



$$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ (a) \\ (b) \\ (c) \\$$





An example: generalized double copy at 3 loops:

YM numerators from 0808.4112



Graph	$\mathcal{N} = 4$ sYM numerators.
(a)-(d)	s^2
(e)-(g)	$s(l_5^2+ au_{45})$
(h)	$s(\tau_{26} + \tau_{36}) - t(\tau_{17} + \tau_{27}) + st$
(i)	$s(l_5^2 + \tau_{45}) - t(l_5^2 + \tau_{56} + l_6^2) - (s - t)l_6^2/3$



Reproduces known SG contact term

Bern, Carrasco, Dixon, Johansson, RR

- All other nonzero double-four-point contacts are relabelings of this one
- Five-point contact terms are also present; relevant formulae available

Generalized double-copy allowed construction the 4-point 5-loop integrand of \mathcal{N} =8 SG Bern, Carrasco, Chen, Johansson, Zeng, RR

together with 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-collapsed propagator graphs: >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
</ N⁰: 752/649 王义》首团义官官会合立自命的反合国立合国 N²: 9007/1306 N³: 17479/2457 N⁴: 22931/2470 **赵廷赵承贺赵母赵帝帝帝帝帝帝国令承承帝帝** N⁵: 20657/1335 王对这天王王的国家的中国的国家的外国家 N⁶: 13071/256 **刘这时会会这里这个的变势是这些的变势。**

Identification of critical dimension and of the corresponding UV divergence is a nontrivial enterprise

- Separate of UV from IR features
- Expand at large loop momenta/small external momenta
- Identify of all relations between resulting integrals
- FullSimplify

 $D_c = \frac{24}{5}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{4}^{(5)}\Big|_{\text{leading}} = -\frac{16 \times 629}{25} \mathcal{K}_{\text{G}} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^{12} (s^{2} + t^{2} + u^{2})^{2} \left(\frac{1}{48} \bigcirc + \frac{1}{16} \circlearrowright \right)$$

Bern, Carrasco, Chen, Edison, Johansson, Parra-Martinez, Zeng, RR

- Divergence corresponds to the $D^8 R^4$ counterterm allowed by E_7 duality; However, implications to D = 4 properties of $\mathcal{N}=8$ SG are not immediately obvious.
- Puzzle: enhanced cancellations exist in \mathcal{N} = 4, 5 SG at corresponding orders in less supersymmetric theories; why not here?

Summary of $\mathcal{N}=8$ SG UV divergences in the loop-dependent critical dimension

Observations: 1. none of the vacuum diagrams contain 1-loop triangle subgraphs 2. relative factors given by # of automorphisms of vacuum graphs If true at higher/all loops, it would provide vast simplifications to direct calculations

Consistency relations across loop orders and dimensions

- On general grounds, one has relations between divergences of n-point L loop Green's fcts and subdivergences at (n-2)-points, (L+1)-loop Green's fcts.

- In theories finite in D = 4, one may expect relations between divergences of *n*-point *L* loop Green's fcts in Dc(L) and subdivergences at (n-2)-points, (L+1)-loop Green's fcts. in Dc(L+1).

- Amounts to picking out the most divergent subdiagrams/subgraphs

However...

Consistency relations across loop orders and dimensions

- On general grounds, one has relations between divergences of n-point L loop Green's fcts and subdivergences at (n-2)-points, (L+1)-loop Green's fcts.

- In theories finite in D = 4, one may expect relations between divergences of *n*-point *L* loop Green's fcts in Dc(L) and subdivergences at (n-2)-points, (L+1)-loop Green's fcts. in Dc(L+1).

- Amounts to picking out the most divergent subdiagrams/subgraphs

However...

Such relations appear to exist directly between leading UV divergences:

- 1. For each *L*-loop graph, finds all its *L'*-loop subgraphs
- 2. Keep the most divergent ones
- 3. Reduce to a basis of integrals (master integrals)

$$\begin{split} \text{Summary of } \mathcal{N}=4 \text{ SYM UV divergences in the loop-dependent critical dimension} \\ \mathcal{A}_{4}^{(1)}\Big|_{\text{leading}} &= g^{4}\mathcal{K}_{\text{YM}} \left(N_{c}(\tilde{f}^{a_{1}a_{2}b}\tilde{f}^{ba_{3}a_{4}} + \tilde{f}^{a_{2}a_{3}b}\tilde{f}^{ba_{4}a_{1}}) - 3B^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} \right) \\ \mathcal{A}_{4}^{(2)}\Big|_{\text{leading}} &= -g^{6}\mathcal{K}_{\text{YM}} \left[F^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} \left(N_{c}^{2} \bigoplus + 48 \left(\frac{1}{4} \bigoplus + \frac{1}{4} \bigoplus \right) \right) \right) \\ &+ 48 N_{c} G^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} \left(\frac{1}{4} \bigoplus + \frac{1}{4} \bigoplus \right) \right), \\ \mathcal{A}_{4}^{(3)}\Big|_{\text{leading}} &= 2 g^{8} \mathcal{K}_{\text{YM}} N_{c} F^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} \left(N_{c}^{2} \bigoplus + 72 \left(\frac{1}{6} \bigoplus + \frac{1}{2} \bigoplus \right) \right), \\ \mathcal{A}_{4}^{(4)}\Big|_{\text{leading}} &= -6 g^{10} \mathcal{K}_{\text{YM}} N_{c}^{2} F^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} \left(N_{c}^{2} \bigoplus + 48 \left(\frac{1}{4} \bigoplus + \frac{1}{2} \bigoplus \right) \right), \\ \mathcal{A}_{4}^{(5)}\Big|_{\text{leading}} &= \frac{144}{5} g^{12} \mathcal{K}_{\text{YM}} N_{c}^{3} F^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} \left(N_{c}^{2} \bigoplus + 48 \left(\frac{1}{4} \bigoplus + \frac{1}{2} \bigoplus + \frac{1}{4} \bigoplus \right) \right) \right), \\ \mathcal{A}_{4}^{(6)}\Big|_{\text{leading}} &= -120 g^{14} \mathcal{K}_{\text{YM}} F^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \bigoplus + \frac{1}{4} (\ell_{1} + \ell_{2})^{2} \bigoplus - \frac{1}{20} \bigoplus \right) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(N_{c}^{4}), \\ F^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} &\equiv t \tilde{f}^{a_{1}a_{2}b} \tilde{f}^{ba_{3}a_{4}} + t \tilde{\delta}^{a_{4}a_{1}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{3}} + u \delta^{a_{1}a_{3}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{4}} \\ &= B^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} &\equiv t \tilde{f}^{a_{1}a_{2}b} \tilde{f}^{ba_{3}a_{4}} + t \delta^{a_{4}a_{1}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{3}} + u \delta^{a_{1}a_{3}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{4}} \\ &= B^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} &\equiv t \tilde{f}^{a_{1}a_{2}b} \tilde{f}^{ba_{3}a_{4}} + t \delta^{a_{4}a_{1}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{3}} + u \delta^{a_{1}a_{3}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{4}} \\ &= B^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} &\equiv t \tilde{f}^{a_{1}a_{2}b} \tilde{f}^{ba_{3}a_{4}} + t \delta^{a_{4}a_{1}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{3}} + u \delta^{a_{1}a_{3}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{4}} \\ &= B^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} &\equiv t \tilde{f}^{a_{1}a_{2}b} \tilde{f}^{ba_{3}a_{4}} + t \delta^{a_{4}a_{1}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{3}} + u \delta^{a_{1}a_{3}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{4}} \\ &= B^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} &\equiv t \tilde{f}^{a_{1}a_{2}b} \tilde{f}^{ba_{3}a_{4}} + t \delta^{a_{4}a_{1}a_{3}} \delta^{a_{2}a_{4}} \\ &= B^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} &\equiv B^{a_{1}a_{2}b} \tilde{f}^{a_{2}a_{3}b} \tilde{f}^{ba_{4}a_{1}} \\ &= B^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} &\equiv B^{a_{1}a_{2}$$

5-loop YM \rightarrow 4-loop YM



4-loop YM \rightarrow 3-loop YM





In general, it is necessary to reduce to master (independent) integrals to see these, Relations, though for suitably-chosen basis it may sometimes be avoided



Possible uses:

- Cross checks of direct calculations at higher loops
- Combine with observation of absence of triangles and constrain an ansatz for the UV divergence at higher loops
- Simplify the extraction of UV divergences by focusing on the integrals that are expected to appear

Overview

- Discussed and illustrated: color/kinematics duality

the double copy & generalized double copy construction generalized unitarity as the maximal cut method new patterns that emerged from higher-loop calculations

- Many (perhaps all?) gravitational theories enjoy a double copy structure
- So do many nongravitational theories
- While originally developed for the study of UV properties of gravitational theories it has a vast number of other possible applications and relations to other areas of current research, some of which were also mentioned in other lectures

