMS - Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modèles Statistiques

**Abstract** : We study a quantum interacting spin system subject to an external drive and coupled to a thermal bath of spatially localized vibrational modes, serving as a model of Dynamic Nuclear Polarization. We show that even when the many-body eigenstates of the system are ergodic, a sufficiently strong coupling to the bath may effectively localize the spins due to many-body quantum Zeno effect, as manifested by the hole-burning shape of the electron paramagnetic resonance spectrum. Our results provide an explanation of the breakdown of the thermal mixing regime experimentally observed above 4 - 5 Kelvin.

### **Phase transitions**



### **Summary 3rd Lecture**

### **Continuous phase-transition**

#### **Bi-valued equilibrium states related by symmetry**



Ginzburg-Landau free-energy

Scalar order parameter

e.g. Ising magnets at 
$$h=0$$
,  $m=\langle s_i
angle=rac{1}{N}\sum\limits_{k=1}^N\langle s_k
angle$ 

### **Continuous phase-transition**

#### **Bi-valued equilibrium states related by symmetry**

Aimantation et phénomène magnétocalorique du nickel



Nickel data vs. mean-field  $m(T/T_c)$ 

Weiss & Forrer, Ann. Phys. 5, 153 (1926)

## **Discontinuous phase transition**

#### **Hysteresis loops**

Growth Rate Effects in Soft CoFe Films



M. Vopsaroiu, K. O'Grady, M. T. Georgieva, P. J. Grundy, and M. J. Thwaites,

IEEE Transactions on magnetics 41, 3253 (2005)

### **Curie-Weiss Mean-Field**

#### Exponents for a continuous ( $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spont symm broken) transition

 $t = (T - T_c)/T_c$ 

	exponent	definition	definition conditions	
Specific heat	α	$C_v \propto  t ^{-\alpha}$	$t \to 0,  h = 0$	0
Order parameter	$\beta$	$m \propto (-t)^{\beta}$	$t \to 0^-,  h = 0$	1/2
Susceptibility	$\gamma$	$\chi \propto  t ^{-\gamma}$	$t \to 0,  h = 0$	1
Critical isotherm	$\delta$	$h \propto  m ^{\delta} \operatorname{sign}(m)$	$h \to 0,  t = 0$	3
			•	1

### Measurements

### **Magentisation**<sup>3</sup> vs. temperature

Nuclear magnetic resonance in MnF4 near a critical point



FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of the cube of the F<sup>19</sup> nuclear resonance frequency for the first 1.8 degrees below  $T_N$ . The points lie on the straight line shown to within the experimental uncertainty of about 5 millidegrees.

#### Mean-Field yields $\beta = 1/2$

P. Heller and G. B. Benedek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 8, 428 (1962)

### **Measurements**

Magnetic susceptibility  $\chi \sim (T_c - T)^{-1.3}$ 



Mean-Field yields  $\gamma=1$ 

### **Measurements**

### Heat capacity vs reduced temperature $^{-1/8}$

Precision Measurement of the Specific Heat of  $CO_2$  Near the Critical Point



#### Mean-Field yields $\alpha = 0$

J. Lipa, C. Edwards, and M. Buckingham, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 1086 (1970)

### Universality

#### Para-Ferro magnetism & Liquid-Gas



### **Typical configurations**

#### Up & down spins in a 2d Ising model



 $T \to \infty$ 

 $T = T_c$ 

 $0 < T < T_c$ 

#### **Real space viewpoint**

# **Ginzburg-Landau**

#### **Continuous scalar statistical field theory**

Coarse-grain the spin

 $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = V_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1} \sum_{i \in V_{\mathbf{r}}} s_i.$ 



The partition function is  $\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{-\beta \mathcal{F}(\phi)}$  with

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi) = \int d^d r \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{r})]^2 + \frac{T - T_c}{2} \phi^2(\boldsymbol{r}) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4(\boldsymbol{r}) \right\}$$

Elastic + potential energy with the latter inspired by the results for the fully-connected model (entropy around  $\phi\sim 0$  and symmetry arguments)

Uniform saddle point in the  $V \to \infty$  limit :  $\phi_{sp}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \phi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \phi_0$ The free-energy density is  $\lim_{V \to \infty} f_V(\beta, J, g) = \lim_{V \to \infty} V^{-1} \mathcal{F}(\phi_0)$ 

# **Ginzburg-Landau**

#### **Exponents from the saddle-point analysis** *vs.* **finite** d **ones**

	exponent	definition	conditions	mean-field
Specific heat	α	$C_v \propto  t ^{-\alpha}$	$t \to 0,  h = 0$	0
Order parameter	$\beta$	$m \propto (-t)^{\beta}$	$t \to 0^-, h = 0$	1/2
Susceptibility	$\gamma$	$\chi \propto  t ^{-\gamma}$	$t \to 0,  h = 0$	1
Critical isotherm	$\delta$	$h \propto  m ^{\delta} \operatorname{sign}(m)$	$h \to 0,  t = 0$	3
Correlation length	ν	$\xi \propto  t ^{- u}$	$t \to 0,  h = 0$	1/2
Correlation function	$\eta$	$G(\mathbf{r}) \propto  \mathbf{r} ^{-d+2-\eta}$	r = 0,  h = 0	0

d	$\beta$	$\alpha = \alpha'$	$\gamma = \gamma'$	δ	ν	$\eta$	
2	1/8	0	7/4	15	1	1/4	exact
3	0.325	0.11	1.24	4.82	0.63	0.032	approx
MF	1/2	0	1	3	1/2	0	exact

### **Correlation functions**

#### Melting: from solid to liquid in 2d



Translational order correlation functions  $G_T$ : exponential decay  $e^{-r/\xi}$ Orientational order correlation functions  $G_6$ : power law decay  $r^{2-d-\eta}$  - critical

### **Correlation functions**

#### Atomic gases in 2d - Stochastic Gross-Pitaevskii equation



Figure 4.7: (a) Equilibrium profiles for the first-order correlation function  $g_1(r)$  for different temperatures. (b) Correlation function profiles as in the previous figure, in logarithmic scale. The fitted algebraic (dotted lines) and exponential (dashed lines) functions are defined as in (4.56). (c) Ratio  $\Xi$  between the mean squared errors for the fits in (b), as defined in (4.57). The dashed line expresses the value of  $\Xi$  for which the two fits apply equally well to the data. The resulting critical point presents a shift of about ~ 0.25 with respect to the theoretical relation for  $T_{\rm BKT}$ , equation (4.41).

F. Larcher, Dynamical excitations in low-dimensional condensates: sound, vortices and quenched dynamics,

PhD thesis, Newcastle University & Università di Trento (2018)

### Landau theory

#### Second order phase transition



Notation :  $\mathcal{L}$  is the "potential" in the Landau free-energy density Notation :  $\eta$  is the "field"

### Landau theory

#### **First order phase transitions**



Notation :  $\mathcal{L}$  is the "potential" in the Landau free-energy density,  $\eta$  is the "field",  $t_I$  is the transition

# Landau theory

#### **Important points**

• The actual values of the parameters are not important

they are material/model dependent

- The dimension of the order parameter (scalar, vectorial) and the potential decide whether the transition is second, first order or infinite order
- In 2nd order phase transitions the correlation length diverges & the linear susceptibility as well.
- In continuous phase transitions the exponents are universal
- The strength of the Gaussian fluctuations limit the validity of the saddle-point treatment of the Landau theory.

upper critical dimension, critical region  $\xi^{4-d} < 1$  for the scalar  $\lambda \phi^4$  theory

### **Critical Scaling**

#### **Ferromagnetic transition**



**Fig. 4.** Isothermal *M* vs *H* plot of Nd<sub>0.55</sub>Sr<sub>0.45</sub>Mn<sub>0.98</sub>Ga<sub>0.02</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at  $T_C = 247$  K; the inset shows the same plot in log-log scale and the solid line is the linear fit following Eq. (3).



Fig. 5. Scaling plots of renormalized magnetization M vs. renormalized field H above and below  $T_{\rm C}.$ 

Bo Yua *et al*, *Scaling study of magnetic phase transition and critical behavior in*  $Nd_{0.55}Sr_{0.45}Mn_{0.98}Ga_{0.02}O_3$ *manganite*, Materials Research Bulletin 99, 393 (2018)

### **Critical Scaling**

#### **Jamming transition**



FIG. 2: (color online) Plot of inverse shear viscosity  $\eta^{-1}$  vs applied shear stress  $\sigma$  for several different values of the volume density  $\rho$ . The dashed line represents the power law dependence expected exactly at  $\rho = \rho_c$  and has a slope  $\beta/\Delta = 1.375$ . Solid lines are guides to the eye. Points labeled  $\sigma = 0.0012$  correspond to densities  $\rho = 0.870, 0.872,$ 0.874, 0.876, and 0.878.



FIG. 3: (color online) Plot of scaled inverse viscosity  $\eta^{-1}/|\rho - \rho_c|^{\beta}$  vs scaled shear stress  $z \equiv \sigma/|\rho - \rho_c|^{\Delta}$  for the data of Fig. 2. We find an excellent collapse to the scaling form of Eq. (6) using values  $\rho_c = 0.8415$ ,  $\beta = 1.65$  and  $\Delta = 1.2$ . The dashed line represents the large z asymptotic dependence,  $\sim z^{\beta/\Delta}$ . Data point symbols correspond to those used in Fig. 2.

P. Olsson and S. Teitel, Critical Scaling of Shear Viscosity at the Jamming Transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99,

#### 178001 (2007).

### **Critical Scaling**

#### **Jamming transition**



FIG. 4: (color online) Inset: Normalized transverse velocity correlation function g(x)/g(0) vs longitudinal position x for N = 1024 particles, applied shear stress  $\sigma = 10^{-4}$ , and volume densities  $\rho = 0.830$ , 0.834 and 0.838. The position of the minimum determines the correlation length  $\xi$ . Main figure: Plot of scaled inverse correlation length  $\xi^{-1}/|\rho - \rho_c|^{\nu}$  vs scaled shear stress  $z \equiv \sigma/|\rho - \rho_c|^{\Delta}$  for the data of Fig. 2] We find a good scaling collapse using values  $\rho_c = 0.8415$ ,  $\Delta = 1.2$ (the same as in Fig. 3) and  $\nu = 0.6$ . Data point symbols correspond to those used in Fig. 2.

P. Olsson and S. Teitel, Critical Scaling of Shear Viscosity at the Jamming Transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99,

178001 (2007).

### Finite size effects

#### **Rounding of magnetization curves**



Figure: In TD limit the order parameter is 0 for  $T > T_c$  but in FS the transition is smeared out.

### **Finite size effects**

#### **Rounding of the heat capacity**



### **Decimation**

### 1d Ising chain $K = \beta J, \ \zeta = \ln \mathcal{Z}$



Decimation : the killing of one in every ten of a group of people as a punishment for the whole group (originally with reference to a mutinous Roman legion)

### **Decimation**

### 1d Ising chain $K = \beta J, \ \zeta = \ln \mathcal{Z}$ inverse relations

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \cosh^{-1}(\exp(2K')) , \qquad \zeta(K) = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2}K' + \frac{1}{2}\zeta(K') ,$$

$$K' = 0 \quad \bigoplus \quad \longrightarrow \quad \longrightarrow \quad \bigoplus \quad K \to \infty \qquad T \to 0$$

Table I. Values of  $\zeta$  for the 1D Ising model calculated from the recursion formulas Eqs. (31) and (32) of the renormalization group and from the exact formula derived from Eq. (9).  $\zeta$  is related to the partition function Z through Eq. (28).

	ζ(Κ.)		
K	Renormalization group	Exact	
0.01	ln 2	0.693 197	
0.100 334	0.698 147	0.698 172	
0.327 447	0.745 814	0.745 827	
0.636 247	0.883 204	0.883 210	
0.972 710	1.106 299	1.106 302	
1.316 710	1.386 078	1.386 080	
1.662 637	1.697 968	1.697 968	
2.009 049	2.026 876	2.026 877	
2.355 582	2.364 536	2.364 537	
2.702 146	2.706 633	2.706 634	

#### From block spins to coupling renormalization



# degrees of freedom  $b^d \mapsto 1$ lattice spacing  $a \mapsto ba$ re-scaling of lengths  $\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{x}/b$ 

From  $\mathcal{H}'_{[K']}(\{s'_I\}) = R_b \mathcal{H}_{[K]}(\{s_i\})$  to  $K'_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} (R_b)_{\alpha\beta} K_{\beta}$  close to  $[K_c] = [0]$ 

# **Typical configurations**

#### Up & down spins in a 2d Ising model



Real space viewpoint Zoom out by observing at larger scales move away from criticality if  $T \neq T_c$  $[K_c]$  repulsive fixed points

### **Scale invariance**

### 2d Ising model at $T_c$



D. Ashton, Scale invariance in the critical Ising model,

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fi-g2ET97W8

### finite d Ising model

Two adimensional parameters in  $\mathcal{H}_{[K]}(\{s_i\})$  $K_1 = \beta J = K$   $K_2 = \beta h = K$ 

Composition  $R(b_1b_2) = R(b_1)R(b_2)$  and symmetries

 $K' = b^{y_K} K \qquad \qquad H' = b^{y_H} H$ 

Repulsive fixed point

$$y_K > 0 \qquad \qquad y_H > 0$$

### finite d Ising model

Identity of total free-energy  $\implies$  homogeneity  $F(K', H') = F(K, H) \implies b^{-d} f(b^{y_K} H, b^{y_H} H)$ 

choosing 
$$b = |K|^{-1/y_K} \implies$$
 scaling

$$f(K,H) = |K|^{d/y_K} f(1, |K|^{-y_H/y_K}H)$$
$$= |K|^{d/y_K} g_f\left(\frac{H}{|K|^{y_H/y_K}}\right)$$

with  $\Delta = y_H/y_K$  and  $2-lpha = d/y_K$ 

#### Generic

#### Transformation

$$K'_{\alpha} - K^*_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} R(b)_{\alpha\beta} \left( K_{\beta} - K^*_{\beta} \right)$$

in other terms 
$$R(b)_{lphaeta} = \left. rac{\partial K'_lpha}{\partial K_eta} \right|_{[K^*]}$$

To solve one needs to diagonalize the linear (vectorial) relation above

#### Use the

eigenvalues  $\lambda^i$  and left orthonormal eigenvectors  $u^i_{\alpha}$  of the matrix  $\mathbb{R}(b)$ 

$$\sum_{j} u_{\beta}^{j} u_{\gamma}^{j} = \delta_{\beta\gamma}$$

#### Generic

Transformation

$$\delta K_{\alpha} = K_{\alpha}' - K_{\alpha}^* = \sum_{\beta} R(b)_{\alpha\beta} \left( K_{\beta} - K_{\beta}^* \right) = \sum_{\beta} R(b)_{\alpha\beta} \, \delta K_{\beta}$$

multiply by the left eigenvector  $u^i_lpha$  and sum over lpha

$$\delta \kappa'^{i} \equiv \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}^{i} \, \delta K_{\alpha}' = \underbrace{\sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}^{i} \sum_{\beta} R(b)_{\alpha\beta}}_{\lambda^{i} \sum_{\beta} u_{\beta}^{i}} \sum_{\gamma} \underbrace{(\sum_{j} u_{\beta}^{j} u_{\gamma}^{j})}_{\delta_{\beta\gamma}} \delta K_{\gamma}}_{= \lambda^{i} \sum_{j} \underbrace{\sum_{j} u_{\beta}^{i} u_{\beta}^{j}}_{\delta^{ij}} \sum_{\gamma} u_{\gamma}^{j} \delta K_{\gamma}}$$
$$= \lambda^{i} \sum_{\gamma} u_{\gamma}^{i} \, \delta K_{\gamma} = \lambda^{i} \, \delta \kappa^{i}$$

#### Generic

Diagonalized transformation

$$\delta \kappa'^{\,i} \equiv \sum_{\alpha} u^{i}_{\alpha} \,\delta K'_{\alpha} = \lambda^{i} \,\,\delta \kappa^{i}$$

thus

$$\lambda^{i} = \left. \frac{\partial \delta \kappa^{\prime \, i}}{\partial \delta \kappa^{\, i}} \right|_{[K^{*}]} = \left. \frac{\partial \kappa^{\prime \, i}}{\partial \kappa^{\, i}} \right|_{[K^{*}]}$$

and

$$\lambda^i = b^{y_i} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad y_i = \frac{d \ln \lambda^i}{db}$$

#### Generic

#### Parameters

- $y_i > 0$  *relevant*, with the corresponding  $\kappa_i(b)$  coupling growing under coarsegraining, i.e., getting away from the fixed point
- $y_i < 0 irrelevant$ , with the  $\kappa_i(b)$  coupling vanishing under coarse- graining, i.e., approaching the fixed point
- $y_i = 0 marginal$ , with the flow of the  $\kappa_i(b)$  coupling determined by the higher order terms in the couplings of the RG transformation.



#### nor the disordered or order character of the phases

Kadanoff blocks modify the physical state by coarse-graining but,

the modern RG (Wilson) transforms the form of the model until reaching the one that describes the critical state

At criticality and close to it the microscopic details are not important

The critical points are repulsive fixed points of the RG

Above the critical temperature, evolution to the free fixed point,  $T 
ightarrow \infty$ 

Below the critical temperature, evolution to T = 0



nor the disordered or order character of the phases

Kadanoff blocks modify the physical state by coarse-graining but,

the modern RG (Wilson) transforms the form of the model until reaching the one that describes the critical state

At criticality and close to it the microscopic details are not important

The critical points are repulsive fixed points of the RG

Above the critical temperature, evolution to the free fixed point,  $T 
ightarrow \infty$ 

Below the critical temperature, evolution to T = 0



nor the disordered or order character of the phases

Kadanoff blocks modify the physical state by coarse-graining but,

the modern RG (Wilson) transforms the form of the model until reaching the one that describes the critical state

At criticality and close to it the microscopic details are not important

The critical points are repulsive fixed points of the RG

Above the critical temperature, evolution to the free fixed point,  $T 
ightarrow \infty$ 

Below the critical temperature, evolution to T = 0



nor the disordered or order character of the phases

Kadanoff blocks modify the physical state by coarse-graining but,

the modern RG (Wilson) transforms the form of the model until reaching the one that describes the critical state

At criticality and close to it the microscopic details are not important

A renormalisation transformation is a scale transformation that leaves the partition function invariant. Since the thermodynamic properties of a system are governed by the partition function, the physics is preserved.



The critical behaviour does not depend on the type of subcritical order but on the number n of components of the order parameter and the dimension of space d.

Renormalisation enables the classification in universality classes with the same critical properties, depending on (n, d)

Scaling laws apply to global, macroscopic properties of systems containing a large number of elementary microscopic units.

They are found in other domains, *e.g.* finance, biology, etc.

### **Exponents again**

#### Comparison



Fig. 1.22 Critical exponents  $\beta$  and  $\nu$ : experimental results obtained for seven difference families of transitions, compared to values predicted by the mean field and 2D Ising models



Fig. 1.23 Critical exponents measured for four families of transitions, compared to values predicted by the three corresponding models that take into account the scale invariance of the critical state

#### Images from

A. Lesne & M. Lagües, Scale invariance from phase transitions to turbulence (Springer-

#### Verlag, 2012)