

Status of the Flavour Les Houches Accord

F. Mahmoudi¹, S. Heinemeyer², A. Arbey³, A. Bharucha⁴, T. Goto⁵, T. Hahn⁶, U. Haisch⁷, S. Kraml⁸, M. Muhlleitner⁹, J. Reuter¹⁰, P. Skands¹¹, P. Slavich¹²

¹Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, BP 10448, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

²Instituto de Física de Cantabria (CSIC-UC), Santander, Spain

³Université de Lyon, France; Université Lyon 1, F-69622; CRAL, Observatoire de Lyon, F-69561 Saint-Genis-Laval; CNRS, UMR 5574; ENS de Lyon, France

⁴IPPP, Department of Physics, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

⁵KEK Theory Center, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK, Tsukuba, 305-0801 Japan

⁶Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Föhringer Ring 6, D-80805 Munich, Germany

⁷Institut für Physik (WA THEP), Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

⁸Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie (LPSC), UJF Grenoble 1, CNRS/IN2P3, 53 Avenue des Martyrs, 38026 Grenoble, France

⁹Institut für Theoretische Physik, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

¹⁰University of Freiburg, Institute of Physics, Hermann-Herder-Str. 3, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

¹¹CERN PH-TH, Case 01600, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

¹²LPTHE, 4, Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France

Abstract

We give a status report of the Flavour Les Houches Accord (FLHA) which specifies a unique set of conventions for flavour-related parameters and observables using the generic SUSY Les Houches Accord (SLHA) file structure. It defines the relevant SM masses, Wilson coefficients, form factors, decay tables, flavour observables, etc. The accord provides a universal and model-independent interface between codes evaluating and/or using flavour-related observables.

1. INTRODUCTION

In addition to the increasing number of refined approaches in the literature for calculating flavour-related observables, advanced programs dedicated to the calculation of such quantities, e.g. Wilson coefficients, branching ratios, mixing amplitudes, renormalisation group equation (RGE) running including flavour effects have recently been developed [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Flavour-related observables are also implemented by many other non-dedicated public codes to provide additional checks for the models under investigation [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The results are often subsequently used by other codes, e.g. as constraints on the parameter space of the model under consideration [13, 14, 15, 16].

At present, a small number of specialised interfaces exist between the various codes. Such tailor-made interfaces are not easily generalised and are time-consuming to construct and test for each specific implementation. A universal interface would clearly be an advantage here. A similar problem arose some time ago in the context of Supersymmetry (SUSY). The solution took the form of the SUSY Les Houches Accord (SLHA) [17, 18], which is nowadays frequently used to exchange information between SUSY related codes, such as soft SUSY-breaking parameters, particle masses and mixings, branching ratios etc. The SLHA is a robust solution, allowing information to be exchanged between different codes via ASCII files. The detailed structure of these input and output files is described in Refs. [17, 18].

The goal of this work is to exploit the existing organisational structure of the SLHA and use it to define an accord for the exchange of flavour related quantities, which we refer to as the “Flavour Les Houches Accord” (FLHA). In brief, the purpose of this Accord is thus to present a set of generic

definitions for an input/output file structure which provides a universal framework for interfacing flavour-related programs. Furthermore, the standardised format will provide the users with a clear and well-structured result that could eventually be used for other purposes.

The structure is set up in such a way that the SLHA and the FLHA can be used together or independently. Obviously, some of the SLHA entries, such as measured parameters in the Standard Model (SM) and the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements are also needed for flavour observable calculations. Therefore, a FLHA file can indeed contain a SLHA block if necessary. Also, in order to avoid any confusion, the SLHA blocks are not modified or redefined in the FLHA. If a block needs to be extended to meet the requirements of flavour physics, a new “F” block is defined instead.

Note that different codes may *technically* achieve the FLHA input/output in different ways. The details of how to ‘switch on’ the FLHA input/output for a particular program should be described in the manual of that program and are not covered here. For the SLHA, libraries have been developed to permit an easy implementation of the input/output routines [19]. In principle these programs could be extended to include the FLHA as well.

It should be noted that, while the SLHA was developed especially for the case of SUSY, the FLHA is, at least in principle, model independent. While it is possible to indicate the model used in a specific block, the general structure for the information exchange can be applied to any model.

This report summarizes the current status of the FLHA. Several issues are not defined in an unambiguous way yet. This will be indicated in the text below.

2. CONVENTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

The structure of the Flavour Les Houches Accord input and output files is based on the existing SUSY Les Houches Accord structure and flavour quantities are defined in blocks. The general conventions for the blocks are very similar to the SLHA blocks [17] and they are not reproduced here.

Since a FLHA file can also contain SLHA blocks, to clearly identify the blocks of the FLHA, the first letter of the name of a block is an “F”. There are two exceptions to this rule: blocks borrowed from the SLHA, which keep their original name, and blocks containing imaginary parts, which start with “IMF”.

The following general structure for the FLHA file is proposed:

- BLOCK FCINFO: Information about the flavour code used to prepare the FLHA file.
- BLOCK FMODESEL: Information about the underlying model used for the calculations.
- BLOCK SMINPUTS: Measured values of SM parameters used for the calculations.
- BLOCK VCKMIN: Input parameters of the CKM matrix in the Wolfenstein parameterisation.
- BLOCK UPMNSIN: Input parameters of the PMNS neutrino mixing matrix in the PDG parameterisation.
- BLOCK VCKM: Real part of the CKM matrix elements.
- BLOCK IMVCKM: Imaginary part of the CKM matrix elements.
- BLOCK UPMNS: Real part of the PMNS matrix elements.
- BLOCK IMUPMNS: Imaginary part of the PMNS matrix elements.
- BLOCK FMASS: Masses of quarks, mesons, hadrons, etc.
- BLOCK FLIFE: Lifetime (in seconds) of flavour-related mesons, hadrons, etc.
- BLOCK FCONST: Decay constants.
- BLOCK FCONSTRATIO: Ratios of decay constants.
- BLOCK FBAG: Bag parameters.
- BLOCK FWCOEF: Real part of the Wilson coefficients.
- BLOCK IMFWCOEF: Imaginary part of the Wilson coefficients.

- BLOCK FOBS: Prediction of flavour observables.
- BLOCK FOBSERR: Theory error on the prediction of flavour observables.
- BLOCK FOBSSM: SM prediction for flavour observables.
- BLOCK FFORM: Form factors.

More details on several block are given in the following. The blocks SMINPUTS, VCKMIN, UPMNSIN, VCKM, IMVCKM, UPMNS, IMUPMNS are defined exactly as in the SLHA(2) and not further discussed here.

BLOCK FCINFO

Flavour code information, including the name and the version of the program:

- 1 : Name of the flavour calculator
- 2 : Version number of the flavour calculator

Optional warning or error messages can also be specified:

- 3 : If this entry is present, warning(s) were produced by the flavour calculator. The resulting file may still be used. The entry should contain a description of the problem (string).
- 4 : If this entry is present, error(s) were produced by the flavour calculator. The resulting file should not be used. The entry should contain a description of the problem (string).

This block is purely informative, and is similar to BLOCK SPINFO in the SLHA.

BLOCK MODSEL

This block provides switches and options for the model selection. The SLHA2 BLOCK MODSEL is extended to allow more flexibility.

- 1 : Choice of SUSY breaking model or indication of other model. By default, a minimal type of model will always be assumed. Possible values are:
 - 1 : SM
 - 0 : General MSSM simulation
 - 1 : (m)SUGRA model
 - 2 : (m)GMSB model
 - 3 : (m)AMSB model
 - 4 : ...
 - 31 : THDM
 - 99 : other model. This choice requires a string given in the entry 99
- 3 : (Default=0) Choice of particle content, only used for SUSY models. The defined switches are:
 - 0 : MSSM
 - 1 : NMSSM
 - 2 : ...

- 4 : (Default=0) R-parity violation. Switches defined are:
 0 : R-parity conserved. This corresponds to the SLHA1.
 1 : R-parity violated.
- 5 : (Default=0) CP violation. Switches defined are:
 0 : CP is conserved. No information on the CKM phase is used.
 1 : CP is violated, but only by the standard CKM phase. All other phases are assumed zero.
 2 : CP is violated. Completely general CP phases allowed.
- 6 : (Default=0) Flavour violation. Switches defined are:
 0 : No flavour violation.
 1 : Quark flavour is violated.
 2 : Lepton flavour is violated.
 3 : Lepton and quark flavour is violated.
- 31 : defines the type of THDM, is used only if entry 1 is given as 31, otherwise it is ignored.
 1 : type I
 2 : type II
 3 : type III
 4 : type IV
- 99 : a string that defines other models is used only if entry 1 is given as 99, otherwise it is ignored.

BLOCK FMASS

The block `BLOCK FMASS` contains the mass spectrum for the involved particles. It is an addition to the SLHA `BLOCK MASS` which contained only pole masses and to the SLHA `BLOCK SMINPUTS` which contains quark masses. If a mass is given in two blocks the block `FMASS` overrules the other blocks. In `FMASS` we specify additional information concerning the renormalisation scheme as well as the scale at which the masses are given and thus allow for larger flexibility. The standard for each line in the block should correspond to the following FORTRAN format

```
(1x,I9,3x,1P,E16.8,0P,3x,I2,3x,1P,E16.8,0P,3x,'#',1x,A),
```

where the first nine-digit integer should be the PDG code of a particle, followed by a double precision number for its mass. The next integer corresponds to the renormalisation scheme, and finally the last double precision number points to the energy scale (0 if not relevant). An additional comment can be given after #.

The schemes are defined as follows:

- 0 : pole
 1 : \overline{MS}
 2 : \overline{DR}
 3 : 1S
 4 : kin

5 : ...

BLOCK FLIFE

The block `BLOCK FLIFE` contains the lifetimes of mesons and hadrons in seconds. The standard for each line in the block should correspond to the FORTRAN format

$$(1x, I9, 3x, 1P, E16.8, 0P, 3x, '#', 1x, A),$$

where the first nine-digit integer should be the PDG code of a particle and the double precision number its lifetime.

BLOCK FCONST

The block `BLOCK FCONST` contains the decay constants in GeV. The standard for each line in the block should correspond to the FORTRAN format

$$(1x, I9, 3x, I2, 3x, 1P, E16.8, 0P, 3x, '#', 1x, A),$$

where the first nine-digit integer should be the PDG code of a particle, the second integer the number of the decay constant, and the double precision number its decay constant.

BLOCK FCONSTRATIO

The block `BLOCK FCONSTRATIO` contains the ratios of decay constants, which often have less uncertainty than the decay constants themselves. The ratios are specified by the two PDG codes in the form $f(\text{code1})/f(\text{code2})$. The standard for each line in the block should correspond to the FORTRAN format

$$(1x, I9, 3x, I9, 3x, I2, 3x, I2, 3x, 1P, E16.8, 0P, 3x, '#', 1x, A),$$

where the two nine-digit integers should be the two PDG codes of particles, the third and fourth integers the numbers of the decay constants, which correspond to the second index of the entry in `BLOCK FCONST`, and the double precision number the ratio of the decay constants.

BLOCK FBAG

The block `BLOCK FBAG` contains the bag parameters. The standard for each line in the block should correspond to the FORTRAN format

$$(1x, I9, 3x, I2, 3x, 1P, E16.8, 0P, 3x, '#', 1x, A),$$

where the first nine-digit integer should be the PDG code of a particle, the second integer the number of the bag parameter, and the double precision number its bag parameter.

So far no normalisation etc. has been defined, which at this stage has to be taken care of by the user. An unambiguous definition will be given elsewhere.

BLOCK FWCOEF Q= ...

The block `BLOCK FWCOEF Q= ...` contains the real part of the Wilson coefficients at the scale Q .

The different orders $C_i^{(k)}$ have to be given separately according to the following convention for the perturbative expansion:

$$C_i(\mu) = C_i^{(0)}(\mu) + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} C_{i,s}^{(1)}(\mu) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi}\right)^2 C_{i,s}^{(2)}(\mu) + \frac{\alpha(\mu)}{4\pi} C_{i,e}^{(1)}(\mu) + \frac{\alpha(\mu)}{4\pi} \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} C_{i,es}^{(2)}(\mu) + \dots \quad (1)$$

The couplings should therefore not be included in the Wilson coefficients.

The entries in BLOCK_FWCOEF should consist of two integers defining the fermion structure of the operator and the operator structure itself. These two numbers are not thought to give a full representation including normalisation etc. of the operator, but merely correspond to a unique identifier for any possible Wilson coefficient. Consequently, the user has to take care that a consistent normalisation including prefactors etc. is indeed fulfilled. As an example, for the operator O_1 ,

$$O_1 = (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu T^a P_L c)(\bar{c}\gamma^\mu T^a P_L b) \quad (2)$$

the definition of the two numbers is given as follows. The appearing fermions are encoded by a two-digit number originating from their PDG code, where no difference is made between particles and antiparticles, as given in Table 1. Correspondingly, the first integer number defining O_1 , containing the fermions $\bar{s}c\bar{c}b$, is given by 03040405. The various operators are defined in Table 2. Correspondingly, the second integer number defining O_1 , containing the operators $\gamma_\mu T^a P_L \gamma^\mu T^a P_L$ is given by 6161.

A few more rules are needed for an unambiguous definition.

- If an operators appears without fermions (as it is possible, e.g., for $F_{\mu\nu}$) it should appear right-most, so that the encoded fermions correspond to the left-most operators.
- In the case of a possible ambiguity, for instance $O_1 = (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu T^a P_L c)(\bar{c}\gamma^\mu T^a P_L b)$ corresponding to 03040405 6161 and $O_1 = (\bar{c}\gamma_\mu T^a P_L b)(\bar{s}\gamma^\mu T^a P_L c)$ corresponding to 04050304 6161 the “smaller” number, i.e. in this case 03040405 6161 should be used.

name	PDG code	two-digit number	name	PDG code	two-digit number
d	1	01	e	11	11
u	2	02	ν_e	12	12
s	3	03	μ	13	13
c	4	04	ν_μ	14	14
b	5	05	τ	15	15
t	6	06	ν_τ	16	16
$\sum_q q$		07	$\sum_l l$		17
$\sum_q q Q_q$		08	$\sum_l l Q_l$		18

Table 1: PDG codes and two-digit number identifications of quarks and leptons. The summations are over active fermions.

The third index corresponds to each term in Eq. (1):

$$\begin{aligned} 00 & : C_i^{(0)}(\mu) \\ 01 & : C_{i,s}^{(1)}(\mu) \\ 02 & : C_{i,s}^{(2)}(\mu) \\ 10 & : C_{i,e}^{(1)}(\mu) \\ 11 & : C_{i,es}^{(2)}(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

operator	number	operator	number	operator	number
1	30	T^a	50	δ_{ij}	70
P_L	31	$P_L T^a$	51	$P_L \delta_{ij}$	71
P_R	32	$P_R T^a$	52	$P_R \delta_{ij}$	72
γ^μ	33	$\gamma^\mu T^a$	53	$\gamma^\mu \delta_{ij}$	73
γ_5	34	$\gamma_5 T^a$	54	$\gamma_5 \delta_{ij}$	74
$\sigma^{\mu\nu}$	35	$\sigma^{\mu\nu} T^a$	55	$\sigma^{\mu\nu} \delta_{ij}$	75
$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho$	36	$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho T^a$	56	$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \delta_{ij}$	76
$\gamma^\mu \gamma_5$	37	$\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 T^a$	57	$\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \delta_{ij}$	77
$\gamma^\mu P_L$	41	$\gamma^\mu T^a P_L$	61	$\gamma^\mu \delta_{ij} P_L$	81
$\gamma^\mu P_R$	42	$\gamma^\mu T^a P_R$	62	$\gamma^\mu \delta_{ij} P_R$	82
$\sigma^{\mu\nu} P_L$	43	$\sigma^{\mu\nu} T^a P_L$	63	$\sigma^{\mu\nu} \delta_{ij} P_L$	83
$\sigma^{\mu\nu} P_R$	44	$\sigma^{\mu\nu} T^a P_R$	64	$\sigma^{\mu\nu} \delta_{ij} P_R$	84
$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho P_L$	45	$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho T^a P_L$	65	$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \delta_{ij} P_L$	85
$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho P_R$	46	$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho T^a P_R$	66	$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \delta_{ij} P_R$	86
$F_{\mu\nu}$	22	$G_{\mu\nu}^a$	21		

Table 2: Two-digit number definitions for the operators. T^a ($a = 1 \dots 8$) denote the $SU(3)_C$ generators, $P_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \gamma_5)$, and $(T^a)_{ij}(T^a)_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{il}\delta_{kj} - 1/N_c \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl})$, where i, j, k, l are colour indices.

99 : total

The information about the order is given by a two-digit number xy , where x indicates $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^x)$ and y indicates $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^y)$, and 0 indicates $C_i^{(0)}$.

The Wilson coefficients can be provided either via separate new physics and SM contributions, or as a total contribution of both new physics and SM, depending on the code generating them. To avoid any confusion, the fourth entry must specify whether the given Wilson coefficients correspond to the SM contributions, new physics contributions or to the sum of them, using the following definitions:

- 0 : SM
- 1 : NPM
- 2 : SM+NPM

The new Physics model is the model specified in the BLOCK FMODSEL.

The standard for each line in the block should thus correspond to the FORTRAN format

$$(1x, I8, 1x, I4, 3x, I2, 3x, I1, 3x, 1P, E16.8, 0P, 3x, '#', 1x, A),$$

where the eight-digit integer specifies the fermion content, the four-digit integer the operator structure, the two-digit integer the order at which the Wilson coefficients are calculated followed by the one-digit integer specifying the model, and finally the double precision number gives the real part of the Wilson coefficient.

Note that there can be several such blocks for different scales Q .

BLOCK IMFWCOEF Q= ...

The block BLOCK IMFWCOEF contains the imaginary part of the Wilson coefficients at the scale Q . The structure is exactly the same as for the BLOCK FWCOEF.

BLOCK FOBS

The block `BLOCK FOBS` contains the flavour observables. The structure of this block is based on the decay table in SLHA format. The decay is defined by the PDG number of the parent, the type of the observable, the value of the observable, the number of daughters and PDG IDs of the daughters.

The types of the observables are defined as follows:

- 1 : Branching ratio
- 2 : Ratio of the branching ratio to the SM value
- 3 : Asymmetry – CP
- 4 : Asymmetry – isospin
- 5 : Asymmetry – forward-backward
- 6 : Asymmetry – lepton-flavour
- 7 : Mixing
- 8 : ...

The standard for each line in the block should correspond to the FORTRAN format

```
(1x,I9,3x,I2,3x,1P,E16.8,0P,3x,I1,3x,I9,3x,I9,3x,...,3x,'#',1x,A),
```

where the first nine-digit integer should be the PDG code of the parent decaying particle, the second integer the type of the observable, the double precision number the value of the observable, the next integer the number of daughters, and the following nine-digit integers the PDG codes of the daughters. It is strongly advised to give the descriptive name of the observable as comment.

BLOCK FOBSERR

The block `BLOCK FOBSERR` contains the theoretical error for flavour observables, with the structure similar to `BLOCK FOBS`, where the double precision number for the value of the observable is replaced by two double precision numbers for the minus and plus uncertainties.

In a similar way, for every block, a corresponding error block with the name `BLOCK FnameERR` can be defined.

BLOCK FOBSSM

The block `BLOCK FOBSSM` contains the SM values of the flavour observables in the same format as in `BLOCK FOBS`. The given SM values may be very helpful as a comparison reference.

BLOCK FFORM

The block `BLOCK FFORM` contains the form factors for a specific decay. This decay should be defined as in `BLOCK FOBS`, but replacing the type of the observable by the number of the form factor. It is essential here to describe the variable in the comment area. The dependence on q^2 can be specified as a comment. A more unambiguous definition will be given elsewhere.

3. Conclusion

The interplay of collider and flavour physics is entering a new era with the start-up of the LHC. In the future more and more programs will be interfaced in order to exploit maximal information from both collider and flavour data. Towards this end, an accord will play a crucial role. The accord presented specifies a unique set of conventions in ASCII file format for most commonly investigated flavour-related observables and provides a universal framework for interfacing different programs.

The number of flavour related codes is growing constantly, while the connection between results from flavour physics and high p_T physics becomes more relevant to disentangle the underlying physics model. Using the lessons learnt from the SLHA, we hope the FLHA will prove useful for studies related to flavour physics. It is planned to update/correct the FLHA after more experience with its application will have been gathered.

Acknowledgements

The work of S.H. was partially supported by CICYT (grant FPA 2007–66387). Work supported in part by the European Community’s Marie-Curie Research Training Network under contract MRTN-CT-2006-035505 ‘Tools and Precision Calculations for Physics Discoveries at Colliders’. The work of T.G. is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Science Research, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, No. 20244037.

References

- [1] F. Mahmoudi, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** (2008) 745–754, [0710.2067].
- [2] F. Mahmoudi, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 1579–1613, [0808.3144].
- [3] F. Mahmoudi, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 1718–1719.
- [4] G. Degrandi, P. Gambino, and P. Slavich, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **179** (2008) 759–771, [0712.3265].
- [5] P. Paradisi, talk given at ‘‘Interplay of Collider and Flavour Physics, 3rd general meeting’’, CERN, December 2009.
- [6] G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov, and A. Semenov, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 747–767, [0803.2360].
- [7] A. Arbey and F. Mahmoudi, 0906.0369.
- [8] S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, and G. Weiglein, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **124** (2000) 76–89, [hep-ph/9812320].
- [9] S. Heinemeyer, W. Hollik, and G. Weiglein, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C9** (1999) 343–366, [hep-ph/9812472].
- [10] J. S. Lee *et. al.*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **156** (2004) 283–317, [hep-ph/0307377].
- [11] U. Ellwanger and C. Hugonie, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **175** (2006) 290–303, [hep-ph/0508022].
- [12] F. E. Paige, S. D. Protopopescu, H. Baer, and X. Tata, hep-ph/0312045.
- [13] R. Lafaye, T. Plehn, and D. Zerwas, hep-ph/0404282.
- [14] P. Bechtle, K. Desch, and P. Wienemann, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **174** (2006) 47–70, [hep-ph/0412012].
- [15] R. R. de Austri, R. Trotta, and L. Roszkowski, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 002, [hep-ph/0602028].
- [16] S. Heinemeyer, talk given at ‘‘Interplay of Collider and Flavour Physics, 2nd general meeting’’, CERN, March 2009.
- [17] P. Z. Skands *et. al.*, *JHEP* **07** (2004) 036, [hep-ph/0311123].

[18] B. Allanach *et. al.*, *Comp. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 8–25, [0801.0045].

[19] T. Hahn, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 1681–1693, [hep-ph/0605049].