

Black hole entropy and topological string theory

Boris Pioline

LPTHE, April 29, 2005

based on hep-th/0502157 in collaboration w/ A. Dabholkar, F. Denef, G.W.Moore

slides available from

<http://www.lpthe.jussieu.fr/~pioline/seminars.html>

Black hole thermodynamics and microscopic counting

- In general relativity, one associates to a macroscopic black hole with mass M , horizon area A and surface gravity κ an **entropy** $S_{BH} = A/4G_N$ and **temperature** $T = \kappa/2\pi$ such properties analogous to the **standard laws of thermodynamics** are obeyed

$$1) dS_{BH} = \frac{1}{T_H} dM + \omega dJ \dots, \quad 2) d(S_{BH} + S_{matter}) > 0$$

Christodolou, Bekenstein, Hawking

- String theory is famously known to provide a **microscopic description of black hole microstates**, reproducing the macroscopic Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. Eg, “**4-charge**” **extremal black holes in 4D** have a macroscopic entropy:

$$S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{Q_1 Q_5 Q_{KK} P},$$

They can be represented as a D1-D5-P-KKM bound state, whose microstates are described by a 2D CFT. Their entropy can be counted by using the Cardy formula

$$S_{micro} = \ln \Omega \sim 2\pi \sqrt{cN/6} \sim S_{BH}$$

Strominger Vafa; Maldacena Strominger; Johnson Khuri Myers

Black hole entropy beyond leading order

- This agreement relies on the “thermodynamical” limit where $A \gg G_N$, or $Q \gg 1$, and classical gravity can be trusted. Can we test this beyond leading order, and compare gravitational corrections to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy to finite size effects on the microscopic side ?

BH entropy beyond leading order (macroscopics)

- On the macroscopic side, the Bekenstein-Hawking “area law” receives corrections due to **higher-derivative interactions** in the low energy effective action. E.g, for 4D Einstein with polynomial interactions in $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$,

$$S_{BHW} = 2\pi \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} \sqrt{h} d\Omega \sim \frac{1}{4} A + \dots$$

Wald; Jacobson Kang Myers

where $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ is the binormal on the horizon Σ . In addition, the geometry itself is deformed (sometimes in a drastic way).

- Recently, de Wit et al have been able to compute **an infinite set of corrections** to the BH entropy of extremal BH in type II string compactified on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold Y , due to a class of higher derivative interactions

$$F_h(X^A) R_+^2 F_+^{2h-2}$$

where F_h is given by the **genus- h topological string amplitude**.

BH entropy beyond leading order (microscopics)

- On the microscopic side, the entropy is defined as the **Legendre transform** of the free energy, which depends on a choice of **statistical ensemble**. In the thermodynamical limit, the entropy is universal, but subleading corrections are not.
- Recently, Ooguri Strominger and Vafa (OSV) have proposed to identify the **specific statistical ensemble** implicit in the macroscopic computation as a “mixed” ensemble, where **the magnetic charges are fixed micro-canonically** but **electric charges are allowed to fluctuate at a fixed electric potential**:

$$Z(p^A, \phi^A) := \sum_{q_A \in \Lambda_{el}} \Omega(p^A, q_A) e^{-\phi^A q_A}$$

- In combination with the macroscopic computation, this gives a conjectural relation between **microscopic degeneracies** $\Omega(p^A, q_A)$ and the **topological string amplitudes** $F_h(X^A)$:

$$(OSV) \quad Z(p^A, \phi^A) \stackrel{?}{=} \left| \exp \left(\frac{i\pi}{2} \Im(p^A + i\phi^A) \right) \right|^2$$

Outline of the talk

- **Aim:** test the OSV proposal, in cases where the two sides of the equations can be computed to arbitrary accuracy.
 - **Tools:** small black holes, heterotic/ type II duality, Rademacher formula
 - **Report:** matched an infinite number of subleading corrections to the BHW entropy with a microscopic counting.
1. Review: BH entropy and D-brane counting
 2. Attractor mechanism and the OSV conjecture
 3. A benchmark case: $K_3 \times T^2$
 4. $N = 4$ CHL strings
 5. $N = 2$ orbifolds
 6. Towards an exact OSV-type formula
 7. Discussion

Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy

Strominger Vafa 1996

Consider a 5D extremal Reissner-Nordström black hole in type II string theory on $K_3 \times S_1$:

- Solutions preserving 1/4 SUSY and carrying a minimum of 3 charges have a **smooth event horizon**, with an associated Bekenstein-Hawking entropy

$$S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{N_1 N_5 P}$$

- Consider a configuration of N_1 D1-branes wrapping S_1 , N_5 D5-branes wrapping $K_3 \times S_1$, with P units of momentum along S_1 . At strong string coupling, it becomes an extremal RN black hole, and carries the same quantum numbers as above.
- In the limit where K_3 is small the effective D=1+1 field theory on the brane is a **supersymmetric (4,4) sigma model on the (deformed) permutation orbifold**

$$(K_3)^{\otimes N_1 N_5} / S_{N_1 N_5}$$

since D1-branes can be seen as Yang-Mills instantons on the D5-brane world-volume.

- The central charge is therefore $c = 6N_1N_5$. BPS states with charge P are ground states on the left, and carry level P excitation on the right. By the **Cardy formula**,

$$S_{micro} = 2\pi \sqrt{cP/6} = 2\pi \sqrt{N_1N_5P}$$

which matches with the macroscopic entropy !

- Similar results hold in situations with a different amount of SUSY: 1/8-BPS black holes on T^5 have a BH entropy

$$S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{I_3(Q)}$$

where Q are the general 27 electric charges, and I_3 is the cubic invariant of $E_{6(6)}$, while 1/2 BPS black holes in M theory on CY can be described as bound states of M2-brane wrapped on 2-cycles, with BH entropy

$$S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{D_{ABC}p^A p^B p^C}$$

where D_{ABC} are the intersection numbers of 2-cycles. Microscopic counting remains ill understood in the latter case.

Maldacena Moore Strominger

Katz Klemm Vafa

- Microscopic degeneracies can also be computed by noting that the near-horizon geometry is $AdS_3 \times S_3 \times T^4$ factor. This admits an holographic description as a 2D CFT on the boundary, whose central charge is given by

$$c = \frac{3\Lambda}{2G_N}$$

reproducing the correct entropy via Cardy's formula.

Brown Henneaux; Carlip; Strominger

Entropy of 4-dim black holes

- 4-dim 1/4 BPS black holes in type IIA / $K_3 \times T^2$ can be described by a D6-D2-NS5 bound state wrapped on K_3 , with momentum along S^1 :

$$S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{Q_2 Q_5 Q_6 P}$$

By allowing the D2-branes to end on the NS5-branes, one can reproduce this entropy microscopically just as in the 5D case.

Maldacena Strominger

- Equivalently, the same system can be described by a bound state of D1-D5-P with Q_K KK monopole: the same entropy arises by taking into account fractional D-branes in the ALE geometry.

Johnson Khuri Myers, Constable Khuri Myers

- More generally, in $N = 4$ backgrounds, the BH entropy is given by the $Sl(2) \times SO(6, n)$ invariant discriminant

$$S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p})(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{q}) - (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q})^2}$$

A formula for the exact degeneracies has been proposed, but remains to be tested.

Dijkgraaf Verlinde Verlinde

- In $N = 8$ backgrounds, the BH entropy is given by the E_7 quartic invariant,

$$S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{I_4(Q)}$$

reproduced by a similar counting as above. Exact degeneracies are still unknown.

Kalosh Kol

- In $N = 2$ backgrounds, such as type II / CY, the tree-level BH entropy is

$$S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{D_{ABC} p^A p^B p^C q_0}$$

but receives corrections from higher-derivative interactions. The first subleading correction can be obtained by considering an M5-brane wrapping $\gamma_4 \times S_1$, where γ_4 is a 4-cycle in CY. The reduced theory on γ_4 is a $(0, 4)$ sigma model, and the Cardy formula predicts

$$S_{micro} = 2\pi \sqrt{(D_{ABC} p^A p^B p^C + c_{2A} p^A / 6) q_0}$$

in agreement with 1-loop R^2 corrections.

Maldacena Strominger Witten; de Wit L. Cardoso Mohaupt

- In general, the near-horizon geometry of these 4D extremal RN black holes is $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times M_6$. One expects a dual description by a conformal quantum mechanics leaving on the boundary, but no concrete example is known.

The attractor mechanism

- Consider a general ansatz for a spherically symmetry RN BH in type IIA/CY:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2U(r)+2r} dt^2 + e^{-2U(r)} \left(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2 \right) + ds_{CY}^2$$

The shape of the CY is parameterized by Kähler moduli $X^A(r)$, and complex structure moduli. The latter decouple and can be taken to be constant.

- The tree-level lagrangian is controlled by the prepotential, an homogeneous holomorphic function $F(X^I)$ given by

$$F(X^A) = -\frac{1}{6} C_{ABC} \frac{X^A X^B X^C}{X^0} + \text{worldsheet instantons}$$

Notation: $F_A = \partial F / \partial X^A$.

- The SUSY equations can be rewritten as

$$\Re \left(X^A - \frac{d}{dr} X^A \right) = p^A, \quad \Re \left(F_A - \frac{d}{dr} F_A \right) = q_A$$

- At the horizon, the geometry becomes $AdS^2 \times S^2 \times CY$ where the Kähler moduli are fixed by the attractor equations,

$$Re(X^A) = p^A, \quad Re(F_A) = q_A$$

Ferrara Kallosh Strominger

- The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is thus a function of the charges only,

$$S_{BH} = \frac{i\pi}{2} \left(q_A \bar{X}^A - p^A \bar{F}_A \right)$$

The attractor mechanism, revisited

- This is usefully recast as follows: set $X^A = p^A + i\phi^A$ where ϕ^A is real. The second equation becomes

$$q_A = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial F_0 / \partial X^A + \partial \bar{F}_0 / \partial \bar{X}^A \right) = \frac{1}{2i} \left(\partial F_0 / \partial \phi^A - \partial \bar{F}_0 / \partial \bar{\phi}^A \right)$$

hence

$$q_A = \pi \partial \mathcal{F} / \partial \bar{\phi}^A \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}_0(p^A, \phi^A) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} F_0(p^A + i\phi^A)$$

- In addition, the BH entropy may be rewritten as

$$S_{BH} = \mathcal{F}_0(p^A, \phi^A) + \pi q_A \phi^A$$

- The BH entropy $S_{BH}(p^A, q_A)$ is thus recognized as the Legendre transform of the free energy $\mathcal{F}_0(p^A, \phi^A)$! To compute the latter, no need to solve the attractor equations !

Ooguri Vafa Strominger

Leading entropy of large black holes

- As an application, let us compute the tree-level entropy of a black hole with arbitrary charges, except for $p^0 = 0$: the tree-level superpotential is

$$F = -\frac{1}{6}C_{ABC}\frac{X^A X^B X^C}{X^0} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(p, \phi) = -\frac{\pi C(p)}{6\phi^0} + \frac{\pi C_{AB}(p)\phi^A\phi^B}{2\phi^0}$$

$$C(p) = C_{ABC}p^A p^B p^C, \quad C_{AB}(p) = C_{ABC}p^C, \quad A = 1, \dots, n_V - 1$$

- The Legendre transform with respect to ϕ^A leads to

$$\phi_*^A = -C^{AB}(p)q_B\phi^0, \quad \phi_*^0 = \pm\sqrt{-\hat{C}(p)/6\hat{q}_0}$$

$$\hat{q}_0 = q_0 + \frac{1}{2}q_A C^{AB}(p)q_B$$

- The tree-level Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is therefore the square-root of a quartic polynomial in the charges,

$$S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{C(p)\hat{q}_0/6}$$

in agreement from the microscopic counting at leading order.

- When $C(p) = 0$, the tree-level BH entropy vanishes, indicating a singular solution. We shall be interested in such “small black holes”, which get a non-vanishing entropy from higher order contributions.

Higher derivative interactions and the topological string

- Recall that the $(2, 2)$ sigma-model on a CY threefold can be topologically twisted into the **A-model topological string**, which depends only on the Kähler moduli X^A . This defines a quantum field theory of Kähler structures, known as **Kähler gravity**.
- The topological A-model can be related to the physical type II superstring: the genus- h topological amplitude (without insertions) $F_h(X)$ is equal to the coefficient of the $R_+^2 F_+^{2h-2}$ amplitude in the low energy effective action

$$\int d^8\theta F(X; W^2) = \int d^8\theta \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} F_h(X) W^{2h} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} F_h(X) R_+^2 F_+^{2h-2}$$

- The all-genus topological A-model thus resums an infinite number of higher-derivative F-term corrections. The topological coupling constant λ is proportional to the graviphoton field-strength,

$$\lambda = \frac{\pi W}{4 X^0}$$

The attractor mechanism, to all orders

- In the presence of $R_+^2 F_+^{2h-2}$ corrections, the attractor formalism goes through upon replacing the tree-level prepotential $F_0(X)$ by the generating function

$$F(X^A, W^2) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} F_h(X^A) W^{2h}$$

and enforcing the **additional attractor equation** $W/X^0 = \pm 2^4$.

- The Bekenstein-Hawking-Wald entropy is thus the Legendre transform of the free energy

$$\mathcal{F}(p^A, \phi^A) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \mathcal{F} \left(p^A + i\phi^A; (2^4 X^0)^2 \right)$$

- One may interpret $\mathcal{F}(p^A, \phi^A)$ as the **free energy of a statistical ensemble of black holes with magnetic charge p^A and electric potential ϕ_A** .

The OSV conjecture for BH degeneracies

- It is thus natural to conjecture that the relevant microscopic statistical ensemble is a “mixed” ensemble, where **magnetic charges are treated micro-canonically** but **electric charges are treated canonically**:

$$Z(p^A, \phi^A) := \sum_{q_A \in \Lambda_{el}} \Omega(p^A, q_A) e^{-\phi^A q_A} \stackrel{?}{=} e^{\mathcal{F}(p^A, \phi^A)} = \left| \exp \left(\frac{i\pi}{2} F(p^A + i\phi^A) \right) \right|^2$$

- If correct, this provides a way to compute the **microscopic degeneracies** $\Omega(p^A, q_A)$ (or rather a suitable index) from the **topological string amplitude** $F(W, X)$, by inverse **Laplace transform**, *Ooguri Strominger Vafa*

$$\Omega(p^A, q_A) \equiv \int d\phi^A \left| \exp \left(\frac{i\pi}{2} F(p^A + i\phi^A) \right) \right|^2 e^{\phi^A q_A}$$

- Conversely, one may hope to understand the **non-perturbative completion of the topological string** from the knowledge of black hole micro-states.

More on the OSV conjecture

- There are several versions of the OSV conjecture: the weaker form is supposed to relate the topological string amplitude with **some suitable index**, and hold only **asymptotically to all orders in inverse charges**.
- The OSV proposal is somewhat formal: what is the precise **integration measure and contour** ? How about **holomorphic anomalies, curves of marginal stability** ? Should we count micro-states with **arbitrary angular momentum** or only $J = 0$? etc
- The proposal has been tested in the case of **non-compact CY**: $O(-m) \oplus O(m) \rightarrow T^2$: BPS states are counted by topologically twisted SYM on N D4-brane wrapped on a 4-cycle $O(-m) \rightarrow T^2$, which is equivalent to 2D Yang Mills. Using the factorization properties in the large N limit, one can show that OSV is correct to all orders in $1/N$.
Vafa; Aganagic Ooguri Saulina Vafa
- A recent “proof” has been given by reinterpreting the BH partition function as (the inner product of) the **wave function of the Universe** in a minisuperspace formulation.

Ooguri Verlinde Vafa

Testing OSV: small black holes

- Our goal is to test the OSV conjecture in cases where black holes degeneracies are exactly known. For this, restrict to K_3 -fibered CY, which admit a dual description as heterotic / $K^3 \times T^2$.
- The heterotic string admits a class of **perturbative BPS states**, known as **Dabholkar-Harvey states**:

$$|osc, N\rangle \otimes \overline{|osc, 0\rangle} \times |n_i, w^i\rangle$$

satisfying the matching condition $N - 1 = n_i w^i$. They carry purely electric charge, in the natural heterotic polarization. They are counted by simple modular forms.

- At strong coupling, these states remain stable and become black holes, carrying both electric and magnetic charges, in the natural type II polarization. In contrast to the general “4-charge” black holes, they are **singular** at tree-level, but acquire a **smooth horizon due to R^2 interactions**.

Sen 95; Dabholkar 04; Kallosh Maloney Dabholkar; Hubeny Maloney Rangamani; Bak Kim Rey

Large Black Hole degeneracies from OSV

- The A-model topological string amplitude on a CY Y $F(X^A, W^2)$ is an homogeneous function of degree 2 in (X^A, W) :

$$F = -\frac{1}{6}C_{ABC}\frac{X^AX^BX^C}{X^0} - \frac{W^2}{64 \cdot 24}\frac{c_A X^A}{X^0} - \frac{X_0^2}{(2\pi i)^3} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta} \left(\frac{\pi W}{4X^0}\right)^{2h} N_{h,\beta} e^{2\pi i \beta_A X^A / X^0}$$

where $A = 1..n_V - 1$ runs over 2-cycles of Y , $C_{ABC} = \int_Y J_A J_B J_C$ are triple intersection numbers, $X^A / X^0 = B^A + iV^A$ are the Kähler moduli,

$c_A = \int_Y J_A c_2(T^{1,0}(X))$ and $N_{h,\beta}$ are rational numbers known as the **Gromov-Witten invariants**.

- From these we compute the free energy

$$\mathcal{F}(p, \phi) = -\frac{\pi \hat{C}(p)}{6 \phi^0} + \frac{\pi C_{AB}(p) \phi^A \phi^B}{2 \phi^0} + 2\text{Re}(F_{GW})$$

where

$$\hat{C}(p) = C(p) + c_A p^A, \quad C(p) = C_{ABC} p^A p^B p^C, \quad C_{AB}(p) = C_{ABC} p^C$$

- For now, let us **drop** F_{GW} and compute the Laplace transform

$$\Omega_{OSV}(p^A, q_A) = \int d\phi^0 d\phi^A \exp \left(\mathcal{F}(p, \phi) + \pi \phi^A q_A \right)$$

can be computed exactly: the ϕ^A integral is **Gaussian**, with saddle at $\phi_*^A = -C^{AB}(p)q_B\phi^0$:

$$\Omega_{OSV}(p^A, q_A) = \int d\phi^0 \phi_0^{(n_V-1)/2} \det[C_{AB}(p)]^{-1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{\pi \hat{C}(p)}{6 \phi^0} + \pi \phi^0 \hat{q}_0 \right)$$

with $q_0 = q_0 + \frac{1}{2}q_A C^{AB}(p)q_B$.

- The ϕ^0 integral is now of **Bessel** type, with saddle at $\phi_*^0 = \pm \sqrt{-\hat{C}(p)/6\hat{q}_0}$. For an appropriate contour, we find

$$\Omega_{OSV}(p^A, q_A) = \det[C_{AB}(p)]^{-1/2} [\hat{C}(p)]^{(n_V+1)/2} \hat{I}_{(n_V+1)/2} \left[2\pi \sqrt{\hat{C}(p)\hat{q}_0/6} \right]$$

- Using the asymptotics

$$\hat{I}_\nu(z) \sim z^{-\nu-\frac{1}{2}} e^z \left(1 + a/z + b/z^2 + \dots \right)$$

we find the micro-canonical entropy predicted by OSV:

$$S_{OSV}(p^A, q_A) \sim 2\pi \sqrt{\hat{C}(p)\hat{q}_0/6} - \frac{n_V + 2}{2} \log[\hat{C}(p)\hat{q}_0] + \dots$$

- The leading square-root term reproduces the tree-level Bekenstein- Hawking entropy $S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{C(p)\hat{q}_0}$ at large magnetic charge. The replacement $C(p) \rightarrow \hat{C}(p) = C(p) + C_A p^A$ is due to the one-loop R^2 interaction, and guarantees that the entropy is non-vanishing for “small black holes” which have $C(p)$.
- In general, our understanding of the microstates is too rough to allow us to test this prediction.

Small black holes and $K3$ -fibered CY

- Let us now restrict to type II on a $K3$ -fibered CY 3-fold, dual to heterotic/ $K_3 \times T^2$. The Kähler moduli split into the modulus X^1/X^0 of the base, and the moduli X^a/X^0 of the fiber ($a = 2, \dots, n_V - 1$). The intersection form factorizes into

$$C_{ABC} X^A X^B X^C = X^1 C_{ab} X^a X^b$$

- Further consider a state whose only non-vanishing magnetic charge is p^1 :

$$C(p) = 0, \quad \hat{C}(p) = 24p^1, \quad C_{AB}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p^1 C_{ab} \end{pmatrix}$$

- The dependence on ϕ^1 now disappears from the integrand. Since F_{top} is invariant under monodromies $\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_1 + \phi_0$, it is natural to restrict the integration range to $[0, \phi_0]$:

$$\Omega_{OSV}(p^1, q_A) = \int d\phi^0 \phi_0^{n_V/2} \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi p_1}{\phi^0} + \pi \phi^0 \hat{q}_0\right) \sim \hat{I}_{(n_V+2)/2} \left[4\pi \sqrt{p^1 \hat{q}_0}\right]$$

where $\hat{q}_0 = q_0 + \frac{1}{2} C^{ab} q_a q_b / p_1$.

Comments

- Integrals have been carried out somewhat formally. Since $C_{AB}(p)$ in general has signature $(1, n_V - 2)$, the gaussian integral needs to be computed by **rotating the contour for ϕ^A to the imaginary axis**.
- In addition to the Bessel \hat{I} function, the OSV integration measure leads to **extra p -dependent factors**, which, if taken literally, contradict T-duality on the heterotic side. The ratio $\Omega_{OSV}(p, q)/\Omega_{OSV}(p', q)$ seems to be free of these ambiguities.
- In the derivation, we neglected GW instanton contributions. **Non-degenerate instantons** contributions are exponentially suppressed in the large charge limit, and can be consistently neglected if $(p^a)^2 q_0 \gg C(p)$. When $\chi \neq 0$, the series of **point-like instantons** appears to be strongly coupled but, after resummation to the Mac-Mahon representation, can be consistently neglected if $q_0 \gg p_1$.

Pointlike instantons

- In particular, the **point-like instantons** with $\beta' = 0$ lead to $n_0^0 = -\chi/2$ (χ =Euler number of CY). They contribute an infinite series of higher-genus contributions to the topological amplitude:

$$F_{point} = -\frac{\chi}{2} \left[\frac{\zeta(3)}{\lambda^2} + A + \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} \lambda^{2h-2} \frac{(2h-1)B_{2h}B_{2h-2}}{(2h-2)(2h)!} \right]$$

- The $\zeta(3)$ term follows from the tree-level R^4 amplitude in 10D, the term with $h \geq 2$ is proportional to the Euler number of the moduli space of genus- h Riemann surfaces without punctures, and A is a naively divergent quantity, but, when properly regulated

$$A = \frac{1}{12} \log(2\pi/\lambda) + \textit{finite}$$

- This asymptotic expansion is valid at $\lambda \ll 1$. If λ is large, an alternative representation is provided by the **Mac Mahon function**,

$$F_{point} = -\chi/2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \log(1 - q^n) \quad q = e^{-\lambda}$$

leading to an infinite product representation for e^F .

A benchmark case: $II/K3 \times T^2$ vs Het/T^6

- On the macroscopic side: thanks to $N = 4$, $F_{h>1} = 0$. F_1 can be extracted from R^2 coupling,

$$f_{R^2} \sim \log T_2 |\eta(T)|^4 \Rightarrow F_1 = \log \eta^{24}(T), \quad T = X_1/X_0$$

- The gauge group is $U(1)^6 \times U(1)^{22}$, however upon decomposition into $N = 2$ multiplets 4 $U(1)$ are part of **gravitino multiplets**, and not covered by the attractor formalism. So $n_V = 24$.
- According to the above, the OSV prediction for small BH degeneracies is

$$\Omega_{OSV}(p^1, q_0) = \hat{I}_{13} \left[4\pi \sqrt{p^1 \hat{q}_0} \right]$$

- On the heterotic side, these small BPS BH are dual to Dabholkar Harvey states, enumerated by

$$\frac{1}{\eta^{24}} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} p_{24}(N) q^{N-1}, \quad N - 1 = p^1 q_0$$

- The leading exponential behavior is given by Cardy's formula $\log p_{24} = 2\pi \sqrt{N \cdot 24/6}$. Subleading corrections are given by the **Rademacher formula**...

The Rademacher expansion

Consider a vector-valued modular form $f_{\mu=1..r}(\tau)$ of weight $w < 0$,

$$f_{\mu}(\tau + 1) = e^{2\pi i \Delta_{\mu}} f_{\mu}(\tau), \quad f_{\mu}(-1/\tau) = (-i\tau)^w S_{\mu\nu} f_{\nu}(\tau)$$

with Fourier expansion $f_{\mu}(\tau) = q^{\Delta_{\mu}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{\mu}(m) q^m$

- Claim: the Fourier coefs can be expressed as an infinite series

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\nu}(n) = & \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=1}^r \sum_{m+\Delta_{\mu}<0} c^{w-2} Kl(n, \nu; m, \mu; c) |m + \Delta_{\mu}|^{1-w} \\ & \times \Omega_{\mu}(m) \hat{I}_{1-w} \left[\frac{4\pi}{c} \sqrt{|m + \Delta_{\mu}|(n + \Delta_{\nu})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $Kl(n, \nu; m, \mu; c)$ are generalized Kloosterman sums, equal to $S_{\nu\mu}^{-1}$ for $c = 1$ and $\hat{I}_{\nu}(z)$ is a modified, modified Bessel function of the 1st kind,

$$\hat{I}_{\nu}(z) = 2\pi \left(\frac{z}{4\pi} \right)^{-\nu} I_{\nu}(z) \sim z^{-\nu-\frac{1}{2}} e^z (1 + a/z + b/z^2 + \dots)$$

- All $c > 1$ contributions are exponentially suppressed wrt to $c = 1$, yet they are exponentially large in an absolute sense.
- The Cardy-Hardy-Ramanujan formula emerges by keeping the leading term $c = 1, m = 0$, using $\Delta = c/24$:

$$\log \Omega_\nu(n) \sim 4\pi \sqrt{|\delta_\mu|(n + \Delta_\nu)} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{c(n + \Delta_\nu)}{6}}$$

- In addition to this leading term, there are log corrections, as well as an infinite series of power-suppressed terms.
- The Rademacher expansion depends only on the **polar part** $\sum_{m+\Delta_\mu < 0} \Omega_\mu(m) q^{m+\Delta_\mu}$ (and modular data). Indeed, one proof is to represent $f_\mu(\tau)$ (or rather its Farey transform $q \partial_q^{1-w} f$) as the **Poincaré series** (i.e. sum over $Sl(2, Z)$ images) of its polar part.

Back to the bench

- In particular, for the inverse of the Dedekind function, $w = -12$, $\Delta = -1$, $\Omega(0) = 1$ hence

$$p_{24}(N) = \hat{I}_{13} \left[4\pi \sqrt{p^1 \hat{q}_0} \right] + 2^{-14} \hat{I}_{13} \left[2\pi \sqrt{p^1 \hat{q}_0} \right] + \dots$$

- Comparing to the OSV prediction, we find agreement to ALL orders in $1/(p^1 q_0) !$
- However, OSV fails to reproduce subleading corrections which grow like $e^{2\pi \sqrt{p^1 q_0}}$.
- Note that for this to work, we had to **drop non-holomorphic contributions** from f_{R^2} , and consider the degeneracies of states with **arbitrary angular momentum j** .

$N = 4$ CHL strings

- More general $N = 4$ models with $0 \leq k \leq 22$ vector multiplets of $N = 4$ can be constructed, either as orbifolds of type II/ $K3 \times T^2$ by an **Enriques involution**, or as **freely acting asymmetric orbifolds** of Het/T^6 .
- In the untwisted sector of the orbifold, the BPS states are a projection of the DH states in the Het/T^6 model. Their degeneracies are now counted by a modular form of the form

$$Z_{untw} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\theta}{\eta^{24}} + \psi \right)$$

where θ is a partition function for the lattice of electric charges under the $22 - k$ gauge fields which have been projected out, and ψ enforces the projection. Modular weight:

$$w = \frac{1}{2}(22 - k) - 12 = -1 - k/2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 - w = (k + 4)/2 = (n_V + 2)/2$$

Degeneracies are dominated by θ/η^{24} , and are in agreement with the OSV prediction.

- In addition, there are BPS states in the twisted sectors, which are counted by modular forms related to ψ by modular transformation. Their asymptotics appears to be equal to that of the untwisted, unprojected sector, again vindicating OSV.

$N = 4$ CHL strings (a case study)

- Consider the simplest case:

$$\Gamma_{6,22} = E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus II^{1,1} \oplus II^{5,5}$$

orbifolded by $g|P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\rangle = e^{2\pi i\delta \cdot P_3}|P_2, P_1, P_3, P_4\rangle$ This projects out the $U(1)$ associated to $P_1 - P_2$, leaving only the physical electric charges $Q = (P_1 + P_2, P_3, P_4)$.

- DH states arise in the untwisted sector by taking the ground state on the right, an arbitrary, orbifold invariant excitation of the 24 oscillators on the left, and level-matched internal momentum:

$$Z_{untw} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Z_{6,6}[0] \theta_{E_8[1]}^2(\tau)}{\eta^{24}(\tau)} + \frac{Z_{6,6}[1] \theta_{E_8[1]}(2\tau)}{\eta^8(\tau) \eta^8(2\tau)} \right)$$

- From this we need to extract the number of states with given $Q = (P_1 + P_2, P_3, P_4)$. For this, change basis from (P_1, P_2) to

$$P_1 + P_2 = 2\Sigma + \wp, \quad P_1 - P_2 = 2\Delta - \wp$$

where S, Δ take values in the E_8 root lattice, and \mathcal{P} is an element of the finite group $Z = \Lambda_r(E_8)/2\Lambda_r(E_8)$.

- In order to sum over the “unphysical charges” Δ , introduce E_8 level-2 theta functions with characteristics:

$$\Theta_{E_8[2],\varphi}(\tau) := \sum_{\Delta \in E_8(1)} e^{2\pi i\tau(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\varphi)^2}$$

and use

$$\theta_{E_8[1]}^2(\tau) = \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in E_8/2E_8} \theta_{E_8[2],\mathcal{P}}(\tau)\theta_{E_8[2],\mathcal{P}}(\tau), \quad \theta_{E_8[1]}(2\tau) = \theta_{E_8[2],0}(\tau)$$

hence

$$Z_u = \frac{\theta_{E_8[2],\mathcal{P}}^2(\tau)}{\eta^{24}(\tau)} \pm \frac{1}{\eta^8(\tau)\eta^8(2\tau)} := q^{\Delta_{\pm}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} d_{\pm}^u(N)q^N$$

CHL strings, cont.

- In the twisted sector, the situation is simpler:

$$Z_t = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\eta^{12}\theta_4^4} \pm \frac{1}{\eta^{12}\theta_3^4} \right) := q^{\Delta_{\pm}} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} d_{\pm}^t(N) q^N$$

- Using the Rademacher formula, we find

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_{BPS}(Q) = 2^{-5} \hat{I}_9 \left(4\pi \sqrt{Q^2/2} \right) + \hat{I}_9 \left(4\pi \sqrt{Q^2/4} \right) \begin{cases} 15 \cdot 2^{-10} + 2^{-6} e^{2\pi i P \cdot \delta}, & \wp \in \mathcal{O}_1 \\ 2^{-10}, & \wp \in \mathcal{O}_{248} \\ -2^{-10}, & \wp \in \mathcal{O}_{3875} \\ 2^{-10} e^{i\pi Q^2}, & Q \in \Lambda_1 \end{cases} + \dots$$

Hence we have agreement to all orders with OSV in all sectors. Subleading terms however are not captured by OSV, and depend crucially on the sector.

An $N = 4$ exception to OSV

- Let us consider $typeII/K_3 \times T^2$ at the Z_2 orbifold point, and perform a further orbifold by the “quantum symmetry” acting as -1 on each twisted sector, combined with a shift along T^2 : this gives a type II $N = 4$ model with 6+6 gauge fields.
- The heterotic dual is unclear; however, another dual description can be obtained by making a Z_2 orbifold of type II/ $T^4 \times T^2$ by $(-1)^{FL}$ times a shift on T^2 . This projects out all RR fields, leaving 6+6 vectors. In contrast to the previous (2,2) case, **SUSY is realized as (4,0) on the worldsheet.**

Vafa Sen

- The amplitude F_1 can be computed at one-loop on the (2,2) case: one finds $F_1 \sim \log \theta_4(T)$, which has no perturbative part but only instantons: thus **small black holes remain small, even with R^2 corrections !**

Kounnas Gregori Obers Pioline Petropoulos

- Just as in the heterotic case, the (4,0) model admits a spectrum of DH states, enumerated by θ_i^4/η^{12} . The microscopic degeneracies thus grow as $\hat{I}_5(2\pi\sqrt{2p^1q_0})$, **not matched by OSV !**

Absolute degeneracies vs. helicity supertraces

- We obtained agreement to all orders between the OSV prediction (at strong gravitational coupling) and the absolute degeneracy of DH states (at weak coupling). In general however, we expect that **only a suitable index can be trusted in comparing weak and strong coupling results.**
- The natural indexes to invoke are **helicity supertraces:**

$$\Omega_n = \text{Tr}(-1)^F J_3^n$$

where F is the **target space fermion number**, and J_3 one generator of the **little group of a massive particle in D=3+1**. For low n , and large supersymmetry, this index receives only contributions from **short multiplets**, while long (non BPS) multiplets cancel out.

- For $N = 4$ SUSY, the natural index for 1/2 (resp. 1/4) BPS states is Ω_4 (resp. Ω_6). In heterotic orbifold constructions, Ω_4 is in fact equal to the absolute degeneracy of 1/2-BPS states, “explaining” agreement.
- For $N = 2$ SUSY, the natural index is $\Omega_2 \sim N_V - N_H$. As we shall see, in heterotic orbifolds this can be much smaller than the absolute degeneracy !

A few words on $N = 2$ models

- A number of type II/CY - Het/ $K3 \times T^2$ dual pairs are known, where OSV can be tested. While $F_{h>1}$ are now $\neq 0$, the degeneracies of small BH predicted by OSV, **to all orders in $1/p^1 q_0$, at small p^1/q_0** are universally given by

$$\Omega_{OSV} = \hat{I}_{(n_V+2)/2}(4\pi \sqrt{Q^2/2})$$

- For heterotic asymmetric orbifolds with $N = 2$ supersymmetry, the DH states can be counted as before. In contrast to $N = 4$, in the untwisted sector DH states typically come in vector/hyper pairs, and the helicity supertrace Ω_2 is much smaller than the OSV prediction. The absolute degeneracies agree with Ω_{OSV} at leading order only.
- In contrast, twisted states are all hypers, and have $\Omega_{abs} = \Omega_2$ in agreement to Ω_{OSV} to all orders in $1/Q$.
- In a class of models such as Het/ $K3$ with standard embedding, **untwisted and twisted states cannot be distinguished**, hence OSV gives the correct result to all orders.
- In other models such as FHSV, **untwisted and twisted states can be distinguished by the modding of their charges**, and OSV appears to fail in reproducing either Ω_{abs} or Ω_2 , unless some coarse-graining is made.

Could the OSV formula be exact ?

- Go back to the benchmark case: exact degeneracies can be extracted from

$$1/\eta^{24} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} p_{24}(N) q^{N-1} := 1/\Delta(q)$$

by a contour integral:

$$p_{24}(N) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint q^{-N} dq / \Delta(q) = \int dt t^{-14} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\pi(N-1)}{t}\right)}{\Delta(e^{-4\pi t})}$$

- By contrast, the OSV formula can be rewritten as

$$\Omega_{OSV}(p^1, q_0) \sim \int d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \tau_2^{-14} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\pi(N-1)}{\tau_2}\right)}{|\Delta(e^{-2\pi\tau_2+2\pi i\tau_1})|^2}$$

- The two agree asymptotically when $\Delta(q) \sim q$, but the OSV formula does not appear to make sense non-perturbatively !

Reverse engineering

- Rather than extracting BH degeneracies from the topological amplitude, one may try to construct the BH partition function from our partial knowledge of exact degeneracies.
- In type II/ $K3 \times T^2$, the lattices of electric charges are

$$\Lambda_{elec}^{IIA} = D0(q_0) \oplus D2/T2(q_1) \oplus D2/\gamma_2(q_a) \oplus \dots$$

$$\Lambda_{mag}^{IIA} = D6/K3 \times T^2(p^0) \oplus D4/K3(p^1) \oplus D4/T_2 \times \gamma_2(p^a) \oplus \dots$$

Exact degeneracies are known for **purely electric heterotic states**, i.e. for vanishing $D2/T2$, $D4/T^2 \times \gamma^2$, $D6/K3 \times T^2$.

- Setting $p^0 = p^a = 0$, the BH partition function includes terms with $q^1 = 0$:

$$Z'_{BH} = \sum_{q^0, q^a \in II^{3,19}} p_{24} \left(1 + p^1 q_0 + \frac{1}{2} q_a C^{ab} q_b \right) e^{-\pi(q_0 \phi^0 + q_a \phi^a)}$$

- Inserting the unity

$$1 = \sum_N \delta \left[N - 1 - \frac{1}{2} q_a C^{ab} q_b \right] = \sum_N \sum_{k^0=0}^{p^1-1} \frac{1}{p^1} e^{2\pi i k^0 (N-1 - \frac{1}{2} q_a C^{ab} q_b) / p^1}$$

inside the sum, the sum over N reconstructs the Dedekind function

$$Z'_{BH} = \frac{1}{p^1} \sum_{k^0=0}^{p^1-1} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \tau q_a C^{ab} q_b - \pi \phi^a q_a}}{\Delta(\tau)}, \quad \tau = \frac{i\phi^0 + 2k^0}{2p^1}$$

Doing a modular transformation on τ and a Poisson resummation on q_a gives

$$Z'_{BH} = \sum_{k_0=0}^{p^1-1} \sum_{k^a \in II^{19,3}} Z_0(\phi^A + 2ik^A), \quad Z_0(\phi^A) = \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{\pi p^1 C_{ab} \phi^a \phi^b}{\phi^0} \right]}{(p^1)^2 \Delta \left(\frac{2ip_1}{\phi^0} \right)}$$

- While Z_0 looks close to the topological string amplitude, it is in fact different: no $|\Delta|^2$, and the argument has no ϕ^1 dependence !

- The sum over translations $\phi^A \rightarrow \phi^A + 2ik^A$ guarantees that the **BH partition function has the expected periodicity due to the charge quantization**. Yet much of the information in the topological string amplitude could be lost in the process of averaging !
- It is tempting to conjecture that **the exact black hole partition function is a theta series whose general term is the topological string amplitude**.
- Indeed, in a unrelated development, **non-Gaussian theta series** have been constructed based on cubic characters $\exp(I_3(X^A)/X_0)$ quite similar to CY prepotentials. It would be very interesting if invariance under monodromies in the CY moduli space could be realized in the same fashion.

Kazhdan Pioline Waldron; Kontsevitch

Discussion

- The OSV conjecture for the partition function of BPS black holes has passed several non-trivial tests, leading to **agreement with microscopic degeneracies to all orders in $1/Q^2$** .
- For this to hold, a number of ambiguities had to be lifted: integration contour, holomorphic anomalies, identification of Ω_{OSV} with helicity supertraces, count states with arbitrary J .
- OSV is very successful in $N = 4$ models, less so in some $N = 2$ models. When $\chi \neq 0$, the saddle point lies at strong coupling of the pointlike instanton series, requiring a **non-perturbative completion** of the topological amplitude in this sector.
- At the non-perturbative level, a relation like “ $Z_{BH} = |e^F|^2$ ” cannot hold, if only because the rhs is not periodic in ϕ modulo $2i$. This suggests that **the BH partition function may instead be a theta series built on e^F** , possibly with interesting automorphic properties.
- In a rather orthogonal approach, Sen was able to reproduce the BH entropy to all orders using a different ensemble, with a chemical potential μ for Q^2 rather than Q , and keeping non-holomorphic corrections. It would be interesting to relate the two approaches.